

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 9,349,120 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC and WHATSAPP INC.,  
Petitioners

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,  
Patent Owner

---

U.S. Patent No. 9,349,120 B2  
Issue Date: May 24, 2016

Title: System and Method for Silencing Notifications for a Message Thread

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,349,120 B2**

## Table of Contents

	Page
I. Mandatory Notices under §42.8(A)(1) .....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest under §42.8.(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters under §42.8(b)(2) .....	2
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under §42.8(b)(3) .....	2
D. Service Information .....	3
II. Fee Payment.....	4
III. Requirements under §§ 42.104 and 42.108 and Considerations under §§ 325(d) and 314(a) .....	4
A. Grounds for Standing .....	4
B. Identification of Challenge and Statement of Precise Relief Requested .....	4
C. Considerations under §§ 325(d) and 314(a).....	5
IV. Overview of the '120 Patent.....	5
A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	5
B. Specification Overview .....	5
V. Claim Construction.....	7
A. “flag” .....	8
B. “notification” .....	8
VI. The Challenged Claims are Unpatentable .....	12
A. Overview of Grounds .....	12
B. Summary and Date Qualification of the Prior Art .....	13
1. Dallas [Ex. 1003] .....	13
2. Brown [Ex. 1004].....	18
3. Kent [Ex. 1010].....	19
4. Bott [Ex. 1007].....	19
5. Mann [Ex. 1011] .....	20

**Table of Contents**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
6. LeBlanc [Ex. 1005].....	20
C. Ground 1: Obviousness of Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 10, 13-15, 17, 19-21, 24 Based on Dallas, Brown and Kent.....	21
1. Claim 1 .....	21
(a) “A communication system configured to silence notifications for incoming electronic messages, the system comprising a data processor, non-transitory media readable by the data processor and a communications subsystem:” (Claim 1, Preamble.) .....	21
(b) “the communication subsystem adapted for receiving the incoming electronic messages;” (Claim 1[a]) .....	25
(c) “and the non-transitory media readable by the data processor comprising coded program instructions adapted to cause the processor to:” (Claim 1[b]) .....	26
(d) “receive a selected message thread for silencing;” (Claim 1[c]) .....	26
(e) “in response to receiving the selected message thread, activate a flag stored in the non-transitory media in association with the selected message thread, wherein the flag indicates that the selected message thread has been silenced;” (Claim 1[d]) .....	27
(f) “determine that a new incoming electronic message is associated with the selected message thread;” (Claim 1[e]) .....	34
(g) “determine that the selected message thread has been flagged as silenced using the flag stored in the non-transitory media;” (Claim 1[f]) .....	38
(h) “override a currently-enabled notification setting to prevent a receipt notification pertaining to new incoming electronic messages associated with the selected message thread from being activated; and” (Claim 1[g]) .....	38

**Table of Contents**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
(i) “display the new incoming electronic message...” (Claim 1[h]) .....	45
2. Claim 2: “The system of claim 1, wherein the new incoming electronic message is part of a group discussion.” .....	52
3. Claim 3: “The system of claim 2, wherein a receipt notification for a new incoming electronic message is prevented only for a user who has flagged the message thread as silenced.” .....	53
4. Claim 5: “The system of claim 1, further comprising at least one display for displaying the incoming electronic messages.” .....	54
5. Claim 7: “The system of claim 1, further adapted to allow the message thread to be unflagged deactivating the flag.” .....	55
6. Claim 8: “The system of claim 7, further adapted to, after determining that the message thread has been unflagged, retain the new incoming message associated with the inbox while allowing notifications pertaining to receipt of any subsequent new incoming message for the message thread, and associate any subsequent new incoming message with the inbox.” .....	56
7. Claim 10: “The system of claim 1, wherein the notifications include one or more of an auditory alert, a visual alert or a physical alert.” .....	56
8. Claims 13-15, 17, 19-22.....	57
9. Claim 24 (Independent) .....	57
D. Ground 2: Obviousness of Claim 9 Over Dallas, Brown, and Kent, in Further View of Bott .....	58
E. Ground 3: Obviousness of Claims 11 and 22 Over Dallas, Brown, and Kent, in Further View of Mann .....	61

**Table of Contents**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
F. Ground 4: Obviousness of Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 10, 13-15, 17, 19-21, 24 Based on Dallas, and Brown and Kent, in Further View of LeBlanc .....	65
G. Ground 5: Obviousness of Claim 9 Over Dallas, Brown, and Kent, in Further View of Bott and LeBlanc .....	70
H. Ground 6: Obviousness of Claims 11 and 22 Over Dallas, Brown, and Kent, in Further View of Mann and LeBlanc.....	70
VII. Conclusion .....	70

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.