

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC, and WHATSAPP INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

IPR2019-00706
U.S. Patent No. 9,349,120

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. OVERVIEW OF THE '120 PATENT	3
A. The '120 Patent Specification	3
B. The '120 Patent Claims	4
C. Prosecution History of the '120 Patent	4
II. OVERVIEW OF THE CITED ART	5
A. Dallas (Ex. 1003).....	5
B. Brown (Ex. 1004).....	7
C. LeBlanc (Ex. 1005)	7
D. Bott (Ex. 1007).....	8
E. Kent (Ex. 1010)	9
F. Mann (Ex. 1011).....	9
III. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
IV. THE BOARD'S CONSTRUCTION OF "NOTIFICATION(S)"	10
V. STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	18
VI. DALLAS FAILS TO DISCLOSE THE CLAIMED "NOTIFICATIONS"	19
A. Dallas's "Red Flags," "Sparkle" Icon and Font Variations Are Not "Notifications".....	19
B. Dallas Teaches Away From Using the Claimed "Notifications".....	21
C. Dallas's Red Flags and Sparkle Icon Are a Message's "Manner of Display," Not "Notifications"	22
VII. DALLAS AND LEBLANC DO NOT TEACH "SILENCING" NOTIFICATIONS	25
VIII. THE PROPOSED COMBINATIONS FAIL TO DISCLOSE "DISPLAYING" IGNORED MESSAGES.....	26
A. Dallas Teaches Automatically "Hiding" Ignored Threads	26
B. Dallas Teaches Away From Automatically "Expanding" Ignored Threads.....	30

C. Brown Teaches Away From Automatically “Expanding”
Ignored Threads.....32

IX. CONCLUSION.....33

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)10

Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Infobridge Pte. Ltd.,
IPR2017-00100 (Paper 30) slip. op., 15 (PTAB Apr. 23, 2018).....19

Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels,
812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016) 18, 19

STATUTORY AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 282(b)10

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)18

35 U.S.C. § 316(e)2, 18

RULES AND REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)11

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit #	Description
Ex. 2001	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '120 Patent
Ex. 2002	Deposition Transcript of Dr. S. Chatterjee
Ex. 2003	Expert Declaration of Dr. Hugh Smith
Ex. 2004	<i>BlackBerry v. Facebook, Inc., et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-01844-GW-(KSx), Dkt. 157, Corrected Final Ruling on Claim Construction/ <i>Markman</i> Hearing (“ <i>Markman Order</i> ”)
Ex. 2005	<i>BlackBerry v. Facebook, Inc., et al.</i> , 2:18-cv-01844-GW-(KSx), Dkt. 117, Facebook Defendants’ Opening Claim Construction Brief

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.