

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Unified Patents Inc.  
Petitioner,

v.

GE Video Compression, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2019-00726

U.S. 6,943,710

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,943,710**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
A.	Introduction to BAC.....	1
B.	Probability Modeling.....	2
	1. Static and Adaptive Statistical Probability Modeling.....	2
	2. Table-Based Probability Modeling.....	4
C.	BAC Tutorial.....	5
D.	The Challenged Claims are Obvious.....	13
	1. The '710 Patent Admits that Replacing Multiplication Operations with Table Lookups was Known.....	14
	2. Printz Teaches the <i>Only</i> Allegedly Novel Feature of the Challenged Claims—a Quantization Index.....	15
II.	Grounds for Standing.....	16
III.	Identification of ChallengeS.....	16
IV.	U.S. 6,943,710.....	17
A.	Summary.....	17
B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	23
C.	Claim Construction.....	23
	1. “interval division table” (claims 25, 33, and 60-63).....	23
V.	Prior Art.....	24
A.	Overview of Howard (EX1004).....	24
B.	Overview of Printz (EX1005).....	28
C.	Overview of Kimura (EX1006).....	31
VI.	Challenged Claims.....	34
A.	Ground 1: Claims 25, 33, and 60-63 are Obvious Over Howard in view of Printz.....	34
	1. Motivation to Combine Howard with Printz.....	34
	2. Independent Claim 25.....	42
	3. Independent Claims 60 and 62.....	58

4. Independent Claim 33 .....	59
5. Independent Claims 61 and 63 .....	60
B. Ground 2: Claims 25, 33, and 60-63 are Obvious Over Kimura in view of Printz .....	61
1. Motivation to Combine Kimura with Printz .....	61
2. Independent Claim 25 .....	65
3. Independent Claims 60 and 62.....	76
4. Independent Claim 33 .....	77
5. Independent Claims 61 and 63 .....	78
VII. Conclusion .....	78
VIII. Mandatory Notices and Fees .....	79

**EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,943,710 to Marpe <i>et al.</i> (“the ’710 Patent”)
<b>1002</b>	Prosecution File History for the ’710 Patent
<b>1003</b>	Declaration of Dr. Immanuel Freedman
<b>1004</b>	Howard, “Design and analysis of fast text compression based on quasi-arithmetic coding,” <i>Journal of Information Processing and Management</i> , 30(6), 777–790 (1994) (“Howard”)
<b>1005</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,592,162 to Printz <i>et al.</i> (“Printz”)
<b>1006</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,351,569 to Kimura <i>et al.</i> (“Kimura”)
<b>1007</b>	Howard, “Practical Implementations of Arithmetic Coding,” <i>Image and Text Compression</i> ,” J.A. Storer, ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, 85-112 (1992) (“Howard 2”)
<b>1008</b>	Howard, “Arithmetic Coding for Data Compression,” Proceedings of the IEEE (July 1994) (“Howard 3”)
<b>1009</b>	Marpe, “Fast Adaptive Binary Arithmetic Coding (M Coder),” <a href="http://iphome.hhi.de/marpe/mcoder.htm">http://iphome.hhi.de/marpe/mcoder.htm</a> (accessed Nov. 12, 2018).
<b>1010</b>	Moffat, “Arithmetic Coding Revisited,” <i>ACM Transactions on Information Systems</i> , Vol. 16, No. 3 (July 1998), Pages 256-294.
<b>1011</b>	Duttweiler, Probability Estimation in Arithmetic Adaptive-Huffman Entropy Coders
<b>1012</b>	Excerpts from <i>Microsoft Computer Dictionary, Fourth Edition</i> , Microsoft Press (1999).
<b>1013</b>	Langdon, Jr., “An Introduction to Arithmetic Coding,” <i>IBM J. Res. Develop.</i> , Vol. 28, No. 2 (March 1984)
<b>1014</b>	Nelson, <i>Data Compression Book</i> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> Ed. (1996).
<b>1015</b>	Westwater, <i>Real-Time Video Compression Techniques</i>
<b>1016</b>	Declaration of Jacob Munford
<b>1017</b>	Rissanen, “A Multiplication Free Multialphabet Arithmetic Code,” <i>IEE Transactions on Communications</i> , Vol. 37, No. 2 (Feb. 1989)
<b>1018</b>	Pennebaker, “An Overview of the Basic Principles of the Q-Coder Adaptive Binary Arithmetic Coder,” <i>IBM J. Res. Develop.</i> , Vol. 32, No. 6 (Nov. 1988).

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1019</b>	University of Michigan Library MARC Record
<b>1020</b>	Petitioner's Voluntary Interrogatory Responses
<b>1021</b>	ITU-T Recommendation H.264 (May 2003)
<b>1022</b>	WorldCat Record for the Journal Information Processing and Management

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.