

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.  
Petitioner

v.

SPEAKWARE, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2019-00874  
U.S. Patent No. 6,397,186

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,397,186  
(CLAIMS 1-20 AND 41-55)**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. SUMMARY OF THE '186 PATENT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED INVENTION OF THE '186 PATENT.....	1
B. SUMMARY OF UNPATENTABILITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS .....	2
C. LEVEL OF SKILL OF A PHOSITA.....	3
<b>III. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 .....</b>	<b>3</b>
A. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A).....	3
B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	4
C. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	5
<b>IV. THE BOARD'S DISCRETION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>V. SHOWING OF ANALOGOUS, PRIOR ART .....</b>	<b>10</b>
A. <i>TETSUO</i> IS ANALOGOUS, PRIOR ART.....	10
B. <i>BISSONNETTE</i> IS ANALOGOUS, PRIOR ART .....	11
C. <i>STANLEY</i> IS ANALOGOUS, PRIOR ART .....	11
D. <i>GEILHUF</i> E IS ANALOGOUS, PRIOR ART.....	12
E. <i>BUCHNER</i> IS ANALOGOUS, PRIOR ART.....	12
<b>VI. GROUND 1: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD CLAIMS 1- 4, 7-8, 14-18, 41, 43, AND 49-54 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>TETSUO</i> IN VIEW OF <i>BISSONNETTE</i> .....</b>	<b>13</b>
A. CLAIM 1 .....	14
B. CLAIMS 2-4 .....	46
C. CLAIM 7 .....	47
D. CLAIM 8 .....	48
E. CLAIMS 14-16 .....	49
F. CLAIM 17 .....	53
G. CLAIM 18 .....	56
H. CLAIM 41 .....	58
I. CLAIM 43 .....	59
J. CLAIM 49 .....	59
K. CLAIM 50 .....	59
L. CLAIM 51 .....	59

M.	CLAIM 52 .....	59
N.	CLAIM 53 .....	60
O.	CLAIM 54 .....	60
<b>VII. GROUND 2: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD CLAIMS 5-6 AND 42 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>TETSUO</i> IN VIEW OF <i>BISSONNETTE</i> IN FURTHER VIEW OF <i>STANLEY</i> .....</b>		
A.	CLAIM 5 .....	60
B.	CLAIM 6 .....	61
C.	CLAIM 42 .....	62
<b>VIII. GROUND 3: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD CLAIMS 9-13 AND 44-48 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>TETSUO</i> IN VIEW OF <i>BISSONNETTE</i> IN FURTHER VIEW OF <i>GEILHUF</i> .....</b>		
A.	CLAIM 9 .....	63
B.	CLAIM 10 .....	66
C.	CLAIM 11 .....	66
D.	CLAIMS 12-13 .....	70
E.	CLAIM 44 .....	72
F.	CLAIM 45 .....	73
G.	CLAIM 46 .....	73
H.	CLAIM 47 .....	73
I.	CLAIM 48 .....	73
<b>IX. GROUND 4: THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT CLAIMS 19-20 AND 55 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>TETSUO</i> IN VIEW OF <i>BISSONNETTE</i> IN FURTHER VIEW OF <i>BUCHNER</i>.....</b>		
A.	CLAIM 19 .....	73
B.	CLAIM 20 .....	76
C.	CLAIM 55 .....	78
X.	<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>78</b>
XI.	<b>MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....</b>	<b>79</b>
A.	REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST .....	79
B.	RELATED MATTERS.....	79
C.	LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL .....	80

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases:

<i>Eli Lilly and Co. v. Los Angeles Biomedical Research Inst.</i> , 849 F.3d 1073 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	13
<i>General Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2017-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)	6
<i>Realtime Data, LLC v. Iancu</i> , 912 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	17
<i>Shenzhen Silver Star Intelligent Tech. Co., Ltd. v. iRobot Corp.</i> , IPR2018-00898, Paper 9 (PTAB Oct. 1, 2018)	8
<i>Valeo North America, Inc. v. Magna Elec., Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00251, Paper 18 (PTAB May 26, 2016)	13

### Statutes:

35 U.S.C. § 102(a), (e)	11, 12
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	10, 11
35 U.S.C. 102 or 103	13
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	5

### Regulations:

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)	91
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	90
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	80

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	80
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (b)(4)	81
37 C.F.R. § 42.24	90
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.105	91

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.