

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20436

Before The Honorable Charles E. Bullock
Chief Administrative Law Judge

In the Matter of

CERTAIN RELOAD CARTRIDGES FOR
LAPAROSCOPIC SURGICAL STAPLERS

Inv. No. 337-TA-1167

ETHICON COMPLAINANTS' OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED INVENTIONS.....	2
	A. The '749 Patent.....	2
	B. The '969 Patent.....	2
	C. The '874 Patent.....	3
	D. The '369 Patent.....	4
	E. The '379 Patent.....	4
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS	5
	A. General Claim Construction Principles	5
	B. Claim Construction Standard Under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	6
IV.	AGREED TERMS	7
V.	THE '749 PATENT	8
	A. “manual actuation of said retraction assembly causes said firing drive to generate a sole retraction motion...” and “sole retraction motion” (claim 1 of the '749 Patent)	8
	B. “handle assembly” (claim 1 of the '749 Patent)	10
	C. “firing motion” (claim 1 of the '749 Patent).....	12
	D. “firing drive” (claim 1 of the '749 Patent).....	13
	E. “retraction assembly” (claim 1 of the '749 Patent).....	16
	1. Retraction Assembly is Not Subject to § 112(6)	17
	2. Respondents Have Identified the Incorrect Function and Structure	18
VI.	THE '969 PATENT	20
	A. “tool mounting portion operably coupled to a proximal end of said proximal spine portion” (claim 24 of the '969 Patent)	20
VII.	THE '874 PATENT	22
	A. “driver element” (claim 9 of the '874 Patent).....	22
	B. “motion converter configured to convert a rotary drive motion produced by said motor to a linear drive motion” (claim 19 of the '874 Patent).....	26
VIII.	THE '369 PATENT	28
	A. “first position” (claim 22 of the '369 Patent).....	28
	B. “firing motion” (claim 22 of the '369 Patent).....	30

C. “means for guiding the at least one lower foot on the firing element out of the proximal channel opening and into the internal passage [upon initial application of a firing motion to the firing element]” (claim 22 of the ’369 Patent) 33

IX. THE ’379 PATENT36

A. “unengaged with” / “not engaged with” (claims 1 and 3 of the ’379 Patent)..... 36

B. “said first jaw is not held to said second jaw” (claim 2 of the ’379 Patent) 38

X. CONCLUSION.....41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 483 F.3d 800 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	35
<i>Biagro Western Sales, Inc. v. Grow More, Inc.</i> , 423 F.3d 1296 (Fed Cir. 2005).....	6
<i>Blackbird Tech LLC v. ELB Elecs.</i> , No. 15-cv-56 (RGA), 2016 WL 7451622 (D. Del. Dec. 28, 2016), <i>vacated on other grounds</i> , 895 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	15
<i>Cent. Admixture Pharmacy Servs., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiac Sols., P.C.</i> , 482 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	21
<i>Certain Gas Spring Nailer Products and Components Thereof</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-1082, Order 9 at 35-36 (May 3, 2018).....	15
<i>Certain Network Devices, Related Software & Components Thereof (II)</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-945 Comm'n Opinion, 2017 WL 3614521 (June 1, 2017).....	25
<i>Certain Video Game Systems and Wireless Controllers and Components Thereof</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-770, Initial Determination, 2012 WL 4480570 (Aug. 31, 2012).....	8
<i>Certain Wiper Blades</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-816, Order No. 45, 2012 WL 4174874 (Aug. 31, 2012)	38, 39
<i>Chiuminatta Concrete Concepts, Inc. v. Cardinal Industries, Inc.</i> , 145 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	18
<i>GE Lighting Solutions, LLC v. AgiLight, Inc.</i> , 750 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	11, 30
<i>Greenberg v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.</i> , 91 F.3d 1580 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	14
<i>Helmsderfer v. Bobrick Washroom Equipment, Inc.</i> , 527 F.3d 1379	40
<i>Interactive Gift Express, Inc. v. CompuServe Inc.</i> , 256 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	22

<i>JVW Enters., Inc. v. Interact Acc., Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	6
<i>K-2 Corp. v. Salomon S.A.</i> , 191 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	40
<i>MTD Products Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 933 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	14
<i>New Hampshire v. Maine</i> , 532 U.S. 742 (2001).....	22
<i>Northrop Grumman Corp. v. Intel Corp.</i> , 325 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	18, 25
<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	9
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	5, 6
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6, 29, 30
<i>Trustees in Bankr. of N. Am. Rubber Thread Co. v. U.S.</i> , 593 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	21
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	40
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	6, 36
<i>Zeroclick LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 891 F.3d 1003 (Fed. Cir. June 1, 2018)	7, 14
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.