UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC, Patent Owner.

IPR2019-00973 Patent 7,075,917 B2

Record of Oral Hearing Held: August 20, 2020

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ANDREW MASON, ESQ. JOSEPH JAKUBEK, ESQ. TODD SIEGEL, ESQ. JOHN VANDENBERG, ESQ. Klarquist Sparkman, LLP One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 SW. Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JEFFREY HUANG, ESQ. RYAN LOVELESS, ESQ. BRETT MANGRUM, ESQ. JAMES ETHERIDGE, ESQ. Etheridge Law Group 2600 East Southlake Boulevard Suite 120-324 Southlake, Texas 76092

DOCKET

ALARM

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, August 20, 2020, commencing at 10:30 a.m. EDT, by video/by telephone.

| 1 | P R O C E E D I N G S |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Good morning. This is the hearing for IPR 2019- |
| 4 | 00973 between Microsoft and Uniloc involving U.S. patent number |
| 5 | 7,075,917. I'm Judge Medley and with me are Judges Deshpande and |
| 6 | Weinschenk. |
| 7 | At this time, we'd like the parties to please introduce counsel for the |
| 8 | record, beginning with the Petitioner. |
| 9 | MR. MASON: On behalf of the Petitioner this is Andy Mason of |
| 10 | Klarquist Sparkman. |
| 11 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Good morning. Thank you. |
| 12 | MR. MASON: Good morning. |
| 13 | JUDGE MEDLEY: And for Patent Owner? |
| 14 | MR. HUANG: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Jeffrey |
| 15 | Huang for Patent Owner. |
| 16 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you. Each party has 45 minutes total time |
| 17 | to present arguments. Petitioner, you'll proceed first and may reserve some |
| 18 | of your time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner. And |
| 19 | thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's presentation and may |
| 20 | reserve argument time for surrebuttal. |
| 21 | Counsel for Petitioner, do you wish to reserve some of your time to |
| 22 | respond? |
| 23 | MR. MASON: Yes, Your Honor, I will reserve 20 minutes for |
| 24 | rebuttal. |
| 25 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay, thank you. And you may proceed when |
| 26 | you're ready. |

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

| 1 | MR. MASON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning. May it |
|----|---|
| 2 | please the Board, Andy Mason on behalf of Microsoft Corporation. |
| 3 | In this IPR the Petitioner carefully explains how a POSITA wasn't |
| 4 | being motivated and able to implement the network described in TR25.835 |
| 5 | Version 1.0.0 that's Exhibit 1005 using the Abrol abbreviated sequence |
| 6 | numbers that's satisfying all the claims. This is supported by the detailed |
| 7 | expert testimony of Dr. Harry Bims, as well as the exhibits in evidence |
| 8 | themselves. |
| 9 | After the Petition made this showing and the Board instituted, Uniloc |
| 10 | has done nothing to undermine the Petition's showing of unpatentability, it |
| 11 | did not depose Microsoft's experts, nor submit testimony of its own that |
| 12 | would undermine any of the evidence which shows that all challenged |
| 13 | claims are unpatentable. Uniloc relied exclusively on an array of conclusory |
| 14 | attorney arguments in its Patent Owner response, and the reply brief explains |
| 15 | that |
| 16 | CLERK: I'm sorry, can we pause for a moment? I have a message |
| 17 | from Judge Weinschenk. It looks like he's having an issue. Standby, please. |
| 18 | MR. MASON: Okay. |
| 19 | CLERK: And we have you on the line, sir? Judge Weinschenk? |
| 20 | JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Yes, I'm here. |
| 21 | CLERK: Okay, thank you. Okay. I have him connected by |
| 22 | telephone whenever you're ready. |
| 23 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. So, we don't have his video? |
| 24 | CLERK: I believe his computer probably needs restarting, so I can |
| 25 | bring him on at if he can let me know by and when he's ready and I can |
| 26 | reconnect it. |
| | |

| 1 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | JUDGE WEINSCHENK: I'm ready now if you want to bring him in. |
| 3 | CLERK: Okay, reconnecting, stand by. And once we reconnect, |
| 4 | you'll need to drop the phone call. |
| 5 | JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Okay. |
| 6 | CLERK: And when you're ready, you hit Start by Video, top right |
| 7 | corner. Right, we can see you. Can you hear us now? |
| 8 | JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Yes. Can you hear me? |
| 9 | CLERK: Yes, sir. Thank you. |
| 10 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay, Mr. Mason, you can restart. You were |
| 11 | only a minute into your presentation or a little over a minute, so if you'd like |
| 12 | to restart or start from where you left off is fine. |
| 13 | MR. MASON: I'll just jump in where I left off. Can Your Honor |
| 14 | hear me? |
| 15 | JUDGE MEDLEY: Yes, thank you. |
| 16 | MR. MASON: Okay, great. Thank you. I'll just jump in where I left |
| 17 | off. |
| 18 | In short, the reply brief and the accompanying exhibits, including |
| 19 | additional background references that further confirmed Dr. Bims' original |
| 20 | testimony that our challenged claims are unpatentable. And because of that |
| 21 | showing, we submit that the Board should find each challenged claim |
| 22 | unpatentable. |
| 23 | If we jump to slide 2, we have our shorthand for several of the |
| 24 | exhibits. |
| 25 | Slide 3 also lists the shorthand that I will use today for two of the |
| 26 | central exhibits in this case. On slide 3, I'm going to talk about Exhibit 1006 |
| | |

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.