

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRECISION PLANTING, LLC and AGCO CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

DEERE & COMPANY,
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-01046
Patent 9,480,199 B2

Before MICHAEL W. KIM, *Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge*,
BARRY L. GROSSMAN, and TIMOTHY J. GOODSON,
Administrative Patent Judges.

GOODSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT

Final Written Decision

Determining No Challenged Claims Unpatentable

Denying in Part and Dismissing in Part Petitioner's Motion to Exclude

Dismissing Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude

35 U.S.C. § 318(a)

I. INTRODUCTION

A. *Background and Summary*

Precision Planting, LLC and AGCO Corp. (collectively, “Petitioner”) filed a Petition (Paper 4, “Pet.”) requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 9,480,199 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’199 patent”). Deere & Company (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 9. With our authorization, the parties filed additional pre-institution briefing. *See* Paper 11; Paper 12. We instituted an *inter partes* review on both challenged claims on the sole ground asserted in the Petition. *See* Paper 17 (“Dec. on Inst.”). After institution of trial, Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner Response. (Paper 36, “PO Resp.”), Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 59, “Pet. Reply”), and Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply (Paper 71, “Sur-Reply”). We held a hearing on August 31, 2020, a transcript of which is included in the record. *See* Paper 94 (“Tr.”). The parties have also filed motions to exclude, which we address below in Section II.

We have authority under 35 U.S.C. § 6. Petitioner bears the burden of proving unpatentability of the challenged claims, and the burden of persuasion never shifts to Patent Owner. *Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.*, 800 F.3d 1375, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2015). To prevail, Petitioner must prove unpatentability by a preponderance of the evidence. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 316(e) (2018); 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(d) (2019). This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73. For the reasons discussed below, we determine that Petitioner has not shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1 and 3 of the ’199 patent are unpatentable.

IPR2019-01046
Patent 9,480,199 B2

B. Real Parties in Interest

Petitioner lists the following entities as real parties in interest: Precision Planting, LLC; AGCO Corp.; Monsanto Co.; and Bayer AG. *See* Pet. 7. Patent Owner lists only itself as a real party in interest. Paper 6, 1.

C. Related Matters

Patent Owner has asserted the '199 patent against Petitioner in *Deere & Company v. AGCO Corporation*, Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-00827-CFC in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. Pet. 8; Paper 6, 1.

In addition, Petitioner lists the following Board proceedings as related matters:

Case No.	Challenged Patent
IPR2019-01044	U.S. Patent No. 8,813,663
IPR2019-01047	U.S. Patent No. 9,510,502
IPR2019-01048	U.S. Patent No. 9,686,906
IPR2019-01050	U.S. Patent No. 9,807,922
IPR2019-01051	U.S. Patent No. 9,807,924
IPR2019-01052	U.S. Patent No. 9,820,429
IPR2019-01053	U.S. Patent No. 9,861,031
IPR2019-01054	U.S. Patent No. 10,004,173
IPR2019-01055	U.S. Patent No. 9,699,955

Pet. 8.

D. The '199 Patent

The '199 patent issued November 1, 2016 from an application filed April 16, 2014. Ex. 1001, at [45], [22]. The '199 patent states that it is a continuation of Application No. 13/943,561, filed July 16, 2013 (which matured into the '663 patent that is the subject of IPR2019-01044), which is

a continuation of application No. 12/364,010, filed February 2, 2000. *Id.* at [63].

The '199 patent relates to a seeding machine having a seed metering system and a seed delivery system for delivering seed from the meter to the ground. Ex. 1001, 1:14–16. In the “Background of the Invention,” the '199 patent explains that in known seed delivery systems, differences in how individual seeds exit the metering system and drop through the seed delivery tubes cause undesirable variations in seed spacing. *Id.* at 1:62–65. The '199 patent describes that its system reduces seed spacing variability by capturing the seed, and then moving it, on a controlled descent from the point at which it exits the metering system to a point near the bottom of the seed trench, so that the seed is discharged at a substantially zero horizontal speed relative to the ground. *Id.* at 2:25–40.

Referring to Figure 3 of the '199 patent (reproduced below), seed stored in a seed hopper is provided to a seed meter that uses vacuum disk 50 to meter the seed to seed delivery system 28 that carries the seed to a planting furrow. Ex. 1001, 3:19–24.




Figure 3 depicts an enlarged side view of a seed delivery system of one embodiment of the invention. Ex. 1001, 2:46–49.

Metering disk 50 is generally flat with a plurality of apertures 52 that collect seeds 56 from a seed pool, which “adhere to the disk by air pressure differential on the opposite sides of the disk 50 in a known manner.” Ex. 1001, 3:37–41. Seed delivery system 28 “includes a housing 48 positioned adjacent the seed disk 50.” Ex. 1001, 3:41–45. Housing 48 includes upper opening 58 that “admits the seed from the metering disk 50 into the housing,” and “lower housing opening 78 . . . positioned as close to the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.