

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

QUEST USA CORP.,
Petitioner

v.

POPSOCKETS LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-01067
Patent 9,958,107

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. Petitioner’s Proposed Meaning Of “Lock” Is Incorrect.....	1
A. Petitioner’s proposed meaning ignores the explicit function of “lock” in the ’107 Patent.	1
B. Petitioner’s proposed meaning ignores the use of “lock” and other terms in the claims of the ’107 Patent.....	3
C. Petitioner’s remaining arguments are meritless.....	5
III. Petitioner’s Arguments Regarding “Secure” Are Improper.....	8
VI. Conclusion.....	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Aristocrat Techs. Australia Pty Ltd. v. Int’l Game Tech.</i> , 709 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	5
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6
<i>In re Power Integrations, Inc.</i> , 884 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	4, 5, 6
<i>TIP Sys., LLC v. Phillips & Brooks/Gladwin, Inc.</i> , 529 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	6
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 282(b)	1
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.200(b)	1, 3
83 Fed. Reg. 51,340 (Oct. 11, 2018)	1

I. Introduction

Per the Board's Order, Paper 10, Patent Owner submits this Preliminary Sur-Reply. The terms are construed using the same claim construction standard as in a civil action under 35 U.S.C. § 282(b). 37 C.F.R. § 42.200(b) (as amended Oct. 11, 2018).¹

II. Petitioner's Proposed Meaning Of "Lock" Is Incorrect

Petitioner suggests that the plain and ordinary meaning of "lock" is "a mechanism in which a projection is secured in a recess." Paper 11 at 1-8. This meaning is incorrect in the context of the '107 patent.

A. Petitioner's proposed meaning ignores the explicit function of "lock" in the '107 Patent.

To begin with, Petitioner's proposed meaning ignores that the explicit function of the "lock" as claimed in the '107 patent is to fix the socket in a compressed position by securing the button to the platform. The invention claimed by the '107 Patent includes a biasing element arranged to bias the button away from the platform. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 1001 at 8:9-11. As a result, in the claimed embodiments the expandable socket of the '107 Patent will revert to its expanded position, moving the button away from the platform, unless it is

¹ *See* Changes to the Claim Construction Standard for Interpreting Claims in Trial Proceedings Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, 83 Fed. Reg. 51,340 (Oct. 11, 2018).

restrained in some way. *See, e.g., id.* at 6:20-24 (“Once the lock 214 has been released, the biasing force of the coil spring 218 drives the button 204 and the cover 212 outward, away from the base 206, until the button 204 and the cover 212 reach the expanded configuration.”).

The ’107 Patent discloses and extensively discusses a “lock” to secure the socket in its collapsed configuration. The ’107 Patent states:

The expandable socket 200 generally includes . . . a lock 214 configured to releasably secure the expandable socket 200 in a collapsed configuration . . .

[T]he first projection 210, the second projections 208, and the recess 216 define or form the lock 214 that locks the expandable socket 200 in the collapsed configuration.

One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the first projection 210 and the second projections 208 can be reversed and yet still interact to help lock the Popsocket 200 in the collapsed configuration

The user of the expandable socket 200 may move the expandable socket 200 from the collapsed configuration to the expanded configuration by releasing the lock 214.

Id. at 4:37-45, 6:2-15; *see also, e.g., id.* at 6:15-24.

If the “lock” in the claimed embodiments of the ’107 patent does not cause the button and platform to maintain a fixed distance from each other, then the socket will not be fixed in its compressed position. *See id.* Thus, under Petitioner’s proposed meaning, something could qualify as a “lock” even if it does not perform the function of a “lock” that is explicitly set out in the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.