

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**CARUCEL INVESTMENTS L.P.,**  
*Appellant*

v.

**KATHERINE K. VIDAL, UNDER SECRETARY OF  
COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,**  
*Intervenor*

-----  
**CARUCEL INVESTMENTS L.P.,**  
*Appellant*

v.

**UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC,**  
*Appellee*

**KATHERINE K. VIDAL, UNDER SECRETARY OF  
COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,**  
*Intervenor*

---

2021-1731, 2021-1734, 2021-1735, 2021-1736, 2021-1737

---

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. IPR2019-01079, IPR2019-01101, IPR2019-01102, IPR2019-01103, IPR2019-01105, IPR2019-01573.

-----  
**CARUCEL INVESTMENTS L.P.,**  
*Appellant*

v.

**KATHERINE K. VIDAL, UNDER SECRETARY OF  
COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,**  
*Intervenor*

-----  
2021-1911, 2021-1912, 2021-1913, 2021-1914  
-----

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. IPR2019-01298, IPR2019-01404, IPR2019-01441, IPR2019-01442, IPR2019-01635, IPR2019-01644.

-----  
Decided: December 26, 2023  
-----

BRIAN T. BEAR, Spencer Fane LLP, Kansas City, MO, argued for appellant. Also represented by ANDY LESTER, Oklahoma City, OK; ERICK ROBINSON, Houston, TX; R. SCOTT RHOADES, Warren Rhoades LLP, Arlington, TX.

DEBRA JANECE MCCOMAS, Haynes and Boone, LLP,

CARUCEL INVESTMENTS L.P. v. VIDAL

3

Dallas, TX, argued for appellee. Also represented by RAGHAV BAJAJ, Austin, TX; ANGELA M. OLIVER, Washington, DC; MICHELLE ASPEN, ROSHAN MANSINGHANI, Unified Patents, LLC, Chevy Chase, MD.

MICHAEL TYLER, Office of the Solicitor, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA, argued for intervenor. Also represented by PETER J. AYERS, ROBERT MCBRIDE, FARHEENA YASMEEN RASHEED. Also represented by PETER JOHN SAWERT in 2021-1911, 2021-1912, 2021-1913, 2021-1914.

---

Before DYK, SCHALL, and STARK, *Circuit Judges*.

STARK, *Circuit Judge*.

Carucel Investments L.P. (“Carucel”) appeals the final written decisions of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“Board”) holding that certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,221,904 (“’904 patent”), 7,848,701 (“’701 patent”), 7,979,023 (“’023 patent”), and 8,718,543 (“’543 patent” and, together with the ’904, ’701, and ’023 patents, the “Carucel patents”) are unpatentable as obvious. For the reasons provided below, we affirm.

I

A

The Carucel patents, each entitled “mobile communication system with moving base station,” share substantially identical specifications. The patents disclose a mobile communication system that “employs moving base stations moving in the direction of flow of traffic moving along a roadway.” ’904 patent, Abstract. These “moving base stations” then “communicate with a plurality of fixed radio ports connected by a signal transmission link to a gateway office which, in turn, is connected to the wire line network.” *Id.* This general configuration of the various



a receiver adapted to receive a plurality of signals, each of the plurality of signals transmitted from each of the plurality of fixed radio ports within a frequency band having a lower limit greater than 300 megahertz;

a transmitter adapted to transmit, within the frequency band, a resultant signal to the mobile unit in accordance with at least one of the plurality of signals; and

a processor adapted to maximize an amount of transferred information to the mobile unit by evaluating a quality of each of the plurality of signals transmitted from the plurality of fixed radio ports.

## B

Carucel brought patent infringement lawsuits against Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. (“Volkswagen”) and Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC (“Mercedes-Benz”) in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas, asserting all four Carucel patents. Volkswagen and Mercedes-Benz then filed petitions for several *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceedings of all of the Carucel patents, which were instituted by the Board. A third-party organization, Unified Patents, Inc. (“Unified”), also obtained institution of an IPR of certain claims of the ’023 patent.

In their petitions seeking institution of IPRs, Volkswagen and Mercedes-Benz set out various obviousness combinations, based on (as pertinent to here) primary prior art references U.S. Patent Nos. 5,559,865 (“Gilhausen865”), 5,519,761 (“Gilhausen761”), and 5,276,686 (“Ito”).<sup>1</sup> Gilhausen865 and Gilhausen761, which share

---

<sup>1</sup> Unified’s grounds for unpatentability were obviousness combinations involving primary references U.S. Patent Nos. 5,422,934 (“Massa”) and 4,748,655

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.