

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Charter Communications, Inc.

Petitioner

v.

Sprint Communications Company

Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,757,907  
Case No. IPR2019-01139

---

**DECLARATION OF KEVIN ALMEROOTH, PH.D.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND ..... 2

III. OVERVIEW OF THE '7907 PATENT ..... 12

    A. Overview of the '7907 Patent..... 12

    B. Prosecution History ..... 14

IV. LEGAL STANDARDS ..... 15

V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL ..... 18

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 18

    A. “A video-on-demand system” (claim 1) / “operating a video-on-demand system” (claims 21 and 41) ..... 19

    B. “viewer control signal” (claims 1, 21, 41) ..... 20

    C. “transfer . . . [first/second] video signals” (claim 1) / “transferring [first/second] video signals” (claims 21, 41)..... 21

    D. Agreed Constructions in Comcast Claim Construction Order..... 22

VII. DETAILED ANALYSIS..... 23

    A. PRIOR ART CONSIDERED..... 23

        1. *Humpleman* ..... 23

        2. *Yosuke* ..... 24

        3. *Ellis* ..... 25

    B. Ground 1: *Humpleman* Anticipates Claims 1-53..... 25

        1. Independent Claim 1 ..... 25

        2. Dependent Claim 2 ..... 50

        3. Dependent Claim 3 ..... 51

        4. Dependent Claim 4 ..... 55

        5. Dependent Claim 5 ..... 56

        6. Dependent Claim 6 ..... 57

        7. Dependent Claim 7 ..... 59

        8. Dependent Claim 8 ..... 60

9.	Dependent Claim 9 .....	62
10.	Dependent Claim 10 .....	63
11.	Dependent Claim 11 .....	66
12.	Dependent Claim 12 .....	67
13.	Dependent Claim 13 .....	69
14.	Dependent Claim 14 .....	71
15.	Dependent Claim 15 .....	72
16.	Dependent Claim 16 .....	73
17.	Dependent Claim 17 .....	73
18.	Dependent Claim 18 .....	74
19.	Dependent Claim 19 .....	75
20.	Dependent Claim 20 .....	76
21.	Independent Claim 21 .....	77
22.	Dependent Claims 22-40.....	78
23.	Independent Claim 41 .....	79
24.	Dependent Claims 42-53.....	80
C.	Ground 2: <i>Humpleman</i> and <i>Yosuke</i> Render Obvious Claims 1-53 .....	81
1.	Independent Claim 1 .....	87
2.	Dependent Claims 2-4, 6-14, 16-17.....	91
3.	Dependent Claim 5 .....	91
4.	Dependent Claim 15 .....	92
5.	Dependent Claims 18-19.....	92
6.	Dependent Claim 20 .....	94
7.	Independent Claim 21 .....	95
8.	Dependent Claims 22-40.....	96
9.	Independent Claim 41 .....	97
10.	Dependent Claims 42-53.....	98
D.	Ground 3: <i>Humpleman</i> and <i>Ellis</i> Render Obvious Claims 1-53.....	98

1.	Independent Claim 1 .....	104
2.	Dependent Claims 2-3, 5-9, 12-17 .....	107
3.	Dependent Claim 4 .....	108
4.	Dependent Claim 10 .....	109
5.	Dependent Claim 11 .....	110
6.	Dependent Claims 18-19.....	112
7.	Dependent Claim 20 .....	114
8.	Independent Claim 21 .....	115
9.	Dependent Claims 22-40.....	116
10.	Independent Claim 41 .....	117
11.	Dependent Claims 42-53.....	118
VIII. CONCLUSION.....		118

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. I, Kevin Almeroth, Ph.D., submit this declaration to state my opinions on the matters described below.

2. I have been retained by Petitioner Charter Communications, Inc. as an independent expert in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

3. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 6,757,907 (“the ’7907 patent”), and I have been asked to provide my opinions as to the patentability of the claims of the ’7907 patent. I have been informed that a copy of the ’7907 patent is attached to the petition as Exhibit 1001.

4. This declaration sets forth the opinions that I have formed in this proceeding based on my study of the evidence, my understanding as an expert in the field, and my education, training, research, knowledge, and personal and professional experience.

5. I am being compensated for my time at the rate of \$700 per hour for my work. This compensation is not contingent in any way upon the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this proceedings, nor does it affect the substance of my statements in this declaration.

6. I have no financial interest in the Petitioner, the Patent Owner Sprint Communications Company, or the ’7907 patent, and I have not had any contact

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.