

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Charter Communications, Inc.

Petitioner

v.

Sprint Communications Company

Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,757,907  
Case No. IPR2019-01139

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT .....	1
II.	THE '7907 PATENT .....	2
A.	Overview .....	2
B.	Challenged Claims .....	4
III.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED .....	12
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL .....	13
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	13
A.	“A video-on-demand system” (claim 1) / “operating a video-on-demand system” (claims 21 and 41) .....	14
B.	“viewer control signal” (claims 1, 21, 41) .....	14
C.	“transfer . . . [first/second] video signals” (claim 1) / “transferring [first/second] video signals” (claims 21, 41) .....	15
D.	Agreed Constructions in <i>Comcast</i> Order .....	16
VI.	CLAIMS 1-53 ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER THE PRIOR ART .....	16
A.	Overview of the Prior Art.....	16
1.	<i>Humbleman</i> .....	16
2.	<i>Yosuke</i> .....	17
3.	<i>Ellis</i> .....	18
B.	Ground 1: <i>Humbleman</i> Anticipates Claims 1-53 .....	18
1.	Independent Claim 1 .....	18
2.	Dependent Claim 2 .....	39
3.	Dependent Claim 3 .....	40
4.	Dependent Claim 4 .....	41
5.	Dependent Claim 5 .....	42
6.	Dependent Claim 6 .....	43
7.	Dependent Claim 7 .....	45
8.	Dependent Claim 8 .....	45
9.	Dependent Claim 9 .....	46

10.	Dependent Claim 10 .....	47
11.	Dependent Claim 11 .....	48
12.	Dependent Claim 12 .....	48
13.	Dependent Claim 13 .....	49
14.	Dependent Claim 14 .....	50
15.	Dependent Claim 15 .....	51
16.	Dependent Claim 16 .....	51
17.	Dependent Claim 17 .....	52
18.	Dependent Claim 18 .....	52
19.	Dependent Claim 19 .....	53
20.	Dependent Claim 20 .....	54
21.	Independent Claim 21 .....	54
22.	Dependent Claims 22-40.....	55
23.	Independent Claim 41 .....	55
24.	Dependent Claims 42-53.....	56
C.	Ground 2: <i>Humpleman</i> and <i>Yosuke</i> Render Obvious Claims 1-53 .....	56
1.	Independent Claim 1 .....	62
2.	Dependent Claims 2-4, 6-14, 16-17.....	64
3.	Dependent Claim 5 .....	64
4.	Dependent Claim 15 .....	65
5.	Dependent Claims 18-19.....	66
6.	Dependent Claim 20 .....	67
7.	Independent Claim 21 .....	68
8.	Dependent Claims 22-40.....	68
9.	Independent Claim 41 .....	68
10.	Dependent Claims 42-53.....	69
D.	Ground 3: <i>Humpleman</i> and <i>Ellis</i> Render Obvious Claims 1-53.....	69
1.	Independent Claim 1 .....	75

2.	Dependent Claims 2-3, 5-9, 12-17.....	77
3.	Dependent Claim 4 .....	77
4.	Dependent Claim 10 .....	78
5.	Dependent Claim 11 .....	79
6.	Dependent Claims 18-19.....	80
7.	Dependent Claim 20 .....	81
8.	Independent Claim 21 .....	83
9.	Dependent Claims 22-40.....	83
10.	Independent Claim 41 .....	83
11.	Dependent Claims 42-53.....	83
VII.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	84
A.	Real Party-in-Interest .....	84
B.	Related Matters.....	84
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel, and Service Information .....	85
VIII.	CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.24(d).....	86
IX.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	86
X.	CONCLUSION.....	86

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,757,907 to Schumacher et al. (“the ’7907 patent”)
Ex. 1002	Declaration of Kevin C. Almeroth (“Almeroth”)
Ex. 1003	Curriculum Vitae of Kevin C. Almeroth, Ph.D.
Ex. 1004	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,907
Ex. 1005	RESERVED - OMITTED
Ex. 1006	European Patent Application EP0872987A2 to Yosuke (“Yosuke”), filed March 31, 1998, and published on October 21, 1998
Ex. 1007	RESERVED - OMITTED
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,913,278 to Ellis et al. (“Ellis”), filed June 19, 2007, continuation to Application No. 09/354,344, filed July 16, 1999, issued on March 22, 2011
Ex. 1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,182,094 to Humbleman et al. (“Humbleman”), filed June 24, 1998, and issued on January 30, 2001
Ex. 1010	Claim Construction Order from <i>Comcast Cable Commc’ns, LLC v. Sprint Commc’ns Co., LP</i> , Case No. 2:12-cv-859-JD, Dkt. 162 (Aug. 15, 2014, E.D. Pa.) (“Comcast Order”)
Ex. 1011	Sprint’s Opening Claim Construction Br. from <i>Comcast Cable Commc’ns, LLC v. Sprint Commc’ns Co., LP</i> , Case No. 2:12-cv-859-JD, Dkt. 162 (Aug. 15, 2014, E.D. Pa.) (“Sprint Claim Construction Br.”)

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.