

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-01139

Patent No. 6,757,907

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Relevant Factual Background.....	3
	A. The '7907 Patent	3
	B. The '7907 Claims	5
III.	The Asserted Grounds	6
	A. Humpleman	7
	B. Yosuke.....	12
	C. Ellis.....	14
IV.	Level of Ordinary Skill.....	16
V.	Claim Construction.....	16
VI.	Petitioner Fails to Show a Reasonable Likelihood of Prevailing on Any Claim.....	17
	A. Legal Standard.....	17
	B. Humpleman Does Not Anticipate the Challenged Claims (Ground 1)	19
	1. Humpleman does not teach transferring a <i>control screen signal</i> as Element [B] requires.....	20
	a) Under Petitioner's primary mapping, Element [B] is not satisfied.	20
	b) Petitioner's mapping assumes an incorrect location of the <i>alleged processing system</i> , and Humpleman—read correctly—does not anticipate the '7907 claims.	22
	c) Element [B] is not satisfied under Petitioner's alternative mapping either.	25

2.	Humpleman does not teach “a processing system configured to . . . receive a viewer control signal from the second communication interface,” as Element [C] requires.	27
3.	Humpleman’s session manager cannot transfer <i>video signals</i> to a <i>first</i> or <i>second communication interface</i> based on indications in a <i>viewer control signal</i> and therefore does not satisfy Element [C].	28
a)	Humpleman does not disclose that the alleged processing system transfers video signals as disclosed in Element [C].	29
b)	Humpleman does not disclose that video signals are transferred to the alleged first or second communication interfaces.	30
c)	Under Petitioner’s alternative mapping, Humpleman still fails to disclose Element [C] for the same reasons.	32
4.	Petitioner fails to demonstrate a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail on Ground 1 for any claim.	33
C.	The Challenged Claims Would Not Have Been Obvious over Humpleman and Yosuke (Ground 2).	33
D.	The Challenged Claims Would Not Have Been Obvious over Humpleman and Ellis (Ground 3).	34
VII.	The Board Should Exercise Discretion to Deny Institution on Multiple Parallel Proceedings.	35
VIII.	Conclusion	36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	18
<i>In re Nuvasive</i> , 841 F.3d 966 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	18
<i>In re Robertson</i> , 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	27
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	18
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	18
<i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.</i> , 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	18
<i>PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	18
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	16
<i>Star Scientific, Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 655 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	18

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)	18
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	18

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	16
-----------------------------	----

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	18
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	18



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.