Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 15
Entered: May 19, 2020

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., BLACKBERRY CORP.,[1]
LG ELECTRONICS INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC
Patent Owner.

IPR2019-00252
Patent 7,167,487 B2

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, and JOHN F. HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Final Written Decision
Determining All Challenged Claims Unpatentable
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)

[1] BlackBerry Corp., who filed a petition in IPR2019-01283, has been joined as a petitioner to this proceeding.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

Apple Inc., LG Electronics Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Petitioner")[2,3] filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claims 11–13 ("the challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. 7,167,487 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '487 patent"). Paper 5 ("Pet."), 4. Uniloc 2017 LLC ("Patent Owner"), filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 9. Upon consideration of the Petition and Preliminary Response, we instituted *inter partes* review of all challenged claims on all grounds raised. Paper 11 ("Dec. Inst.).

Patent Owner filed a Response to the Petition (Paper 14, "PO Resp."), Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 16, "Pet. Reply"), and Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply (Paper 17, "PO Sur-Reply"). An oral hearing was held on March 3, 2020, and the hearing transcript is included in the record. *See* Paper 27 ("Tr.").

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b). This is a Final Written Decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73. For the reasons set forth below, we find Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of evidence that claims 11–13 of the '487 patent are unpatentable.

B. Related Matters

Petitioner and Patent Owner identify various matters between Uniloc USA, Inc. or Uniloc 2017 LLC, and Apple, Inc., Blackberry Corp., HTC

---

[2] Petitioner identifies LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. and LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A. Inc. as real parties-in-interest. *See* Pet. 72.

[3] BlackBerry Corp., which has been joined as a party to this proceeding, is also a Petitioner in this proceeding. *See* Paper 15.

America, Inc., Huawei Device USA, Inc., LG Electronics USA, Inc., Microsoft Corp., Motorola Mobility, LLC, Samsung Electronics America, Inc., or ZTE (USA), in various Federal District Courts, including District Courts for the Eastern, Western, and Northern Districts of Texas, the Central and Northern Districts of California, the District of Delaware, and the Western District of Washington, as matters that can affect or be affected by this proceeding. *See* Pet. 72; Paper 7, 2.

C. Evidence Relied Upon[4]

References		**Effective Date**[5]	**Exhibit**
MAC protocol specification (Release 1999), 3rd Generation Partnership Project, 3GPP TS 25.321 V3.6.0 (2000–12) ("TS 25.321").		Dec. 10, 2000	1007
Corrections to logical channel priorities in MAC protocol, 3rd Generation Partnership Project, 3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #18 ("R2-010182").		Jan. 23, 2001	1008
Services provided by the physical layer (Release 1999), 3rd Generation Partnership Project, 3GPP TS 25.302 V3.6.0 (2000–09) ("TS 25.302").		Oct. 16, 2000	1009
Peisa	US 6,850,540 B1	Feb. 25, 2000[6]	1013

[4] Petitioner also relies upon the Declarations of R. Michael Buehrer, Ph.D., FIEEE (Exs. 1002, 1019) and Craig Bishop (Exs. 1006, 1018).

[5] Petitioner relies upon the Bishop Declaration to establish the public availability of TS25.302, TS25.321, and R2-010182, and their respective publication dates. *See* Pet. 9, 12, 16; Pet. Reply 1–16.

[6] Petitioner relies on the U.S. filing date of Peisa to establish its availability as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). *See* Pet. 19.

D. Instituted Grounds of Unpatentability

We instituted review on the following grounds of unpatentability:

Claims Challenged	35 U.S.C §	Reference(s)/Basis
11–13	103(a)	TS 25.321, TS 25.302, R2-010182
11–13	103(a)	Peisa

II. ANALYSIS

A. The '487 Patent

The '487 patent "relates to a network with a first plurality of logic channels with which is associated a second plurality of transport channels . . . for the transmission of transport blocks formed from packet units of the logic channels." Ex. 1001, 1:4–8. According to the '487 patent, "[s]uch a network is known from the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP); Technical Specification Group (TSG) RAN; Working Group 2 (WG2); Radio Interface Protocol Architecture; TS 25.302 V3.6.0." *Id.* at 1:9–12.

The '487 patent describes the 3GPP network architecture disclosed in TS 25.302 V3.6.0 as follows:

> A physical layer offers transport channels or transport links to the MAC [Media Access Control] layer. The MAC layer makes logic channels or logic links available to an RLC layer (RLC=Radio Link Control). The packet units formed in the RLC layer are packed in transport blocks in the MAC layer, which blocks are transmitted from the physical layer through physical channels to a terminal, or the other way about, by the radio network control. Apart from such a multiplex or demultiplex function, the MAC layer also has the function of selecting suitable transport format combinations (TFC). A transport format combination represents a combination of transport formats for each transport channel. The transport format combination describes inter alia how the transport

channels are multiplexed into a physical channel in the physical layer.

Id. at 1:14–28. This architecture is illustrated in in Figure 2 of the '487 patent, which is reproduced below.



FIG. 2

Figure 2 is a "layer model" illustrating the various functions of a terminal or radio network controller in a 3GPP wireless network. *Id.* at 4:63–64, 6:9–16. The "layer model" includes a physical layer (PHY), a data connection layer (MAC and RLC), and a radio resource control layer (RRC). *Id.* at 6:16–19. The RRC layer is responsible for signaling between a wireless terminal and a base station's radio network controller (RNC), and "controls the layers MAC and PHY via control lines 10 and 11." *Id.* at 6:22–27. The RLC layer receives data in the form of packet units from application channels 14. *Id.* at 6:32–35. The MAC layer makes logic channels 13

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.