

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and  
CENTRAL SECURITY GROUP – NATIONWIDE, INC.,<sup>1</sup>  
Petitioner,

v.

UBIQUITOUS CONNECTIVITY, LP,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2019-01335 (Patent 8,064,935 B2)  
IPR2019-01336 (Patent 9,062,655 B2)<sup>2</sup>

---

Before JEAN R. HOMERE, JOHN F. HORVATH, and  
MELISSA A. HAAPALA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
*Granting Motion for Pro Hac Vice  
Admission of Anita M. C. Spieth  
37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

---

<sup>1</sup> Central Security Group – Nationwide, Inc., who filed petitions in IPR2019-01609 and IPR2019-01610 has been joined as a petitioner, respectively, to IPR2019-01335 and IPR2019-01336.

<sup>2</sup> This Order applies to each of the listed cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be docketed in each case.

IPR2019-01335 (Patent 8,064,935 B2)  
IPR2019-01336 (Patent 9,062,655 B2)

Petitioner Central Security Group – Nationwide, Inc (“CSG”) has filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Anita M. C. Spieth in each of these proceedings. *See* Paper 33.<sup>3</sup> The motion is supported by a declaration from Ms. Spieth. Ex. 1047. We have reviewed CSG’s motion and Ms. Spieth’s declaration, and determine that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Ms. Spieth *pro hac vice*.

#### ORDER

It is therefore

ORDERED that CSG’s motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Anita M. C. Spieth in each of these proceedings is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Spieth is authorized to appear as back-up counsel for CSG in these proceedings, but may not act as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that CSG is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in each of these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Spieth is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, as updated by the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, July 2019 Update (84 Federal Register 33,925 (July 16, 2019)) and August 2018 Update (83 Federal Register 39,989 (Aug. 13, 2018)); and

---

<sup>3</sup> Unless indicated otherwise, we refer to the papers filed in IPR2019-01335. Similar papers were filed in IPR2019-01336.

IPR2019-01335 (Patent 8,064,935 B2)

IPR2019-01336 (Patent 9,062,655 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Spieth is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

IPR2019-01335 (Patent 8,064,935 B2)

IPR2019-01336 (Patent 9,062,655 B2)

PETITIONER:

Benjamin Pleune

Christopher T.L. Douglas

Adam J. Doane

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

ben.pleune@alston.com

christopher.douglas@alston.com

adam.doane@alston.com

Stephanie L. Schonewald

Peter A. Flynn

Anita M. C. Spieth

CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP

sschonewald@choate.com

pflynn@choate.com

aspieth@choate.com

PATENT OWNER:

Cortney S. Alexander

Daniel A. Kent

KENT & RISLEY LLC

cortneyalexander@kentrisley.com

dankent@kentrisley.com