# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WORLD PROGRAMMING LIMITED,

Petitioner,

v.

SAS INSTITUTE, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-01459

U.S. Patent 7,447,686

# PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS THE PETITION AND TERMINATE THE PROCEEDING BEFORE INSTITUTION

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IPR2019-01459

### I. INTRODUCTION

On December 9, 2019, the Board authorized Petitioner World Programming Limited to file a motion to dismiss its petition for inter partes review and terminate the proceeding. This proceeding is in its preliminary phase. Patent Owner SAS Institute has filed a Preliminary Response but the Board has yet to reach the merits and issue a decision on institution. World Programming requests that the Board to dismiss its petition and terminate IPR2019-01459 to preserve the Board's and parties' resources and to achieve a just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution to this dispute that does not cause prejudice to SAS Institute. SAS Institute does not oppose this motion.

### II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The petition for inter partes review was filed on August 5, 2019. This proceeding is related to a district court action entitled *SAS Institute Inc. v. World Programming Limited, et al.*, which is pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas and is assigned Case No. 2:18-cv-00295. The patent at issue in this proceeding is one of four patents currently asserted against World Programming. The district court action is set for a jury trial in August 2020.

## **III. ARGUMENT**

Good cause exists to dismiss World Programming's petition for inter partes review and terminate this proceeding. Termination will preserve the Board's and

IPR2019-01459

the parties' resources, and would expeditiously resolve World Programming's request, furthering the purpose of IPR challenges. 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b). This proceeding is in its preliminary stage as the Board has not yet reached the merits and issue a decision on institution. SAS Institute does not oppose termination and will not be prejudiced by termination.

The Board "may terminate a trial without rendering a final written decision, where appropriate..." 37 C.F.R. § 42.72. The Rules governing IPR proceedings "shall be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding." 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b). In determining whether a termination request is "appropriate," the Board has looked primarily to the stage of the proceedings when a request is made, and has repeatedly granted pre-institution termination. *See, e.g.*, IPR2014-00905, Paper 7 at 1 (Aug. 26, 2014) (granting unopposed motion to terminate stating that a "decision on the Petition … has not yet been rendered. Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate … to terminate this proceeding without rendering a final written decision.")

The Board has repeatedly stated in other decisions that the stage of the proceeding is the most relevant factor to be considered in addressing a termination request. *Cf.* IPR2013-00016, Paper 31 at 3 (Dec. 11, 2013) (holding that "in view of the advanced stage of this proceeding, rather than terminate this proceeding, the Board will proceed to a final written decision" despite the joint nature of the

IPR2019-01459

motion); IPR2015-00035, Paper 30 at 4 (June 25, 2015) ("The instant proceeding is not in a preliminary stage; we issued a decision instituting *inter partes* review [two months prior]."). This proceeding is in its preliminary stage and termination is proper.

The Board should grant World Programming's motion. Such termination will further the purpose of the rules by expeditiously resolving this dispute without subjecting the Board and the parties to unnecessary expense involved in taking IPR2019-01459 through trial. The parties will incur substantial expense in preparing and presenting expert declarants for deposition, submitting substantive briefs and motions, and presenting at an oral hearing. Because of the procedural posture of the district court action, it is unlikely that a final written decision will issue in this proceeding before the district court action is tried to a jury, and therefore the parties are likely to incur duplicative expenses litigating the same or similar invalidity issues in this proceeding and in the district court. Therefore, World Programming submits that it is more efficient to focus its limited resources on the district court action and termination will not prejudice SAS Institute.

In addition, the Board will also have to expend substantial resources if it were to decline to terminate IPR2019-01459. It will likely be requested to address various procedural disputes, address potential requests for additional discovery, preside over an oral hearing, and draft a substantive decision on institution and a final written decision on the merits. All of these resources can be spared by terminating IPR2019-01459. Termination will reduce the overall burden of this dispute on the Board. Thus, termination will secure the "the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution" of the proceeding without prejudice to SAS Institute, and SAS Institute does not oppose the Board terminating IPR2019-01459.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

The Board should dismiss World Programming's petition and terminate IPR2019-01459.

Date: December 16, 2019

DOCKE

RM

/s/ Harper Batts Harper Batts (Reg. No. 56,160) hbatts@sheppardmullin.com Chris Ponder (Reg. No. 77,167) cponder@sheppardmullin.com Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP 379 Lytton Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301 T: (650) 815-2600 F: (650) 815-2601

Counsel for Petitioner

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.