

Filed: February 7, 2020

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,

PETITIONER,

V.

CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

PATENT OWNER.

Case No. IPR2020-00055

U.S. Patent No. 7,512,475

TITLE: AUTOMATIC LATERAL ACCELERATION LIMITING
AND NON THREAT TARGET REJECTION

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL.....	2
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE '475 INVENTION	2
IV.	CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	6
V.	PROSECUTION HISTORY	11
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	13
VII.	STANDARD FOR INSTITUTING IPR.....	13
VIII.	IPR SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED.....	15
A.	Petitioner Has Failed to Demonstrate a Motivation to Combine the Asserted Prior Art References.....	15
1.	A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine the Brochure with <i>Schmitt</i> —A Combination Required by Each of Grounds 1-5 (Claims 1-12).	15
2.	Grounds 2, 4 (Claims 2-3, 7): A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine the Brochure and <i>Schmitt</i> with <i>Ishizu</i>	28
3.	Ground 5 (Claims 10-12): A POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine the Brochure and <i>Schmitt</i> with <i>Khodabhai</i>	32
B.	Petitioner Has Failed to Demonstrate that the Prior Art Renders Obvious Every Element of the Challenged Claims.	35
1.	Claims 1-12 (Grounds 1-5): The Brochure Does Not Disclose “Measuring a Lateral Acceleration from a Lateral Acceleration Sensor.”.35	
2.	Claims 1-12 (Grounds 1-5): The Brochure Does Not Disclose “Detecting a Change in a Vehicle Lateral Acceleration Based on a Change in the Measured Lateral Acceleration.”	36

3. Claims 1-12 (Grounds 1-5): Petitioner Does Not Identify Disclosure of “Reducing the Vehicle Speed According to the Determination that the Vehicle is in the Turn and the Detected Change in Vehicle Lateral Acceleration.”	38
4. Claims 4, 8-9 (Grounds 1, 3): Petitioner Does Not Identify “determining the vehicle’s position within the turn.”	41
5. Claims 5, 9 (Ground 1, 3): The Brochure Does Not Disclose Reducing Vehicle Speed if the Vehicle Lateral Acceleration Exceeds a Predetermined Limit.	43
6. Claims 2, 3, 7 (Grounds 2, 4): <i>Ishizu</i> Does Not Disclose Measuring a Rate of Change in the Vehicle Yaw Rate.	46
7. Claim 12 (Grounds 5): Petitioner Does Not Identify Disclosure of “Verifying that the Object is in the Vehicle’s Path.	48
IX. ADJUDICATION OF THE CHALLENGED PATENT VIOLATES THE U.S. CONSTITUTION	49
X. CONCLUSION	50

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Acclarent, Inc. v. Ford Albritton, IV</i> , IPR2018-00268 (PTAB May 31, 2018) (Paper 10).....	26
<i>Arthrex v. Smith & Nephew</i> , 941 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 31, 2019).....	49
<i>Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Delta Resins & Refractories, Inc.</i> , 776 F.2d 281 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	27
<i>Celgene Corp. v. Peter</i> , 931 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	49
<i>Comcast Cable Comm’ns, LLC v. Rovi Guides, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01147 (PTAB Nov. 6, 2018) (Paper 41)	19
<i>Comcast Cable Comm’ns, LLC v. Rovi Guides, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00297 (PTAB Apr. 22, 2019) (Paper 7).....	15
<i>Deeper, UAB v. Vexilar, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01310 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2019) (Paper 7).....	14
<i>DSS Tech. Mgmt. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 2016-2523 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 23, 2018).....	31
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Parenteral Meds., Inc.</i> , 845 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	48
<i>Endo Pharm. Inc. v. Actavis LLC</i> , No. 2018-1054, 2019 U.S. App. LEXIS 13348 (Fed. Cir. May 3, 2019).....	22
<i>Google Inc. v. Koninklijke Philips N.V.</i> , IPR2017-00409, (PTAB June 5, 2017) (Paper 10).....	29, 34, 39
<i>HTC Corp. v. LeMaire Illumination Tech., LLC</i> , IPR2019-00090 (PTAB Apr. 12, 2019) (Paper 7).....	20, 32
<i>Hyundai Motor Company v. Blitzsafe Texas, LLC</i> , IPR2016-01476 (PTAB Jan. 24, 2017) (Paper 12).....	27

<i>In re Broadcom Ltd. v. Invensas Corp.</i> , IPR2017-00424 (PTAB July 3, 2017) (Paper 7).....	28, 46
<i>In re Butler</i> , 98-1555, 1999 U.S. App. LEXIS 5056 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 23, 1999)	47
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	47
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	15
<i>In re NuVasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16
<i>In re Zurko</i> , 111 F.3d 887 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	21
<i>Initiative for Medicines, Access & Knowledge v. Gilead Pharmasset LLC</i> , IPR2018-00390 (PTAB July 29, 2018) (Paper 7).....	27
<i>Innogenics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	22
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGo Comm’ns., Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	34, 42
<i>Life Techs., Inc. v. Clontech Lab., Inc.</i> , 224 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	18
<i>Lucia v. SEC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018).....	49
<i>Metalcraft of Mayville, Inc. v. Toro Co.</i> , 848 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	28
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Enfish, LLC</i> , 662 F. App’x 981 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	24, 26
<i>One World Technologies, Inc. v. The Chamberlain Group</i> , IPR2017-00126 (PTAB Oct. 24, 2018) (Paper 56)	18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.