UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DATASPEED INC.
Petitioner

v.

SUCXESS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2020-00116
U.S. Patent No. 9,871,671

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U. S. PATENT NO. 9,871,671 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. REQUIREMENTS FOR AN *INTER PARTES* REVIEW PETITION 1

A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)) 1

B. Notice of Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) 1

C. Notice of Real-Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) 2

D. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) 2

E. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review 2

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)) 3

A. For U.S. Patent No. 9,871,671 3

IV. THE PURPORTED INVENTION 3

A. The Background of the '671 Patent 3

B. The Purported Solution 4

V. SUMMARY OF THE RELEVANT PROSECUTION HISTORY 5

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 6

A. Claim Construction 6

1. "data bus" (claims 1, 4, 6, 9-10, 13-15, 19) 7

2. "adding a second data bus" (claim 1) / "wherein the second data bus is added to the vehicle during a retrofit" (claim 19) 10

3. "responds" (claim 12) 11

VII. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 12

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

VIII. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PRIOR ART AND EVIDENCE OF CAN BUS STANDARDS....13

A. U.S. Patent No. 7,737,831 ("Munoz") 13

B. U.S. Patent No. 6,812,832 ("Lobaza")....13

C. Installation Manual For A Multimedia Interface 1280 ("Dietz") 13

D. Robert Bosch GbmH, "CAN Specification, Version 2.0" ("Bosch")....14

E. "Getting Control Through CAN," *Sensors*, October 2000, Vol. 17, #10 ("Negley")....14

F. SAE Technical Paper Series, 930005, "A Gateway For CAN Specification 2.0 Non-Passive Devices," by Szydlowski ("SAE") 15

G. Background on Controlled Area Networks (CAN) Systems 15

IX. PRECISE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED 18

A. Ground 1: Claims 1-15 and 19 Are Rendered Obvious by Munoz alone or in view of Negley, SAE and Bosch 18

1. Independent Claim 1: A method comprising....18

2. Dependent Claim 2: The method as in claim 1, wherein the second message uses the identifier of the first message. 26

3. Dependent Claim 3: The method as in claim 1, further comprising receiving the first message in the retrofit apparatus....27

4. Dependent Claim 4: The method as in claim 3, wherein the retrofit apparatus re-transmits messages received on the vehicle data bus to the factory-installed first apparatus through the second data bus. 27

5. Dependent Claim 5: The vehicle that has been retrofitted according to the method as in claim 1....28

6. Independent Claim 6: A vehicle comprising: 28

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

7. Dependent Claim 7: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the first message comprises a message identifier that has been assigned to the factory-installed second apparatus and wherein the second processor is programmed to transmit the second message with the same message identifier. 32

8. Dependent Claim 8: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the message identifier is an 11 bit or 29 bit CAN ID. 33

9. Dependent Claim 9: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the vehicle data bus is a CAN network. 34

10. Independent Claim 10: A vehicle comprising: 34

11. Dependent Claim 11: The method as in claim 10, wherein the second message originating from the retrofit apparatus is indistinguishable to the first apparatus from the first message which the first processor is programmed to receive from the second apparatus. 37

12. Dependent Claim 12: The method as in claim 10, wherein the factory-installed first apparatus responds to the second message originating from the retrofit apparatus as if it were the first message which the first processor is programmed to receive from the factory-installed second apparatus. 38

13. Dependent Claim 13: The method as in claim 10, wherein the factory-installed first apparatus is electrically disconnected from the vehicle data bus. 38

14. Dependent Claim 14: The method as in claim 10, wherein the retrofit apparatus is a gateway through which the factory-installed first apparatus transmits and/or receives messages from the vehicle data bus. 39

15. Dependent Claim 15: The method as in claim 10, wherein the retrofit apparatus selectively suppresses forwarding messages received from the factory-installed first apparatus to the vehicle data bus. 39

16. Dependent Claim 19: The method as in claim 10, wherein the second data bus is added to the vehicle during a retrofit. 41

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

B. Ground 2: Claims 16-18 Are Rendered Obvious by Munoz alone or in view of Negley, SAE and Bosch, further in view of Lobaza 41

1. Motivation for the Combination 41

2. Dependent Claims 16-18: The vehicle as in claim 10, wherein the factory-installed second apparatus is [an object sensor capable of detecting objects in a frontal area of the vehicle/part of an automatic braking system/part of a parking aid system]. 43

C. Ground 3: Claims 1-15 and 19 Are Rendered Obvious by Dietz in view of Negley, SAE, and Bosch.....43

1. Independent Claim 1: A method comprising:.....44

2. Dependent Claim 2: The method as in claim 1, wherein the second message uses the identifier of the first message. 51

3. Dependent Claim 3: The method as in claim 1, further comprising receiving the first message in the retrofit apparatus.....52

4. Dependent Claim 4: The method as in claim 3, wherein the retrofit apparatus re-transmits messages received on the vehicle data bus to the factory-installed first apparatus through the second data bus. 53

5. Dependent Claim 5: The vehicle that has been retrofitted according to the method as in claim 1.....53

6. Independent Claim 6: A vehicle comprising: 53

7. Dependent Claim 7: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the first message comprises a message identifier that has been assigned to the factory-installed second apparatus and wherein the second processor is programmed to transmit the second message with the same message identifier. 55

8. Dependent Claim 8: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the message identifier is an 11 bit or 29 bit CAN ID. 56

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.