

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DATASPEED INC.

Petitioner

v.

SUCXESS, INC.

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2020-00147
U.S. Patent No. 10,027,505

**DECLARATION OF ROBERT LEALE IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U. S. PATENT NO. 10,027,505 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 6 |
| II. | MY EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS | 6 |
| III. | STATUS AS AN INDEPENDENT EXPERT | 10 |
| IV. | MATERIALS CONSIDERED AND BASIS OF OPINIONS | 11 |
| V. | REFERENCE ACCESSIBILITY | 12 |
| | Ex. 1005 - Dietz – Installation Manual For A Multimedia Interface 1280 (“Dietz”) (Ex. 1005) | 12 |
| | Ex. 1006 - Negley, Getting Control Through CAN, Sensors, October 2000, Vol. 17, #10, (Ex.1006) (“Negley”)..... | 14 |
| | Ex. 1009 - SAE Technical Paper Series, 930005, A Gateway For CAN Specification 2.0 Non-Passive Devices , Szydlowski published and copyrighted 1993 (“SAE”) (Ex. 1009) | 15 |
| | Ex. 1010 - Robert Bosch GbmH, CAN Specification, Version 2.0, 1991(“Bosch”) | 16 |
| | Ex. 1011 - Johansson, Vehicle Applications Of Controller Area Network, Handbook of Networked and Embedded Control Systems, 2005, pages 741-765. (Ex. 1011)..... | 16 |
| | Ex. 1015 - Taube, Comparison Of CAN Gateway Module For Automotive And Industrial Control Apparatus, CAN In Automation 2005. (Ex. 1015) | 17 |
| VI. | DESCRIPTION OF THE RELEVANT FIELD AND THE RELEVANT TIMEFRAME | 19 |
| VII. | THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE RELEVANT FIELD IN THE RELEVANT TIME FRAME | 20 |
| VIII. | BACKGROUND ON CAN SYSTEMS | 21 |
| IX. | OVERVIEW OF THE ‘505 PATENT | 34 |

X. UNPATENTABILITY BASED ON PRIOR ART IN THE PRESENT PROCEEDINGS43

GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-13 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON MUNOZ USP No. 7,737,831 (“*Munoz*”), IN VIEW OF BOSCH, CAN Specification (*Bosch*, Ex. 1010),NEGLEY, GETTING CONTROL THROUGH CAN, EX. 1006 (“*Negley*, Ex. 1006”) AND SAE Technical Paper Series, 930005, A Gateway For CAN Specification 2.0 Non-Passive Devices , Szydlowski published and copyrighted 1993 (“*SAE*”) (Ex. 1009)46

Independent Claim 155

Claim 2.....60

Claim 3.....61

Claim 4.....62

Claim 5.....63

Independent Claim 6.....63

Claim 7.....66

Claim 8.....68

Claim 9.....69

Independent Claim 10.....69

Claim 1172

Claim 12.....73

Claim 13.....75

GROUND 2: CLAIMS 14-16 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON MUNOZ, IN VIEW OF BOSCH, CAN SPECIFICATION (BOSCH, EX. 1010), NEGLEY, AND ALSO IN VIEW OF LOBAZA US PATENT NO. 6,812,832, EX. 1014 (“*LOBAZA*”)75

Claim 14.....75

Claim 15.....78

| | |
|--|-----|
| Claim 16..... | 79 |
| GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-13 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON <i>DIETZ</i> , IN VIEW OF BOSCH, CAN Specification (Bosch, Ex. 1010), <i>Negley</i> , AND SAE | 80 |
| Independent Claim 1 | 83 |
| Claim 2..... | 93 |
| Claim 3..... | 94 |
| Claim 4..... | 96 |
| Claim 5..... | 96 |
| Independent Claim 6..... | 96 |
| Claim 7..... | 101 |
| Claim 8..... | 103 |
| Claim 9..... | 104 |
| Independent Claim 10..... | 104 |
| Claim 11..... | 107 |
| Claim 12..... | 107 |
| Claim 13..... | 108 |
| GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1-13 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON <i>DIETZ</i> , IN VIEW OF ALLEN, BOSCH, CAN Specification (Bosch, Ex. 1010), <i>Negley</i> , AND SAE | 109 |
| GROUND 5: CLAIMS 14-16 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON <i>DIETZ</i> , IN VIEW OF BOSCH, CAN Specification (Bosch, Ex. 1010), <i>Negley</i> , SAE and Further In view of Lobaza US Patent No. 6,812,832, Ex. 1014 (“ <i>Lobaza</i> ”) | 111 |
| GROUND 6: CLAIMS 14-16 ARE OBVIOUS BASED ON <i>DIETZ</i> , IN VIEW OF Allen, BOSCH, CAN Specification (Bosch, Ex. 1010), <i>Negley</i> , SAE and Further In view of Lobaza US Patent No. 6,812,832, Ex. 1014 (“ <i>Lobaza</i> ”) | 112 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Claim 14..... | 112 |
| Claim 15..... | 112 |
| Claim 16..... | 113 |
| GROUND 7: CLAIMS 6-12 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY ALLEN IN VIEW OF NEGLEY, SAE AND BOSCH | 116 |
| Independent Claim 6..... | 117 |
| Claim 7..... | 125 |
| Claim 8..... | 127 |
| Claim 9..... | 128 |
| Independent Claim 10..... | 129 |
| Claim 11..... | 133 |
| Claim 12..... | 134 |
| GROUND 8: CLAIMS 10 AND 14-16 ARE OBVIOUS OVER LOBAZA IN VIEW OF ALLEN, NEGLEY, SAE, AND BOSCH | 136 |
| Independent Claim 10..... | 139 |
| Claims 14-16..... | 142 |
| XI. SIGNATURE..... | 143 |
| APPENDIX A – MATERIALS CONSIDERED | |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.