

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DATASPEED INC.
Petitioner

v.

SUCXESS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2020-00147
U.S. Patent No. 10,027,505

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U. S. PATENT NO. 10,027,505 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319
AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES AND PETITION REQUIREMENTS	1
	A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	1
	B. Notice of Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	1
	C. Notice of Real-Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	2
	D. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
	E. Fees.....	3
III.	IDENTIFICATION OF CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED.....	3
IV.	THE PURPORTED INVENTION	4
V.	SUMMARY OF THE RELEVANT PROSECUTION HISTORY	5
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	6
	A. Claim Construction.....	6
	1. “data bus” (claims 1, 4, 6, 9-10 and 13).....	6
	2. “responds” (claim 12)	7
VII.	PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	8
VIII.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PRIOR ART AND EVIDENCE OF CAN BUS STANDARDS.....	8
	A. U.S. Patent No. 7,737,831 (“Munoz”)	8
	B. U.S. Patent No. 6,812,832 (“Lobaza”).....	9
	C. Installation Manual For A Multimedia Interface 1280 (“Dietz”)	9

D.	Robert Bosch GbmH, “CAN Specification, Version 2.0” (“Bosch”).....	9
E.	“Getting Control Through CAN,” <i>Sensors</i> , October 2000, Vol. 17, #10 (“Negley”).....	10
F.	SAE Technical Paper Series, 930005, “A Gateway For CAN Specification 2.0 Non-Passive Devices,” by Szydlowski (“SAE”)	10
G.	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2007/0016342 (“Allen”).....	10
H.	Background on Controlled Area Networks (CAN) Systems.....	11
IX.	PRECISE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED	13
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-13 Are Rendered Obvious by Munoz alone or in view of Negley, SAE and Bosch	13
1.	Independent Claim 1: A method comprising	13
2.	Dependent Claim 2: The method as in claim 1, wherein the second message uses the identifier of the first message.	21
3.	Dependent Claim 3: The method as in claim 1, further comprising receiving the first message in the retrofit apparatus.....	21
4.	Dependent Claim 4: The method as in claim 3, wherein the retrofit apparatus re-transmits messages received on the vehicle data bus to the factory-installed first apparatus.....	22
5.	Dependent Claim 5: The vehicle that has been retrofitted according to the method as in claim 1.....	23
6.	Independent Claim 6: A vehicle comprising:	23
7.	Dependent Claim 7: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the first message comprises a message identifier that has been assigned to the factory-installed second apparatus and wherein the second processor is programmed to transmit the second message with the same message identifier.	26

8.	Dependent Claim 8: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the message identifier is an 11 bit or 29 bit CAN ID.	28
9.	Dependent Claim 9: The vehicle as in claim 6, wherein the vehicle data bus is a CAN network.	28
10.	Independent Claim 10: A vehicle comprising:	29
11.	Dependent Claim 11: The vehicle as in claim 10, wherein the second message originating from the retrofit apparatus is indistinguishable to the first apparatus from the first message which the first processor is programmed to receive from the second apparatus.....	31
12.	Dependent Claim 12: The vehicle as in claim 10, wherein the factory-installed first apparatus responds to the second message originating from the retrofit apparatus as if it were the first message which the first processor is programmed to receive from the factory-installed second apparatus.....	31
13.	Dependent Claim 13: The vehicle as in claim 10, wherein the factory-installed first apparatus is electrically disconnected from the vehicle data bus.	32
B.	Ground 2: Claims 14-16 Are Rendered Obvious by Munoz alone or in view of Negley, SAE and Bosch, further in view of Lobaza	32
1.	Motivation for the Combination	32
2.	Dependent Claims 14-16: The vehicle as in claim 10, wherein the factory-installed second apparatus is [an object sensor capable of detecting objects in a frontal area of the vehicle/part of an automatic braking system/part of a parking aid system].	33
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-13 Are Rendered Obvious by Dietz in view of Negley, SAE, and Bosch.....	34
1.	Independent Claim 1.	35
2.	Dependent Claim 2.....	42

3.	Dependent Claim 3.....	42
4.	Dependent Claim 4.....	43
5.	Dependent Claim 5.....	43
6.	Independent Claim 6	44
7.	Dependent Claim 7.....	45
8.	Dependent Claim 8.....	46
9.	Dependent Claim 9.....	47
10.	Independent Claim 10.	47
11.	Dependent Claim 11.....	48
12.	Dependent Claim 12.....	48
13.	Dependent Claim 13.....	49
D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-13 Are Rendered Obvious by Dietz in view of Allen, Negley, SAE, and Bosch.....	49
E.	Ground 5: Claims 14-16 Are Rendered Obvious by Dietz in view of Negley, SAE, and Bosch, further in view of Lobaza.....	51
F.	Ground 6: Claims 14-16 Are Rendered Obvious by Dietz in view of Allen, Negley, SAE, and Bosch, further in view of Lobaza	51
1.	Motivation for the Combination	51
2.	Dependent Claims 14-16.....	52
G.	Ground 7: Claims 6-12 Are Obvious Over Allen In View of Negley, SAE, and Bosch	52
1.	Independent Claim 6	53
1.	Dependent Claim 7.....	58
2.	Dependent Claim 8.....	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.