

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_  
UNIFIED PATENTS INC.

Petitioner

v.

ACCELERATED MEMORY TECH, LLC

Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_  
IPR2020-00191

U.S. Patent 6,513,062

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT 6,513,062  
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1-7

---

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....	1
A. Real Party-in-Interest.....	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information .....	1
II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	2
III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	3
A. Prior Art Patents .....	3
B. Statutory Grounds for Challenges .....	4
IV. U.S. Patent 6,513,062.....	4
A. Summary.....	4
B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	6
C. Prosecution History .....	7
D. Priority Date .....	8
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	8
A. “external name” .....	9
B. “internal name” .....	10
C. “intermediate state information” .....	11
D. “mapping” .....	13
E. “a request receiver configured for receiving a first request for a first resource” .....	14
F. “a request handler configured for deriving intermediate state information used in generating a first response to said first request, said intermediate state information comprising a result of mapping	

---

an external name of the first request for the first resource to an internal name associated with the first resource” .....	15
G. “a request handler configured for retrieving said intermediate state information and further configured for generating a second response to said second request using intermediate state information” .....	16
VI. CLAIMS 1–7 ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	17
A. Ground 1: <i>Jenkins</i> renders claims 1–7 obvious.....	17
1. Overview of <i>Jenkins</i> .....	17
2. Analysis .....	21
B. Ground 2: Claims 1, 4, and 6 are rendered obvious by <i>Huitema</i> in view of <i>Mogul</i> .....	50
1. Overview of <i>Huitema</i> .....	50
2. Overview of <i>Mogul</i> .....	52
3. Analysis .....	52
VII. Discretionary institution .....	71
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	73
IX. CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT .....	74

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST**

EX1001	U.S. Patent 6,513,062 to Jay C. Weber
EX1002	Prosecution File History of U.S. Patent 6,513,062 (“’062 PH”)
EX1003	Declaration of Dr. Narasimha Reddy
EX1004	U.S. Patent 6,014,667 to Jenkins et al. (“ <i>Jenkins</i> ”)
EX1005	U.S. Patent 6,016,512 to Huitema et al. (“ <i>Huitema</i> ”)
EX1006	P. Mockapetris, RFC 1035, Domain Names – Implementation and Specification, November 1987 (“ <i>Mockapetris</i> ”)
EX1007	Complaint for Patent Infringement, <i>Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. Citrix Systems, Inc.</i> (N.D. Ga.)
EX1008	Microsoft Computer Dictionary (4 <sup>th</sup> ed.) (excerpts)
EX1009	U.S. Patent 6,262,987 to Jeffrey C. Mogul (“ <i>Mogul</i> ”)
EX1010	Unified Patents Voluntary Interrogatories
EX1011	U.S. Patent 5,774,660 to Brendel et al. (“ <i>Brendel</i> ”)
EX1012	RPX, “February Sees Assignments to a Crop of New NPEs,” last retrieved November 4, 2019.
EX1013	Assignment Records for ’062 Patent
EX1014	<a href="https://www.bizapedia.com/ga/accelerated-memory-tech-llc.html">https://www.bizapedia.com/ga/accelerated-memory-tech-llc.html</a>
EX1015	U.S. Patent 5,864,852 to Ari Luotonen (“ <i>Luotonen</i> ”)
EX1016	WO 99/14652 to Brundrett et al. (“ <i>Brundrett</i> ”)
EX1017	WO 98/26353 to Spilo et al. (“ <i>Spilo</i> ”)
EX1018	RFC 2068
EX1019	Reddy, A.L. Narasimha, Evaluation of Caching Strategies for an Internet Server

---

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8**

**A. Real Party-in-Interest**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Unified Patents Inc. (“Unified” or “Petitioner”) certifies that Unified is the real party-in-interest, and further certifies that no other party exercised control or could exercise control over Unified’s participation in this proceeding, the filing of this petition, or the conduct of any ensuing trial. In view of *Worlds Inc. v. Bungie, Inc.*, 903 F.3d 1237, 1242–44 (Fed. Cir. 2018), Unified has submitted voluntary discovery in support of its certification. See EX1010 (Petitioner’s Voluntary Interrogatory Responses).

**B. Related Matters**

As of the filing date of this Petition, and to the best knowledge of Petitioner, the ’062 Patent is or has been involved in the following matters:

Case Caption	Number
<i>Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. Hulu, LLC</i> (D. Del.)	1-19-cv-01158
<i>Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. Kemp Technologies, Inc.</i> (D. Del.)	1-19-cv-00939
<i>Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. F5 Networks, Inc.</i> (W.D. Wa.)	2-19-cv-00183
<i>Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. Barracuda Networks, Inc.</i> (N.D. Ga.)	2-18-cv-00175
<i>Accelerated Memory Tech, LLC v. Citrix Systems, Inc.</i> (N.D. Ga.)	2-18-cv-00052

**C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information**

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.