

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Ericsson Inc.

Petitioner

v.

Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute

Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,270,354

Filing Date: May 3, 2007

Issue Date: September 18, 2012

Title: METHOD FOR TRANSMITTING UP LINK CONTROL SIGNAL IN  
MOBILE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2020-00249

---

**UNOPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Board authorized Petitioner to file a motion to dismiss the present petition for *inter partes* review and thereby terminate IPR2020-00249 directed to U.S. Patent No. 8,270,354 (“the ’354 Patent”). (Board email dated February 27, 2020). Petitioner and Exclusive Licensee Sol IP have conferred via email, and Sol IP does not oppose the relief requested in this motion. Petitioner now so moves and respectfully requests that the Board dismiss the present petition and terminate IPR2020-00249 consistent with Board’s precedent allowing petitioners to withdraw IPR petitions pre-institution. This proceeding is in its preliminary phase, Sol IP has not yet filed a Preliminary Response, and the Board has not yet reached the merits by issuing a decision on institution.

## II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED IPR PROCEEDINGS

The present petition is one of thirteen petitions that Petitioner filed on December 10, 2019. The parties have entered into a confidential settlement agreement (“Settlement Agreement”) that will resolve the parties’ dispute regarding the challenged patents, including the related district court litigation in which the ’354 Patent was asserted against Petitioner (Case Nos. 2:18-cv-00526 (E.D. Tex.), 2:18-cv-00527 (E.D. Tex.), and 2:18-cv-00528 (E.D. Tex.)). This Settlement Agreement has been made in writing, and a true and correct copy shall be filed with this Office as business confidential pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). There are no other

agreements, oral or written, between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the parties filed Stipulations of Dismissal in the aforementioned cases, and the parties' disputes have been dismissed by the court. Moreover, Petitioner is preparing identical Unopposed Motions to Dismiss Petition for *Inter Partes* Review in each of the twelve other contemporaneously-filed proceedings currently pending before the Board:

1. IPR2020-00237;
2. IPR2020-00238;
3. IPR2020-00239;
4. IPR2020-00240;
5. IPR2020-00241;
6. IPR2020-00242;
7. IPR2020-00243;
8. IPR2020-00244;
9. IPR2020-00250;
10. IPR2020-00251;
11. IPR2020-00252; and
12. IPR2020-00253.

### III. ARGUMENT

Good cause exists to dismiss the present petition and terminate IPR2020-00249. The proceeding is in its preliminary stage and Exclusive Licensee Sol IP has not yet filed a Preliminary Response. “The Board may . . . dismiss any petition.” 37 C.F.R. 42.71(a); *see also* 37 C.F.R. § 42.72 (The Board “may terminate a trial without rendering a final written decision, where appropriate.”). Further, the rules governing IPR proceedings “shall be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of every proceeding.” *Id.* § 42.1(b). In determining whether a termination request is “appropriate,” the Board primarily examines the stage and nature of the proceedings. *See, e.g., Samsung Elecs. Co. v. NVIDIA Corp.*, IPR2015-01270, Paper 12 at 3 (Dec. 9, 2015). Here, dismissal will preserve both Board and party resources, particularly in view of the early stage of the proceeding.

The Board has precedent for allowing petitioners to withdraw IPR petitions pre-institution when proceedings are in a similar posture. *See, e.g., Intel Corp. v. Tela Innovations, Inc.*, IPR2019-01221, Paper 21 (Jan. 13, 2020); *Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd v. Harris Global Communications, Inc.*, IPR2019-01512, Paper 8 (Jan. 10, 2020); *Pfizer, Inc., v. Biogen, Inc.*, IPR2018-00231, Paper No. 11 (June 6, 2018); *Darfon Electronics Corp. v. Lite-On Technology Corp.*, IPR2018-01797, Paper No. 8 (January 9, 2019); *Turner Sports Interactive, Inc. v. Tagi Ventures, LLC*, IPR2017-01010, Paper No. 7 (July 31, 2017). Moreover, withdrawal

of the present petition does not prejudice the Patent Owner or its Exclusive Licensee, who do not oppose the filing of this motion.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, Petitioner Ericsson Inc. respectfully requests that the Board grant the Unopposed Motion to Dismiss Petition for *Inter Partes* Review in Case Number IPR2020-00249 and terminate the proceeding in its entirety.

Dated: March 2, 2020

**WINSTON & STRAWN LLP**  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166-4193  
Tel: (212) 294-2603  
Fax: (212) 294-4700  
Email:  
Winston-Ericsson-IPRs@winston.com

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Pejman Sharifi

Pejman Sharifi  
Reg. No. 45,097

*Lead Counsel for Petitioners*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.