

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ERICSSON INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2020-00315
U.S. Patent No. 7,075,917

MOTION FOR JOINDER
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c); 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)
TO RELATED *INTER PARTES* REVIEW IPR2019-00973

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested.....	1
II. Background and Related Proceedings.....	2
III. Statement of Reasons for Relief Requested	2
a. Legal Standard.....	2
b. Petitioner’s Motion for Joinder is Timely	3
c. The <i>Kyocera</i> Factors Favor Joinder	3
i. Factor 1: Joinder is appropriate	3
ii. Factor 2: Ericsson’s petition proposes no new grounds of unpatentability	5
iii. Factor 3: Joinder will not unduly burden or negatively impact the Microsoft IPR trial schedule.....	5
iv. Factor 4: Procedures to simplify briefing and discovery	7
IV. Conclusion	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Apple Inc. v. INVT SPE LLC,
IPR2019-00958, Paper 9 (PTAB May 30, 2019)6, 8

BlackBerry Corp. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC,
IPR2019-01283, Paper 10 (PTAB Nov. 5, 2019).....5

Celltrion, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.,
IPR2018-01019, Paper 11 at 14 (Oct. 30, 2018)7

Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd.,
IPR2018-01260, Paper 12 (PTAB Nov. 14, 2018).....7

Intel Corp. v. Alacritech, Inc.,
IPR2018-01352, Paper 11 (PTAB Jan. 8, 2019)6, 8

Kyocera Corp. v. Softview LLC,
IPR2013-00004, Paper 15 (PTAB Apr. 24, 2013)3

Lear, Inc. v. Adkins,
395 U.S. 653 (1969).....4

Pfizer Inc. v. Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH,
IPR2019-00980, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 15, 2019).....7

Samsung Elecs., Co. v. Raytheon Co.,
IPR2016-00962, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 24, 2016).....3, 5

Sony Corp. v. Memory Integrity, LLC,
IPR2015-01353, Paper 11 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2015).....5, 8

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 315(b)6

35 U.S.C. § 315(c)4, 5

::

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)4, 6

I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested

Ericsson Inc. (“Ericsson”) respectfully submits this Motion for Joinder together with a Petition (“Ericsson Petition”) for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,075,917 (“the ’917 patent”) filed contemporaneously herewith. The Board instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1–3, 9 and 10 of the ’917 patent in *Microsoft Corporation v. Uniloc 2017 LLC*, Case No. IPR2019-00973, on November 19, 2019 (“Microsoft IPR”). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), Ericsson requests institution of *inter partes* review of claims 1–3, 9 and 10 of the ’917 patent and requests joinder with IPR2019-00973.

Ericsson’s request for joinder is timely because it is made no later than one month after the November 19, 2019, institution date of the Microsoft IPR. The Ericsson Petition is substantively identical to Microsoft’s petition (“Microsoft Petition”) in the Microsoft IPR, and Ericsson seeks institution on the same claims, prior art, and grounds for unpatentability that were instituted in the Microsoft IPR. Therefore, Ericsson’s petition warrants institution for at least the same reasons that the Board instituted the Microsoft IPR. In addition, Ericsson proposes to streamline discovery and briefing by taking an “understudy role.”

Petitioner in the Microsoft IPR does not oppose Ericsson’s instant motion.

Joinder is appropriate here because it will not unduly burden or prejudice the parties to the Microsoft IPR and will efficiently resolve the question of the ’917

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.