

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GARDNER DENVER, INC.,
Petitioner,
v.
UTEX INDUSTRIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2020-00333
U.S. Patent No. 10,428,949

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.101

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| I. Introduction..... | 1 |
| II. Mandatory Notices..... | 1 |
| A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1): Real Party-in-Interest | 1 |
| B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters | 1 |
| C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) & (4): Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service Information..... | 2 |
| III. Payment of Fees Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.103..... | 2 |
| IV. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)..... | 3 |
| V. Overview Of Challenge and Relief Requested UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) | 3 |
| A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims for Which IPR Is Requested | 3 |
| B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): Grounds for Challenge | 3 |
| C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Claims Are Unpatentable | 4 |
| D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Evidence Supporting Challenge..... | 4 |
| VI. Background of the Technology..... | 4 |
| A. The Use of Packing in Reciprocating Plunger Positive- Displacement Pumps | 5 |
| B. Fabric Reinforced Seals | 9 |
| VII. Overview of the '949 Patent..... | 12 |
| A. Alleged Problem in the Art | 12 |
| B. Alleged Invention of the '949 Patent | 13 |
| C. Prosecution History | 14 |

| | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| D. | The Challenged Claims | 15 |
| VIII. | Overview of the Asserted Prior Art | 18 |
| A. | Hjelsand..... | 18 |
| B. | Kalsi..... | 19 |
| C. | Kohl | 19 |
| D. | Level of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art..... | 20 |
| IX. | Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3))..... | 20 |
| 1. | “fabric reinforced elastomeric material” (Challenged Claims 1-6, 9, and 10)..... | 21 |
| 2. | “resilient body” (Challenged Claims 6, 7, 9, and 11)..... | 23 |
| X. | How the Challenged Claims are Unpatentable..... | 24 |
| A. | Ground 1: Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 13 are Anticipated Over Hjelsand..... | 24 |
| 1. | Hjelsand Discloses the Geometric Limitations of the Challenged Claims | 24 |
| 2. | Hjelsand Discloses Fabric Reinforcement..... | 30 |
| 3. | Limitation-by-Limitation Analysis of the Challenged Claims in View of Hjelsand..... | 32 |
| B. | Ground 2: Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 13 are Obvious Over Hjelsand..... | 58 |
| 1. | Independent Claim 1 | 58 |
| 2. | Dependent Claim 2 | 60 |
| 3. | Independent Claim 3 | 61 |
| 4. | Dependent Claim 4 | 63 |
| 5. | Dependent Claim 5 | 63 |
| 6. | Independent Claim 6 | 64 |

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,428,949

| | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 7. | Independent Claim 9 | 64 |
| 8. | Dependent Claim 10 | 65 |
| 9. | Dependent Claim 13 | 66 |
| C. | Ground 3: Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 13 are Obvious Over Hjelsand in Combination with Kalsi | 67 |
| 1. | Independent Claim 1 | 67 |
| 2. | Dependent Claim 2 | 68 |
| 3. | Independent Claim 3 | 70 |
| 4. | Dependent Claim 4 | 71 |
| 5. | Dependent Claim 5 | 71 |
| 6. | Independent Claim 6 | 72 |
| 7. | Independent Claim 9 | 73 |
| 8. | Dependent Claim 10 | 74 |
| 9. | Dependent Claim 13 | 75 |
| D. | Ground 4: Claims 1-16 are Obvious Over Hjelsand in Combination with Kohl | 75 |
| 1. | Independent Claim 1 | 76 |
| 2. | Dependent Claim 2 | 77 |
| 3. | Independent Claim 3 | 79 |
| 4. | Dependent Claim 4 | 80 |
| 5. | Dependent Claim 5 | 81 |
| 6. | Independent Claim 6 | 81 |
| 7. | Dependent Claim 7 | 83 |
| 8. | Dependent Claim 8 | 84 |

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,428,949

| | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 9. | Independent Claim 9 | 84 |
| 10. | Dependent Claim 10 | 87 |
| 11. | Dependent Claim 11 | 88 |
| 12. | Dependent Claim 12 | 89 |
| 13. | Dependent Claim 13 | 89 |
| 14. | Independent Claim 14 | 89 |
| 15. | Dependent Claim 15 | 94 |
| 16. | Dependent Claim 16 | 94 |
| E. | Lack of Secondary Considerations..... | 95 |
| XI. | The Board Should Exercise Its Discretion to Institute IPR | 97 |
| XII. | Conclusion | 100 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.