

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

CANON, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TCL ELECTRONICS HOLDINGS
LTD., et al.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:18-cv-00546-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. THE '130 PATENT	1
A. “to buffer” / “which had been buffered” / “to . . . buffer” ('130 Claims 1-8) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.A)	1
B. “periodically repeat[ing] accessing of a URL of the moving image-streaming content” ('130 Claims 1, 2, 5, 7) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § II.B)	2
C. “a television broadcast program transmitted through a broadcast signal” ('130 Claims 2, 7) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.C)	5
D. “internet broadcasting content” ('130 Claims 1, 2, 5, 7) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § II.A).....	5
E. “a control unit for (1) controlling, responsive to the receiving by the operation unit of the operation of turning off the power source, to read out the URL information stored in the memory unit, and (2) controlling, while the power source is in an off state, to periodically repeat accessing of a URL of the moving image-streaming content which had been displayed before the turning off the power source, so as to receive by the receiving unit and to buffer in the buffering unit the latest moving image-streaming content, and (3) controlling, responsive to the receiving by the operation unit of the operation of turning on the power source, to read out from the buffering unit the latest buffered moving image-streaming content and to start the displaying on the display screen of the latest buffered moving image-streaming content” ('130 Claim 1) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.B).....	6
F. The similar “control unit” limitation in Claim 2 (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.B)	10
II. THE '767, '986, AND '206 FAMILY OF PATENTS	10
A. “USB mass storage class” ('767 Claim 3; '986 Claim 4) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.I).....	10
B. “USB imaging class” ('767 Claims 4, 5; '986 Claim 5) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.J)	11
C. “logically disconnects a communication connection” / “logically disconnect the communication with the communication unit” / “communication [with the external device] is logically disconnected”/ “communication with the external device is . . . a logical disconnection” ('767 Claim 2; '986 Claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 11; '206 Claims 4, 7, 8, 10) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.H).....	11
D. “end the display” ('767 Claims 1-3, 5-14; '986 Claims 4, 7, 8); “[stop / stops / stopping of] the display” ('206 Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-14) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.G).....	12
E. “continue the display” ('767 Claims 1, 4, 11, 13, 14; '986 Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 10, 11) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.F)	13
F. “a connection unit configured to connect an external device to be able to communicate with the external device” ('767 Claims 1, 11, 13, 14) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.D)	14
G. “a communication unit configured to communicate with an external device” ('986 Claims 1, 6, 10, 11; '206 Claims 1, 7, 13, 14) (<i>see</i> Op. Br. § III.D)	16

H. “a detection unit configured to detect whether or not the external device is physically connected to said connection unit” (’767 Claim 6) (*see Op. Br. § III.K*) 17

I. “a control unit configured to control said display unit to make a display based on data received from the external device with which a communication connection is established via [said/the] connection unit” (’767 Claims 1, 11, 13, 14) (*see Op. Br. § III.E*)..... 18

J. “control unit acquires class information indicating a class of the external device from the external device via said connection unit, controls said display unit to [continue/end] the display based on the data received from the external device at the time of disconnection of the communication connection with the external device if the class of the external device indicated by the class information is a predetermined class, and controls said display unit to [end/continue] the display based on the data received from the external device at the time of disconnection of the communication connection with the external device if the class of the external device indicated by the class information is not the predetermined class” (’767 Claims 1, 13) (*see Op. Br. § III.E*)..... 19

K. “a display control unit configured to display, on a display unit, an image received from the external device via the communication unit, and if communication with the external device is disconnected, to stop the display of the image received from the external device, wherein the display control unit varies a period of time from the disconnection to the stopping of the display of the image depending on a type of the external device (’206 Claim 1) (*see Op. Br. § III.E*)..... 20

L. “a display control unit configured to display, on a display unit, an image received from the external device via the communication unit, and if communication with the external device is disconnected, to stop the display of the image received from the external device, wherein the display control unit varies a period of time from the disconnection to the stopping of the display of the image depending on a determination result as to whether the disconnection of the communication with the external device is a physical disconnection or a logical disconnection” (’206 Claim 7) (*see Op. Br. § III.E*) 22

III. THE ’413 PATENT 23

A. “evaluating a degree of suitability” (’413 Claims 1, 7) (*see Op. Br. § III.M*)..... 23

B. “attribute of [a/the] remote control device” (’413 Claims 1, 5, 7, 11) (*see Op. Br. § III.L*)..... 25

C. “operation device” (’413 Claims 2, 8) (*see Op. Br. § II.C*)..... 26

D. “operation form” (’413 Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10) (*see Op. Br. § II.D*) 27

E. “an acquiring unit which acquires an attribute of a remote control device” (’413 Claim 7) (*see Op. Br. § III.N*)..... 27

F. “a determining unit which determines an operation form corresponding to the remote control device from among a plurality of operation forms previously stored in a storing unit based on the attribute of the remote control device acquired by

the acquiring unit ... wherein the determining unit determines the operation form corresponding to the remote control device by evaluating a degree of suitability between the remote control device and each of the plurality of operation forms based on the attribute of the remote control device acquired by the acquiring unit. ('413 Claim 7) (*see* Op. Br. § III.N) 28

G. “a controlling unit which displays an operation screen related to the operation form which is determined by the determining unit displayed” ('413 Claim 7) (*see* Op. Br. § III.N) 30

IV. CONCLUSION..... 30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Agis Software Dev. LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc.</i> , No. 2:17-CV-513-JRG, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 174041 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 10, 2018)	8
<i>Apple Inc. v. Andrea Elecs. Corp.</i> , Nos. 2018-2382, -2383, 2020 WL 593661 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 7, 2020)	3
<i>Cellular Commc’ns Equip. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 6:13-CV-507, 2015 WL 1048890 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 9, 2015)	7
<i>Cellular Commc’ns Equip. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , No. 6:16-CV-475-KNM, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3759 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 8, 2018)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Cellular Commc’ns Equip. LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , No. 6-14-cv-00759, 2016 WL 1237429 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 26, 2016)	14
<i>Diebold Nixdorf, Inc. v. ITC</i> , 899 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	7
<i>IPS Grp., Inc. v. CivicSmart, Inc.</i> , No. 3-17-cv-00632, 2018 WL 6567843 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2018)	8
<i>MTD Prods. Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 933 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	9, 15, 18
<i>Nautilus Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014)	23
<i>Noah Sys., Inc. v. Intuit Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15, 17
<i>Northrop Grumman Corp. v. Intel Corp.</i> , 325 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	9, 16, 17
<i>Optis Wireless Tech., LLC v. Huawei Device Co.</i> , No. 2:17-CV-123-JRG-RSP, 2018 WL 476054 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 18, 2018)	9
<i>Optis Wireless Tech. LLC v. ZTE Corp.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-300-JRG-RSP, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 52657 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 19, 2018)	<i>passim</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.