

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VMWARE, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-00470
Patent 7,949,752

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-145

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

II. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY 2

 A. Prior art systems required significant work by a service provider in order to customize functionality for integrated networked services.....2

 B. The '752 patent describes network-based agents allowing end-user customization of network-based services.....3

 C. The Cited Prior Art.....6

 1. Chow (EX1018).....6

 2. Bauer (EX1019).....7

 3. White (EX1020)7

III. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(A) TO DENY INSTITUTION OF THIS PETITION AS AN UNDUE BURDEN ON BOARD RESOURCES (GROUNDS 1-4)..... 8

 A. The facts in this case support denial under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....9

 B. The Board has previously denied institution based on facts that are similar to the facts in this proceeding.12

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 17

V. GROUNDS 1 AND 2 ARE DEFICIENT BECAUSE THEY ARE PREMISED ON AN ERRONEOUS CONSTRUCTION OF “AGENT.” 19

VI. GROUNDS 3 AND 4 ARE DEFICIENT BECAUSE VMWARE DOES NOT ADEQUATELY EXPLAIN HOW OR WHY A MOBILE AGENT WOULD HAVE BEEN COMBINABLE WITH CHOW OR BAUER. 20

 1. VMware fails to explain how the combination of Chow and White achieves the claimed invention.20

 2. VMware does not explain why or how it would have been appropriate to modify Chow’s system to include a mobile agent even though Chow does not contemplate such a modification.23

VII. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE HOW THE ART TEACHES “THE SERVICE RESOURCE IS *EXHAUSTED UPON BEING CONSUMED* BY THE NETWORK-BASED AGENT.” (GROUNDS 1-4)..... 25

VIII. THE BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE PROPER OBVIOUSNESS RATIONALES FOR THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS, AS REQUIRED UNDER *KSR* (GROUNDS 1-4)..... 27

IX. CONCLUSION 29

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| 2001 | Joint Claim Construction Statement, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 17, 2020. |
| 2002 | Defendant's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, January 15, 2020. |
| 2003 | Order Resetting Markman Hearing, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 16, 2020. |
| 2004 | Exhibit C-1: Invalidity Chart for U.S. Patent No. 7,949,752, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, filed July 31, 2019. |
| 2005 | Preliminary Claim Construction Rulings, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, May 14, 2020. |
| 2006 | Disputed Claim Terms, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 17, 2020 |
| 2007 | Plaintiffs' Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, March 6, 2020 |
| 2008 | Defendant VMware, Inc.'s Opening Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, March 6, 2020 |

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 2009 | Defendant VMware, Inc.'s Responsive Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, March 27, 2020. |
| 2010 | Plaintiffs' Reply Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 10, 2020. |
| 2011 | Defendant VMware Inc.'s Reply Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 10, 2020. |
| 2012 | Plaintiffs' Supplemental Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, May 13, 2020. |
| 2013 | Defendant's Supplemental Claim Construction Statement, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, May 13, 2020. |
| 2014 | Intellectual Ventures' Technology Tutorial of the '752 patent, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 24, 2020. |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.