

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VMWARE, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2020-00470
Patent 7,949,752

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-145

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Background.....	2
A.	Summary of the '752 patent.....	2
1.	General Magic	2
2.	Prior art systems required extensive customization by the service provider	2
3.	The '752 patent is an improvement over the prior art because it allows end-user customization of network-based services	4
B.	There is no dispute over the level of skill in the art.....	6
III.	Claim Construction	7
A.	Agent means a process that occupies a place and that is mobile, i.e., can move from a first place to a second place.....	7
1.	Agent as defined by the Specification	7
2.	Agent according to the plain meaning.....	8
B.	Service resource means a resource which enables a service to be performed	8
C.	Service permission means permissions to ensure that other agents have adequate service resources.....	11
IV.	Asserted References.....	12
A.	Chow teaches a stationary program on a Revision Manager that periodically checks for static content unrelated to Remote HTTP Servers	12
B.	White teaches that there are two unrelated, different system paradigms regarding remote computing and teaches an improved system for one of those paradigms	15
C.	Bauer teaches a networked agent	19
V.	Arguments	20
A.	Grounds 3 and 4 fail because Chow and White are not a proper obviousness combination for two reasons	20

1.	The Petition’s obviousness rational for Chow and White is factually wrong	20
2.	The combination of Chow and White articulated in the Petition renders Chow inoperable for its intended purpose.....	24
3.	Claim 14 is patentable because it does not teach security permissions within the meaning of the claim	29
B.	Grounds 1–4 fail because neither Chow nor White (either alone or in combination) teach all the elements of the ’752 patent because they do not teach tracking service resources to exhaustion.....	32
C.	Grounds 1 and 2 fail because they do not properly construe the term agent	34
D.	The combination of Bauer with the other references does not cure any of the above deficiencies.....	35
VI.	Conclusion.....	35

PATENT OWNER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Joint Claim Construction Statement, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 17, 2020.
2002	Defendant's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, January 15, 2020.
2003	Order Resetting Markman Hearing, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 16, 2020.
2004	Exhibit C-1: Invalidity Chart for U.S. Patent No. 7,949,752, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, filed July 31, 2019.
2005	Preliminary Claim Construction Rulings, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, May 14, 2020.
2006	Disputed Claim Terms, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 17, 2020
2007	Plaintiffs' Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, March 6, 2020
2008	Defendant VMware, Inc.'s Opening Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, March 6, 2020

Exhibit No.	Description
2009	Defendant VMware, Inc.'s Responsive Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, March 27, 2020.
2010	Plaintiffs' Reply Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 10, 2020.
2011	Defendant VMware Inc.'s Reply Claim Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 10, 2020.
2012	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Construction Brief, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, May 13, 2020.
2013	Defendant's Supplemental Claim Construction Statement, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, May 13, 2020.
2014	Intellectual Ventures' Technology Tutorial of the '752 patent, <i>Intellectual Ventures I, LLC et. al. v. VMware, Inc.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01075, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, April 24, 2020.
2015	Curriculum Vitae of Prashant Shenoy, PH.D.
2016	Declaration of Prashant Shenoy, PH.D. in Support of Patent Owner's Response
2017	Nieva, Richard, "At Premiere of General Magic Doc, Tech Icons Consider the Future," Cnet.com (July 27, 2018)
2018	U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,425,780 (filed Dec. 15, 2007)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.