SIMPLE MAIL TRANSFER PROTOCOL

Jonathan B. Postel

November 1981

Information Sciences Institute
University of Southern California
4676 Admiralty Way
Marina del Rey, California 90291

(213) 822-1511

RFC 788

November 1981 Simple Mail Transfer Protocol

TABLE OF CONTENTS



1. INTRODUCTION		1
2. THE SMTP MODEL		2
3. THE SMTP PROCEDURE		4
3.1. Mail		4
3.2. Forwarding		7
3.3. Verifying and Expanding		8
3.4. Sending and Mailing		
3.5. Opening and Closing		
3.6. Relaying		13
3.7. Domains		
4. THE SMTP SPECIFICATIONS		16
4.1. SMTP Commands		16
4.1.1. Command Semantics		16
4.1.2. Command Syntax		23
4.2. SMTP Replies		28
4.2.1. Reply Codes by Function Group		29
4.2.2. Reply Codes in Numeric Order		30
4.3. Sequencing of Commands and Replies		31
4.4. State Diagrams		33
4.5. Details		
4.5.1. Minimum Implementation		35
4.5.2. Transparency		35
4.5.3. Sizes		36
APPENDIX A: TCP		38
APPENDIX B: NCP		39
APPENDIX C: NITS		40
APPENDIX D: X.25		41
APPENDIX E: Theory of Reply Codes		
APPENDIX F: Scenarios		45
GLOSSARY		58
REFERENCES		61
Network Working Group	-	Postel
Request for Comments: 788	J.	ISI
request for comments. /oo		TST

Req Replaces: RFC 780, 772 November 1981

SIMPLE MAIL TRANSFER PROTOCOL

1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) is to transfer mail reliably and efficiently.

SMTP is independent of the particular transmission subsystem and requires only a reliable ordered data stream channel. Appendices A, B, C, and D describe the use of SMTP with various transport services. A Glossary provides the definitions of terms as used in this document.

An important feature of SMTP is its capability to relay mail across



transport service environments. A transport service provides an interprocess communication environment (IPCE). An IPCE may cover one network, several networks, or a subset of a network. It is important to realize that transport systems (or IPCEs) are not one-to-one with networks. A process can communicate directly with another process through any mutually known IPCE. Mail is an application or use of interprocess communication. Mail can be communicated between processes in different IPCEs by relaying through a process connected to two (or more) IPCEs. More specifically, mail can be relayed between hosts on different transport systems by a host on both transport systems.


Postel [Page 1]



2. THE SMTP MODEL

The SMTP design is based on the following model of communication: as the result of a user mail request, the sender-SMTP establishes a full-duplex transmission channel to a receiver-SMTP. The receiver-SMTP may be either the ultimate destination or an intermediate. SMTP commands are generated by the sender-SMTP and sent to the receiver-SMTP. SMTP replies are sent from the receiver-SMTP to the sender-SMTP in response to the commands.

Once the transmission channel is established, the SMTP-sender sends a MAIL command indicating the sender of the mail. If the SMTP-receiver can accept mail it responds with an OK reply. The SMTP-sender then sends a RCPT command identifying a recipient of the mail. If the SMTP-receiver can accept mail for that recipient it responds with an OK reply; if not, it responds with a reply rejecting that recipient (but not the whole mail transaction). The SMTP-sender and SMTP-receiver may negotiate several recipients. When the recipients have been negotiated the SMTP-sender sends the mail data, terminating with a special sequence. If the SMTP-receiver successfully processes the mail data it responds with an OK reply. The dialog is purposely lock-step, one-at-a-time.



Sender-SMTP

Receiver-SMTP

Model for SMTP Use

Figure 1

The SMTP provides mechanisms for the transmission of mail; directly from the sending user's host to the receiving user's host when the

[Page 2] Postel

RFC 788 November 1981 Simple Mail Transfer Protocol



two host are connected to the same transport service, or via one or more relay SMTP-servers when the source and destination hosts are not connected to the same transport service.

To be able to provide the relay capability the SMTP-server must be supplied with the name of the ultimate destination host as well as the destination mailbox name.

The argument to the MAIL command is a reverse-path, which specifies who the mail is from. The argument to the RCPT command is a forward-path, which specifies who the mail is to. The forward-path is a source route while the reverse-path, is a return route (which may be used to return a message to the sender when an error occurs with a relayed message).

When the same message is sent to multiple recipients the SMTP encourages the transmission of only one copy of the data for all the recipients at the same destination host.

The mail commands and replies have a rigid syntax. Replies also have a numeric code. In the following, examples appear which use actual commands and replies. The complete lists of commands and replies appears in Section 4 on specifications.

Commands and replies are not case sensitive. That is, a command or reply word may be upper case, lower case, or any mixture of upper and lower case. Note that this is not true of mailbox user names. For some hosts the user name is case sensitive, and SMTP implementations must take case to preserve the case of user names as they appear in mailbox arguments. Host names are not case sensitive.

Commands and replies are composed of characters from the ASCII character set [1]. Each 7-bit character is transmitted right justified in an 8-bit byte (or octet) with the high order bit cleared to zero.

When specifying the general form of a command or reply, an argument (or special symbol) will be denoted by a meta-linguistic variable (or constant), for example, "<string>" or "<reverse-path>". Here the angle brackets indicate these are a meta-linguistic variables. However, some arguments use the angle brackets literally. For example, an actual reverse-path is enclosed in angle brackets, i.e., "<Smith@ISIA>" is an instance of <reverse-path> (the angle brackets are actually transmitted in the command or reply).

Postel [Page 3]



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

