

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Juniper Networks, Inc.,

Petitioner

v.

Implicit, LLC,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent Nos. 8,694,683; 9,270,790; 9,591,104;
10,033,839; 10,027,780; 10,225,378

Named Inventor:
Edward Balassanian

Title: Method and System for Data Demultiplexing

**DECLARATION OF SETH NIELSON IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONS
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	2
III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED	3
IV. LEGAL STANDARDS	4
V. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	6
VI. THE CHALLENGED PATENTS	19
A. Overview	19
B. The '163 patent.....	24
C. The '683 Patent	26
D. The '790 Patent	28
E. The '104 Patent	29
F. The '780 Patent	29
G. The '839 Patent	31
H. The '378 Patent	31
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	32
A. “message”	32
B. “sequence of [two or more] routines”/“one or more routines” /“routines in the sequence of routines” /“list of conversion routines”.....	32
C. “state information”	33
D. “convert one or more packets having a TCP format into a different format” / “convert at least one or more of the packets of the message into a different format” / “convert packets having a TCP format into a different format” / “convert one or more packets in a transport layer format into a different format” / “convert packets of the different format into another format”	34
E. “execute a Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)” /“a transport layer protocol is executed”	35
F. “key [value]”	36

;

	<u>Page</u>
VIII. GROUND 1: SMITH IN VIEW OF DECASPER	36
A. Overview	36
B. Smith – “AltaVista Firewall”	37
C. Decasper	42
D. Motivation to Combine Smith and Decasper	48
E. The Combined Smith-Decasper System	52
F. The Combination of Smith and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’683 Patent Obvious	58
G. The Combination of Smith and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’790 Patent Obvious	88
H. The Combination of Smith and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’104 Patent Obvious	99
I. The Combination of Smith and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’780 Patent Obvious	112
J. The Combination of Smith and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’839 Patent Obvious	124
K. The Combination of Smith and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’378 Patent Obvious	125
IX. GROUND 2: CHECKPOINT IN VIEW OF DECASPER	132
A. Overview	132
B. CheckPoint – FireWall-1	133
C. Decasper	145
D. Motivation To Combine	145
E. The Combined CheckPoint-Decasper System	150
F. The Combination of CheckPoint and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’683 Patent Obvious	156
G. The Combination of CheckPoint and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the ’790 Patent Obvious	181

..

	<u>Page</u>
H. The Combination of CheckPoint and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the '104 Patent Obvious	190
I. The Combination of CheckPoint and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the '780 Patent Obvious	201
J. The Combination of CheckPoint and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the '839 Patent Obvious	210
K. The Combination of CheckPoint and Decasper Renders the Challenged Claims of the '378 Patent Obvious	212
X. The Cited Prior Art and Arguments Present Substantially Different Issues Than Those Previously Considered by the USPTO	219
A. Smith.....	219
B. CheckPoint	219
C. Decasper	221

...

1. I, Seth Nielson, submit the following declaration (the “Declaration”) in connection with the proceeding identified above.

I. INTRODUCTION

2. I have been retained by counsel for Juniper Networks, Inc. (“Juniper” or “Petitioner”) as a technical expert in connection with the proceeding identified above. I submit this Declaration in support of Petitioner Juniper’s Petitions for *Inter Partes* Review (each a “Petition,” and collectively the “Petitions”) of United States Patent Nos. U.S. Patent Nos. 8,694,683 (“the ’683 patent”); 9,270,790 (“the ’790 patent”); 9,591,104 (“the ’104 patent”); 10,033,839 (“the ’839 patent”); 10,027,780 (“the ’780 patent”); and 10,225,378 (“the ’378 patent”) (collectively, the “Challenged Patents”) against Patent Owner Implicit, LLC (“Patent Owner”). All “Ex. 10XX” cites herein are to the Exhibits to the Petitions. All “Ex. _” cites followed by a letter are to exhibits to this Declaration. All citations to “Section XX” are internal citations to the sections of this declaration.

3. I understand that Patent Owner has filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas alleging infringement by Juniper of certain claims of the Challenged Patents. *Implicit, LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.*, Case No. 2:19-cv-00037-JRG-RSP (E.D. Tex.).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.