

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**Oracle Corp.,**  
Petitioner,

v.

**Guada Technologies LLC,**  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-00598  
Patent No. 7,231,379

---

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE  
INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT 7,231,379  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.72**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.72, Petitioner Oracle Corp. and Patent

Owner Guada Technologies LLC jointly request dismissal and termination of the petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent 7,231,379 in IPR2020-00598.

Petitioner and Patent Owner have entered into a written confidential settlement agreement that fully resolves this matter. The parties are concurrently filing a copy of the settlement agreement as Exhibit 2001 and the single collateral agreement as Exhibit 2002 along with a request to treat it as confidential business information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). The undersigned represent that there are no other agreements, oral or written, between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the present proceeding and that Exhibit 2001 represents a true and accurate copy of the agreement between the parties that resolves the present proceeding, and that Exhibit 2002 is the only related collateral agreement.

On May 22, 2020, the parties informed the Board of the settlement via e-mail and requested authorization to file a joint motion to terminate the petition with respect to both the Patent Owner and the Petitioner. As set forth in an e-mail dated June 3, 2020, the Board authorized the filing of the requested joint motion to terminate this petition. Accordingly, Petitioner and Patent Owner jointly request termination of the present proceeding.

On June 10, 2020 (Paper 8), the Board directed the parties to re-file the

Motions to include any “related collateral agreements”, and denied the Motions without prejudice. The parties have now, upon the Board’s request, submitted all related collateral agreements (see Exhibit 2002).

Public policy favors terminating the present petition for inter partes review. Congress and federal courts have expressed a strong interest in encouraging settlement in litigation. See, e.g., *Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August*, 450 U.S. 346, 352 (1981) (“The purpose of [Fed. R. Civ. P.] 68 is to encourage the settlement of litigation.”); *Bergh v. Dept. of Transp.*, 794 F.2d 1575, 1577 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (“The law favors settlement of cases.”), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 950 (1986). The Federal Circuit places a particularly strong emphasis on settlement. See *Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe v. U.S.*, 806 F.2d 1046, 1050 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (noting that the law favors settlement to reduce antagonism and hostility between parties). And, the Board’s Trial Practice Guide stresses that “[t]here are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding.” Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 46,768 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Ending this petition for IPR early promotes the Congressional goal of establishing a more efficient patent system by limiting unnecessary and counterproductive costs. See *Changes to Implement Inter Partes Review Proceedings, Post-Grant Review Proceedings, and Transitional Program for*

Covered Business Method Patents, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,680 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Permitting termination provides certainty and fosters an environment that promotes settlements, creating a timely, cost-effective alternative to litigation.

Additionally, termination of this petition for IPR is appropriate as the Board has not yet “decided the merits of the proceeding.” See, e.g., Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48768 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Petitioner filed its petition for inter partes review on February 19, 2020 and filed Petitioner’s Motion For Joinder on February 20, 2020 seeking to join IPR 2020-01304. The Board has not yet ruled on Petitioner’s Motion For Joinder. IPR 2020-01304 was terminated on March 25, 2020.

The parties have now settled their dispute and have reached agreement to terminate this proceeding. The USPTO can conserve its resources through terminating now, removing the need for the Board to further consider the arguments and to render a Final Written Decision.

There are no other pending USPTO proceedings regarding the patent-at-issue. Therefore, the Parties respectfully request termination of this Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent 7,231,379 (IPR2020-00598).

Dated: July 1, 2020

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff  
Isaac Rabicoff  
Reg. No. 74,147  
**RABICOFF LAW LLC**

**Lead Counsel for Patent Owner**

/s/ James M. Heintz  
James M. Heintz (Reg. No. 41,828)  
DLA Piper LLP  
11911 Freedom Dr., Suite 300, Reston VA 20190  
Telephone: 703-773-4000  
Fax: 703-773-5200  
Email: jim.heintz@dlapiper.com

**Lead counsel for Petitioners**

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.