

No. 20-_____

IN THE
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

IN RE CINEMARK HOLDINGS, INC., AMC ENTERTAINMENT HOLDINGS, INC.,
AND REGAL ENTERTAINMENT GROUP,
Petitioners.

On Petition For a Writ of Mandamus to the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in
Case Nos. 2:19-CV-00266-JRG, 2:19-CV-00265-JRG, and 2:19-CV-00267-JRG
Judge Rodney Gilstrap

NON-CONFIDENTIAL PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

RICHARD S. ZEMBEK
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
1301 McKinney, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77010
(713) 651-5151

JONATHAN S. FRANKLIN
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
799 9th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 662-0466
jonathan.franklin@nortonrosefulbright.com

October 21, 2020

Counsel for Petitioners

Dolby Exhibit 1070

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Case No. _____.

In re Cinemark Holdings, Inc., AMC Entertainment Holdings, Inc., and Regal Entertainment Group

Filing Parties/Entities: Cinemark Holdings, Inc., AMC Entertainment Holdings, Inc., and Regal Entertainment Group

I certify the following information and any attached sheets are accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Date: October 21, 2020 Signature: /s/ Jonathan S. Franklin

Name: Jonathan S. Franklin

1. Represented Entities (Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(1)) – Provide the full names of all entities represented by undersigned counsel in this case.

Cinemark Holdings, Inc.

AMC Entertainment Holdings, Inc.

Regal Entertainment Group

2. Real Party in Interest (Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(2)) – Provide the full names of all real parties in interest for the entities. Do not list the real parties if they are the same as the entities.

None.

3. Parent Corporations and Stockholders (Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(3)) – Provide the full names of all parent corporations for the entities and all publicly held companies that own 10% or more stock in the entities.

Cinemark Holdings, Inc.: None.

AMC Entertainment Holdings, Inc.: Wanda America Entertainment, Inc.;
Dalian Wanda Group Co., Ltd.

Regal Entertainment Group: Cineworld Group plc

4. Legal Representatives (Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(4)) – List all law firms, partners, and associates that (a) appeared for the entities in the originating court or agency or (b) are expected to appear in this court for the entities. Do not include those who have already entered an appearance in this court.

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP: Brandy S. Nolan, Catherine J. Garza, Eric C. Green, Eric B. Hall, Erik O. Janitens, James S. Renard, Michael A. Swartzendruber, Stephanie N. DeBrow, and Darren Smith

Gillian & Smith, LLP: Melissa R. Smith

5. Related Cases (Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(5); *see also* Fed. Cir. R. 47.5(b)) – Provide the case titles and numbers of any case known to be pending in this court or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. Do not include the originating case number(s) for this case.

Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp., No. 3:19-CV-03371-EMC (N.D. Cal.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-00660 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-00661 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-00662 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-00663 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-00664 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-00665 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-01123 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-01209 (P.T.A.B.); *Dolby Labs., Inc. v. Intertrust Techs. Corp.*, No. IPR2020-01273 (P.T.A.B.)

6. Organizational Victims and Bankruptcy (Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(6)) – Provide any information required under Fed. R. App. P. 26.1(b) (organizational victims in criminal cases) and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case debtors and trustees).

None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

This document redacts highly confidential industry information, subject to a protective order, regarding the supply of equipment to Petitioners. See pp. 7, 15. This document additionally redacts all quotations from the district court’s Order, which remains fully sealed at the time this petition is being filed. See pp. 2, 3, 9, 10, 12, 13, 21-23, 26, 28-32.

	Page(s)
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
INTRODUCTION	1
JURISDICTION.....	4
RELIEF SOUGHT.....	4
ISSUE PRESENTED.....	4
FACTS	4
A. The First-Filed Action.....	4
B. The Present Actions	6
C. The Motion to Transfer	8
LEGAL STANDARD.....	10
REASONS WHY THE WRIT SHOULD ISSUE	11
I. THE DISTRICT COURT CLEARLY AND INDISPUTABLY RELIED ON AN INCORRECT LEGAL STANDARD TO DISREGARD THE PUBLIC INTEREST IN AVOIDING DUPLICATIVE LITIGATION IN FAVOR OF A FIRST-FILED ACTION	11
II. UNDER A CORRECT LEGAL STANDARD, TRANSFER IS REQUIRED BECAUSE PRIVATE INTEREST FACTORS ALSO OVERWHELMINGLY SUPPORT TRANSFERRING THIS CASE TO THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	21
A. Key Evidence Is In California.....	23
B. Key Witnesses Are Subject To Compulsory Process In California.....	26

C.	Witness Convenience Favors California.....	28
D.	Practical Problems Favor California	31
III.	THIS COURT’S IMMEDIATE INTERVENTION IS WARRANTED.....	33
	CONCLUSION	34
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPE-VOLUME LIMITATIONS, TYPEFACE REQUIREMENTS, AND TYPE STYLE REQUIREMENTS	
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
	CERTIFICATE OF CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.