



APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,
v.
PARUS HOLDINGS, INC.
Patent Owner

IPR2020-00686; Patent 7,076,433
IPR2020-00687; Patent 9,451,088

Paper 25 – Order Setting Oral Arguments

Grounds

IPR2020-00686

| Ground | Reference | Basis | Ch |
|--------|--|-------|------|
| I | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, and Goedken</i> | §103 | 1-6 |
| II | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, and Madnick</i> | §103 | 7, 1 |
| III | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, and Houser</i> | §103 | 5 a |
| IV | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, and Rutledge</i> | §103 | 9 a |

IPR2020-00687

| Ground | Reference | Basis | Ch |
|--------|---|-------|-----|
| I | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, and Goedken</i> | §103 | 1-6 |
| II | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, and Madnick</i> | §103 | 7 |
| III | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, and Houser</i> | §103 | 5 a |
| IV | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, and Rutledge</i> | §103 | 1-6 |
| V | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, Rutledge, and Madnick</i> | §103 | 7 |
| VI | <i>Ladd, Kurosawa, Goedken, Rutledge, and Houser</i> | §103 | 5 a |

“Speaker Independent Speech Rec

Speaker Independent – All Grounds

- All Grounds Fail Because The Petition Does Not Identify The Prior Art Reference That Teaches The “at least one speaker-independent speech recognition device operative on a computer and to said voice enabled device” Litigant’s Challenged Claim
 - The Petition does not establish that Claim 1(c) is taught by the prior art of Ladd, Kurosawa, and Goedken or by any other reference
 - As mapped by the Petition, Claim 1(c) reads as follows: “at least one speaker-independent speech recognition device operative on a computer and to said voice enabled device”
 - At least one speaker-independent speech recognition device operative on a computer and to said voice enabled device

Every Ground Of The Petition Relies On Ladd To Teach Speech Recognition Device (Petition)

- Ladd's disclosure of speaker-independent speech recognition is significantly inferior to the speaker-independent speech recognition disclosed by the '431 and '084 Patents, therefore the '084 Patent is not disclosed or taught by Ladd.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.