

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM GRECIA,

Patent Owner.

CASE: IPR2018-00418

Patent No. 8,402,555

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,402,555**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

January 4, 2018

Early Warning Services 1050

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)	1
A. Real Party in Interest	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
1. Lawsuits and Appeals	2
2. Petitions for Inter Partes Review	2
3. Summary of Prior MasterCard Petition	3
C. Notice of Counsel and Service Information.....	5
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW	6
A. Standing.....	6
B. Identification of Challenge.....	7
1. Claims Challenged	7
2. The Prior Art	7
3. Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a))	8
4. Supporting Evidence	8
5. Statutory Grounds	8
6. How Claims Are Unpatentable	8
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '555 PATENT	9
A. Priority Date	9
B. State of the Art Before the '555 Patent	9
C. Summary of the '555 Patent.....	11
D. Summary of the '555 Patent File History	12
E. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	13
V. PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS.....	13
A. “metadata of the encrypted digital media”	14
B. “[the request comprising a membership verification token provided by a first user,] corresponding to the encrypted digital media”.....	15
C. “verified web service”	16

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
D. “the branding request is a request from one or more secondary users”	16
E. Various “Modules” in Claims 12 and 26	17
1. Modules Practiced on Server Device.....	19
a. “first receipt module” (Claim 12).....	19
b. “authentication module” (Claim 12)	20
c. “connection module” (Claim 12).....	20
d. “request module” (Claim 12).....	20
e. “second receipt module” (Claim 12)	21
f. “branding module” (Claim 12)	21
g. “customization module” (Claim 26).....	22
h. “database module” (Claim 26)	22
i. “encryption module” (Claim 26)	23
2. Modules Practiced on a Data Processing Device	23
a. “selection module” (Claim 26).....	23
b. “password module” (Claim 26)	24
VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ’555 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	24
A. Identification and Overview of Key Prior Art References	24
1. Ameerally (Ex.1004).....	25
2. Gautier (Ex.1005).....	26
3. Frakes (Ex.1006).....	27
4. Venkataramu (Ex.1007).....	30
5. Zweig (Ex.1008)	32
6. Kondrk (Ex.1009)	34
7. Linking to iTunes (Ex.1010).....	34
8. Modifying Content in iTunes (Ex.1011).....	34
B. Motivation to Combine References	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
C. Ground 1: Claims 1-6, 8-22, and 24-25 Are Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu.....	38
1. Claim 1 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	38
2. Claim 2 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	50
3. Claim 3 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	51
4. Claim 4 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	52
5. Claim 5 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	53
6. Claim 6 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	53
7. Claim 8 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	54
8. Claim 9 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	54
9. Claim 10 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	55
10. Claim 11 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	56
11. Claim 12 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	57
12. Claim 13 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	63
13. Claim 14 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	63
14. Claim 15 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	63
15. Claim 16 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	63

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
16. Claim 17 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	63
17. Claim 18 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	64
18. Claim 19 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	64
19. Claim 20 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	65
20. Claim 21 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	65
21. Claim 22 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	65
22. Claim 24 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	65
23. Claim 25 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, and Venkataramu	65
D. Ground 2: Claims 7 and 23 Are Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, Venkataramu, and Linking to iTunes	66
1. Claim 7 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, Venkataramu, and Linking to iTunes	66
2. Claim 23 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, Venkataramu, and Linking to iTunes	67
E. Ground 3: Claim 26 Is Rendered Obvious by Ameerally, Gautier, Frakes, Zweig, Venkataramu, Kondrk, and Modifying Content in iTunes	67
VII. CONCLUSION.....	73

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.