Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., LG ELECTRONICS INC., and LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., Petitioners,

v.

PARUS HOLDINGS INC., Patent Owner.

> Case No. TBD Patent No. 7,076,431

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1 et seq

DOCKET

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES vi										
LIST	LISTING OF EXHIBITS ix									
MAN	MANDATORY NOTICES xi									
	A. Real Party-In-Interest xi									
	В.	B. Related Matters xi								
		1. U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas xi								
		2. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office xi								
	C.	Counsel and Service Information - § 42.8(b)(3) and (4) xiii								
I.	INTRODUCTION									
II.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING1									
III.	GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY1									
IV.	'43	1 PATENT2								
	A.	A. Technology								
	B.	Prosecution History								
	C.	Challenged Claims								
V.	PE	RSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART								
VI.	CL	AIM INTERPRETATION6								
VII.	EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS									
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1-2, 4-7, 10, and 13-14 Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in View of Neal									
		1. Kovatch's Disclosure6								
		2. Kovatch Is 102(e) Prior Art8								
		3. The Kovatch/Neal Combination12								
		4. Claim 116								
		a. [1pre]16								
		 (1) "A system for retrieving information from pre- selected web sites"								

	(2)	"by uttering speech commands into a voice enabled device"	17
	(3)	"and for providing to users retrieved information in an audio form via said voice enabled device"	17
b.	_] "a computer operatively connected to the rnet"	18
c.	said	" "a voice enabled device operatively connected to computer,[and] configured to receive speech mands from users"	18
d.	reco] "at least one speaker-independent speech ognition device operatively connected to said oputer and to said voice enabled device"	19
e.	oper] "at least one speech synthesis device ratively connected to said computer and to said ce enabled device"	20
f.	[1.e]	21
	(1)	"at least one instruction set [associated with said computer] for identifying said information to be retrieved"	21
	(2)	"said instruction set comprising: a plurality of pre-selected web site addresses, each identifying a web site containing said information to be retrieved"	22
g.	[1.f]]	24
	(1)	"at least one recognition grammar associated with said computer"	24
	(2)	"each said recognition grammar corresponding to each said instruction set and corresponding to a speech command comprising an information request selectable by the user	25
h.	devi enal corr	[] "said speaker-independent speech recognition ice configured to receive from users via said voice bled device said speech command and to select the responding recognition grammar upon receiving speech command"	29

	i.	[1.h] "said computer configured to retrieve said instruction set corresponding to said recognition grammar selected by said speaker-independent speech recognition device"	
	j.	[1.i] "said computer further configured to access at least one of said plurality of web sites identified by said instruction set to obtain said information to be retrieved"	
	k.	[1.j] "said computer configured to first access said first web site and, if said information to be retrieved is not found, sequentially access said plurality of web sites until said information to be retrieved is found or until said plurality of web sites has been accessed"	
	1.	[1.k] "said speech synthesis device configured to produce an audio message containing any retrieved information from said pre-selected web sites, and transmit said audio message to said users via said voice enabled device"	
5.	Clai	m 2: "said internet is the Internet"	
6.	telep	m 4: "said voice enabled device is a standard phone, [other devices], or any other device capable of smitting said audio message"	
7.	Claim 5: "said speaker-independent speech recognition device is configured to analyze phonemes to recognize said speech commands"		
8.	devi	m 6: "said speaker-independent speech recognition ce is configured to recognize naturally spoken speech mands"	
9.	desc addr	m 7: "said instruction set further comprises a content riptor associated with each said web site ress[and] pre-defining a portion of said web site aining said information to be retrieved"	
10.		m 10: "said instruction set further comprises a ranking ciated with each said web site address, indicating the	

			order in which the plurality of pre-selected web sites are accessed."				
		11.	plur info	im 13: "said computer is configured to access said ality of web sites in order of ranking to retrieve said rmation requested by said user,[and] to first access web site having the highest ranking"	38		
		12.	com gath	Im 14: "a database operatively connected to said aputer,[and] configured to store said information hered from said web sites in response to said information hests."	39		
Η	В.	Ground 2: All Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in View of Neal and Chakrabarti					
		1.	Cha	krabarti	39		
		2.	The	Kovatch/Neal/Chakrabarti Combination	41		
		3.	peri	m 9: "said computer is further configured to odically search said internet to identify new web sites to add said new web sites to said plurality of web sites."	44		
		4.	All	Challenged Claims	44		
(2.			8: Claim 14 Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in Neal and DeSimone	. 45		
		1.	DeS	imone	45		
		2.	The	Kovatch/Neal/DeSimone Combination	46		
Ι	D.			l: Claim 14 Would Have Been Obvious over Kovatch in Neal, Chakrabarti, and DeSimone	. 49		
I	E.	Ground 5: Claim 9 Would Have Been Obvious Over Kurganov- 262 in View of Chakrabarti					
		1.	Clai	m 9 Is Not Entitled to the '431 Patent's Priority Claim	50		
			a.	The "First Embodiment" Does Not Provide Written Description for Claim 9	. 51		
			b.	The "Second Embodiment" Does Not Provide Written Description for Claim 9	. 51		
			c.	Written Description Cannot Be Found by Plucking Elements from the Different Embodiments	. 53		

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.