

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

VOCALIFE LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. TBD
U.S. Patent No. RE47,049

**DECLARATION OF DR. RICHARD M. STERN, JR., PH.D.
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE47,049**

| |
|---|
| Amazon Ex. 1015 IPR Petition - US RE47,049 |
|---|

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| I. | BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS ----- | 1 |
| II. | PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART ----- | 3 |
| III. | LEGAL STANDARDS ----- | 3 |
| A. | Patent Invalidity ----- | 3 |
| B. | Obviousness ----- | 4 |
| C. | Claim Construction----- | 7 |
| 1. | General Standards ----- | 7 |
| 2. | Standards for Means-Plus-Function Limitations ----- | 8 |
| IV. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ----- | 10 |
| A. | District Court Claim Construction Order----- | 10 |
| B. | The Construction of “Sound Source Localization Unit” ----- | 11 |
| V. | TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND----- | 15 |
| A. | Microphone Array Systems Were Well-Known----- | 15 |
| B. | Using Delays to Compensate for Direction of Arrival Was Well-Known----- | 16 |
| C. | Adaptive Beamforming Algorithms Were Well-Known ----- | 16 |
| D. | Sound Source Localization Algorithms Were Well-Known ----- | 17 |
| E. | Noise Reduction Algorithms Were Well-Known ----- | 19 |
| F. | Using DSPs for Signal Processing Was Well-Known ----- | 19 |
| VI. | THE '049 PATENT----- | 20 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| VII. | OVERVIEW OF THE GROUNDS----- | 30 |
| A. | Explanation of Saric Grounds----- | 31 |
| B. | Explanation of Li Grounds ----- | 32 |
| C. | Listing of the Grounds ----- | 34 |
| VIII. | CLAIMS 1-8, 19, 20, 22-25, AND 30 OF THE '049 PATENT WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS ----- | 35 |
| A. | Ground 1a: Saric and Dmochowski Render Obvious Claims 1, 7, 19-20, 22, and 30 ----- | 35 |
| 1. | Overview of Saric----- | 36 |
| 2. | Overview of Dmochowski----- | 42 |
| 3. | Motivations to Combine Dmochowski's Sound Source Localization Algorithm with Saric ----- | 44 |
| 4. | Independent Claim 1 ----- | 46 |
| a. | Preamble----- | 46 |
| b. | Providing a Microphone Array System ----- | 47 |
| i. | “providing a microphone array system ... in a linear, circular, or other configuration...”----- | 47 |
| ii. | “a sound source localization unit”----- | 48 |
| iii. | “an adaptive beamforming unit” ----- | 49 |
| iv. | “a noise reduction unit”----- | 50 |
| v. | “wherein said sound source localization unit, said adaptive beamforming unit, and said noise reduction unit are integrated in a digital signal processor,” ----- | 51 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| vi. | “wherein said sound source localization unit, said adaptive beamforming unit, and said noise reduction unit are in operative communication with said array of said sound sensors;”----- | 52 |
| c. | Receiving Sound Signals----- | 53 |
| d. | Determining a Delay----- | 54 |
| i. | “determining a delay. . .when said target sound source that emits said target sound signal is in a two dimensional plane” ----- | 54 |
| ii. | “wherein said delay is represented in terms of number of samples” ----- | 57 |
| iii. | “wherein ... said delay enables beamforming for said array of sound sensors in a plurality of configurations”----- | 60 |
| e. | Estimating a Spatial Location of Target Sound Signal ... by Said Sound Source Localization Unit----- | 62 |
| f. | Adaptive Beamforming ----- | 62 |
| g. | Suppressing Ambient Noise ----- | 63 |
| h. | Table 1: Saric+Dmochowski Claim Chart for Claim 1 ----- | 64 |
| 5. | Independent Claim 22 ----- | 72 |
| 6. | Independent Claims 20 and 30 ----- | 73 |
| a. | Obviousness of Three Dimensional Delay Calculations----- | 74 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| b. | Table 2: Saric+Dmochowski Claim Chart for Claim 20----- | 80 |
| 7. | Dependent Claim 7 ----- | 82 |
| a. | Saric Discloses a Wiener-filter Based Noise-Reduction Algorithm ----- | 82 |
| b. | Table 3: Saric+Dmochowski Claim Chart for Claim 7 ----- | 83 |
| 8. | Dependent Claim 19----- | 83 |
| a. | Dmochowski Discloses the Delay Calculation----- | 84 |
| b. | Table 4: Saric+Dmochowski Claim Chart for Claim 19----- | 85 |
| B. | Ground 1b: Saric, Brandstein, and Dmochowski Render Obvious Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 19, 20, 22-24, and 30. ----- | 87 |
| 1. | Overview of Brandstein----- | 87 |
| 2. | Motivations to Combine Brandstein’s SRP-PHAT Algorithm with Saric’s System ----- | 90 |
| 3. | Motivation to Combine Dmochowski’s Delay Calculation with SRP-PHAT----- | 94 |
| 4. | Independent Claim 1 ----- | 95 |
| a. | Preamble----- | 95 |
| b. | Providing a Microphone Array System ----- | 95 |
| i. | “providing a microphone array system ... in a linear, circular, or other configuration...”----- | 95 |
| ii. | “a sound source localization unit”----- | 95 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.