From:	Trials
То:	<u>Colin.Heideman</u>
Cc:	Vincent Rubino; Peter Lambrianakos; Enrique Iturralde; zhong@zhong-law.com; Joe.Re; Joshua.Stowell; Jeremy.Anapol; BoxSEAZNL1608LP
Subject:	RE: IPR2020-00864: Amazon.com, Inc. v. Vocalife LLC (U.S. Pat. No. RE47,049)
Date:	Thursday, October 22, 2020 12:38:33 PM

Counsel,

We authorize each party to file a two-page supplemental brief limited to the issue of discretionary denial under § 314(a) by 6:00 pm Eastern on Friday, October 23, 2020. No conference call is necessary.

Thank you, Eric W. Hawthorne Supervisory Paralegal Specialist Patent Trial and Appeal Board

From: Colin.Heideman <Colin.Heideman@knobbe.com>
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2020 2:02 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Vincent Rubino <vrubino@fabricantllp.com>; Peter Lambrianakos
cplambrianakos@fabricantllp.com>; Enrique Iturralde <eiturralde@fabricantllp.com>;
zhong@zhong-law.com; Joe.Re <Joe.Re@knobbe.com>; Joshua.Stowell
<Joshua.Stowell@knobbe.com>; Jeremy.Anapol <Jeremy.Anapol@knobbe.com>; BoxSEAZNL1608LP@knobbe.com>
Subject: IPR2020-00864: Amazon.com, Inc. v. Vocalife LLC (U.S. Pat. No. RE47,049)

Dear PTAB,

Petitioner Amazon.com, Inc. respectfully requests authorization for each party to file a supplemental 2-page brief to correct statements made in the parties' prior briefing (Papers 10, 12) regarding the co-pending litigation.

On August 3, 2020, the Board authorized supplemental briefing relating to discretionary denial under Section 314(a) in view of the co-pending litigation. (Paper 9.) The parties filed supplemental briefs on August 10<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>. (Papers 10, 12.) The district court litigation proceeded to trial on October 1<sup>st</sup>. Patent Owner asserted only claims 1 and 8 at trial and, as a result, the validity of only claims 1 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 103, and 112 were tried to the jury. On October 8<sup>th</sup>, the jury found claims 1 and 8 of the '049 patent not invalid.

Petitioner respectfully requests authorization for each party to file a supplemental brief on the issue

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

of discretionary denial to correct inaccuracies in the current record regarding the claims and prior art references considered by the jury. For example, the parties' prior briefing indicated that 8 claims would be tried to the jury (*see* Paper 10 at 2); however, only 2 claims were actually tried. The parties' briefing also indicated that the jury would consider invalidity arguments based on Saric, which is the primary reference in Petition Grounds 1a-1e. (*See* Paper 12 at 1.) However, Saric was never presented as an invalidity reference at trial and therefore the obviousness grounds presented in Ground 1a-1e of the Petition have never been considered.

Patent Owner opposes Petitioner's request and has stated that it disputes Petitioner's characterization above. Accordingly, and in view of the rapidly approaching institution deadline, Amazon respectfully requests that the Board authorize each party to file a 2-page supplemental brief limited to the issue of discretionary denial under § 314(a) by 6:00pm Eastern on Tuesday, October 20, 2020.

The parties are available on Monday, October 19<sup>th</sup> or Tuesday, October 20<sup>th</sup> for a conference call with the Board if the Board determines that such a call is necessary.

-Colin Heideman Counsel for Petitioner

## Colin Heideman Partner (206) 405-2016 Direct Knobbe Martens 925 Fourth Ave., Suite 2500 Seattle, WA 98104

DOCKET

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.