

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SOTERA WIRELESS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Cases<sup>1</sup>

IPR2020-00912 (Patent 10,213,108 B2)  
IPR2020-00954 (Patent 9,788,735 B2)  
IPR2020-01015 (Patent 9,795,300 B2)  
IPR2020-01054 (Patent 9,872,623 B2)

---

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, and  
ROBERT L. KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER GRANTING REQUESTS FOR ORAL ARGUMENT  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.70*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses issues that are the same in all four cases. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2020-00912 (Patent 10,213,108 B2)  
IPR2020-00954 (Patent 9,788,735 B2)  
IPR2020-01015 (Patent 9,795,300 B2)  
IPR2020-01054 (Patent 9,872,623 B2)

## I. ORAL ARGUMENT

### A. *Time and Format*

Petitioner and Patent Owner have each requested that an oral hearing for each proceeding. *See* Papers 33, 34.<sup>2</sup> The parties have agreed to a single consolidated hearing for all proceedings with each party receiving one hour of time (two hours total). *Id.* The parties' requests are *granted* to the extent that both parties are allotted 60 minutes each to present arguments for the consolidated hearing.

**Oral argument will commence at 1:00 PM Eastern Time on August 26, 2021, by videoconference.** The parties are directed to contact the Board at least 5 days in advance of the hearing if there are any concerns about disclosing confidential information. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The parties shall not make, or permit others to make, audio or visual recordings of the proceeding.

If at any time during the proceeding, you encounter technical or other difficulties that fundamentally undermine your ability to adequately represent your client, please let the panel know immediately, and adjustments will be made.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> All citations are to IPR2020-00912. Similar requests were filed in IPR2020-00954 (Papers 32, 33), IPR2020-01015 (Papers 33, 34), and IPR2020-01054 (Papers 30, 31).

<sup>3</sup> For example, if a party is experiencing poor video quality, the Board may provide alternate dial-in information.

IPR2020-00912 (Patent 10,213,108 B2)  
IPR2020-00954 (Patent 9,788,735 B2)  
IPR2020-01015 (Patent 9,795,300 B2)  
IPR2020-01054 (Patent 9,872,623 B2)

To facilitate planning, each party must contact PTAB Hearings at [PTABHearings@uspto.gov](mailto:PTABHearings@uspto.gov) five business days prior to the oral hearing date to receive videoconference set-up information. As a reminder, all arrangements and expenses related to a party's appearance by video, such as the selection of the facility to be used from which a party will attend by video, are the responsibility of that party. If a video connection cannot be established, the parties will be provided with dial-in connection information, and the oral hearing will be conducted telephonically.

If one or both parties would prefer to participate in the oral hearing telephonically, they should notify PTAB Hearings at the above email address five business days prior to the hearing to receive dial-in connection information.

Please unmute yourself only when speaking. The panel will have access to all papers filed with the Board, including demonstratives. During the oral hearing, the parties are advised to identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative referenced (e.g., by slide or screen number and where the demonstratives are located in the record, such as by paper number and page) to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the court reporter's transcript. In addition, the parties are advised to identify themselves each time they speak. Furthermore, the remote nature of the oral hearing may also result in an audio lag, and so the parties are advised to observe a pause prior to speaking, so as to avoid speaking over others.

Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Therefore, at oral hearing, Petitioner will proceed first to present its arguments with regard to the challenged claims

IPR2020-00912 (Patent 10,213,108 B2)  
IPR2020-00954 (Patent 9,788,735 B2)  
IPR2020-01015 (Patent 9,795,300 B2)  
IPR2020-01054 (Patent 9,872,623 B2)

and grounds on which we instituted trial in the above-captioned proceedings. Petitioner may reserve some of its allotted argument time for rebuttal to respond to Patent Owner's arguments.

After Petitioner's initial presentation, Patent Owner will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case and present the issues for which it bears the ultimate burden, including argument on any of Patent Owner's pending motions. Thereafter, Petitioner may use any reserved time to respond to Patent Owner's presentation. Patent Owner may reserve some of its allotted argument time for sur-rebuttal to respond to Petitioner's arguments.<sup>4</sup> The parties are reminded that arguments made during rebuttal and sur-rebuttal periods must be responsive to arguments the opposing party made in its immediately preceding presentation. The parties are also reminded that during the hearing, the parties "may only present arguments relied upon in the papers previously submitted." CTPG, p. 86.

The parties may request a pre-hearing conference in advance of the hearing. *See id.* at 82. "The purpose of the pre-hearing conference is to afford the parties the opportunity to preview (but not argue) the issues to be discussed at the hearing, and to seek the Board's guidance as to particular issues that the panel would like addressed by the parties." *Id.* If either party desires a pre-hearing conference, the parties should jointly contact the Board at [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov) at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date to request a conference call for that purpose.

---

<sup>4</sup> *See* Office Consolidated Trial Practice Guide ("CTPG"), November 2019 Edition, p. 83, *available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2020-00912 (Patent 10,213,108 B2)  
IPR2020-00954 (Patent 9,788,735 B2)  
IPR2020-01015 (Patent 9,795,300 B2)  
IPR2020-01054 (Patent 9,872,623 B2)

*B. Demonstratives*

As set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstratives shall be served on opposing counsel at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date and filed no later than the time of the oral argument. The panel requests that the **parties file demonstratives three (3) business days** before the hearing date to allow the panel time to review the demonstratives and to also allow the sharing of the demonstratives with the court reporter prior to the hearing.

Demonstratives are not a mechanism for making new arguments. Demonstratives also are not evidence, and will not be relied upon as evidence. Rather, demonstratives are visual aids to a party's oral presentation regarding arguments and evidence previously presented and discussed in the papers. Accordingly, demonstratives shall be clearly marked with the words "DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT – NOT EVIDENCE" in the footer. *See Dell Inc. v. Acceleron, LLC*, 884 F.3d 1364, 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (holding that the Board is obligated under its own regulations to dismiss untimely argument "raised for the first time during oral argument"). "[N]o new evidence may be presented at the oral argument." CTPG 85; *see also St. Jude Med., Cardiology Div., Inc. v. The Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Mich.*, IPR2013-00041, Paper 65, 2–3 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (explaining that "new" evidence includes evidence already of record but not previously discussed in any paper of record).

Furthermore, because of the strict prohibition against the presentation of new evidence or arguments at a hearing, it is strongly recommended that each demonstrative include a citation to a paper in the record, which allows the Board to easily ascertain whether a given demonstrative contains "new"

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.