

2017-1798, -1799, -1800

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO., LTD.,
SUNOVION PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

EMCURE PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED, HERITAGE PHARMA
LABS INC., fka Emcure Pharmaceuticals USA Inc., INVAGEN
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.,
TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.,

Defendants-Appellants.

*Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
in Nos. 2:15-cv-00280-SRC-CLW, 2:15-cv-00281-SRC-CLW,
2:15-cv-06401-SRC-CLW, Judge Stanley R. Chesler.*

PRINCIPAL BRIEF OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES

Preston K. Ratliff II
Joseph M. O'Malley, Jr.
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
(212) 318-6000

Charles M. Lizza
William C. Baton
SAUL EWING LLP
One Riverfront Plaza, Suite 1520
Newark, NJ 07102
(973) 286-6700

Stephen B. Kinnaird
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
875 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 551-1700

Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellees

Exhibit 1053
Slayback v. Sumitomo
IPR2020-1053

June 26, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is:

Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd.
Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.

2. The names of the real parties in interest represented by me are:

Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd.
Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curiae represented by me are:

Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd.: Sumitomo Chemical Co., Ltd.;
Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.: Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma America Holdings, Inc., Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd., Sumitomo Chemical Co., Ltd.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd. and Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc. in proceedings before the district court or are expected to appear in this Court are:

PAUL HASTINGS LLP: Preston K. Ratliff II, Joseph M. O'Malley, Jr., Bruce M. Wexler, Imtiaz Yakub, Nao Takada, Mi Zhou, Leo C. DeSesso, Mark Russell Sperling, and Stephen B. Kinnaird

SAUL EWING LLP: Charles M. Lizza and William C. Baton

Date: June 26, 2017

By: /s/ Preston K. Ratliff II
Preston K. Ratliff II
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
(212) 318-6000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE ON APPEAL.....	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	1
A. Background of Enantiomers	2
B. The '372 Patent.....	3
C. Prosecution History of the '372 Patent.....	6
D. Defendants' Claim Construction Admissions	8
E. The District Court's Claim Construction	11
1. The District Court's Analysis of the Intrinsic Evidence.....	11
2. The District Court's Rejection of Defendants' Claim Construction Arguments	13
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	16
ARGUMENT	19
A. Standard of Review.....	19
B. The District Court Correctly Construed Claim 14	19
C. The District Court's Construction Is Consistent With Defendants' Claim Construction Admissions	22
D. The District Court Correctly Deemed Irrelevant Defendants' Arguments Regarding an Alleged Common Shorthand for Identifying Racemic Mixtures.....	24
E. Defendants' Misinterpretation of the '372 Patent and Its Prosecution History Do Not Support Their Assertions of Error	30
CONCLUSION.....	34
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	35
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	36

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Adams Respiratory Therapeutics, Inc. v. Perrigo</i> , 616 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	21, 34
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Zenith Goldline Pharms., LLC</i> , No. IP 99-38-C HK 2001 WL 1397304 (S.D. Ind. Oct. 29, 2001)	28, 29
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Ranbaxy Labs. Ltd.</i> , 405 F. Supp. 2d 495 (D. Del 2005) <i>aff'd</i> , 457 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Ranbaxy Labs., Ltd.</i> , 457 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	17, 26, 27, 29
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA., Inc.</i> , 555 F. App'x 961 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	17, 18, 28
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F. 3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	16, 23
<i>Teva Pharms USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 831 (2015).....	19, 25
Other Authority	
Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 803 (Jan. 1995).....	7

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

“the ’372 patent”	U.S. Patent No. 5,532,372
“Appellants” or “Defendants”	Appellants Emcure Pharmaceuticals Limited, Heritage Pharma Labs Inc., fka Emcure Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.; InvaGen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd.
“Appellees” or “Sunovion”	Appellees Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd. and Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.
“DBr.”	Appellants’ Opening Brief
“Emcure”	Appellants Emcure Pharmaceuticals Limited, Heritage Pharma Labs Inc., fka Emcure Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.
“InvaGen”	Appellant InvaGen Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
“Teva”	Appellants Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.