

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DELL INC.,  
ZTE (USA) INC.,  
AND  
ZTE CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

3G LICENSING S.A.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-01157  
Patent No. 7,274,933

---

**JOINT MOTION OF ZTE CORPORATION, ZTE (USA) INC., AND  
PATENT OWNER TO TERMINATE ZTE CORPORATION AND ZTE  
(USA) INC. FROM THE PROCEEDING AND RECONSTITUTE THE  
PETITIONER AS DELL INC. PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317(a)  
AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5(a) AND 42.74**

## TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	Declaration of Stu Lipoff in Support of Patent Owner
2002	Affidavit of Stephanie Berger
2003	Affidavit of Neil Benchell
2004	Affidavit of Andrew DeMarco
2005	Second Declaration of Stu Lipoff in Support of Patent Owner
2006	3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Core Network; NAS Functions related to Mobile Station (MS) in idle mode (Release 7) (3GPP TS 23.122 V7.0.0) (“TS 23.122 V7.0.0”)
2007	3rd Generation Partnership Project; Universal Mobile Telecommunications (UMTS); Characteristics of the USIM application (Release 6) (3GPP TS 31.102 V6.8.0) (“TS-31.102 V6.8.0”)
2008	<i>“The North American Official Cellular User’s Guide” Available to Help Cellular Telephone Users</i> , Business Wire, December 18, 1990
2009	Amy Zuckerman, <i>Those Black Holes in Your Mobile Phone Service</i> , New York Times, December 24, 2000
2010	Nancy Gohring, <i>Falling Short of Replacement: Wireless Carrier Plans Compete with Landline but Don’t Cut it Out of the Equation</i> , Telephony, April 27, 1998
2011	Judy Strausbaugh, <i>Oh, Give me a Cell Phone Where the Signals Won’t Roam</i> , Sunday News (Lancaster, PA), May 19, 2002
2012	Settlement Agreement dated August 4, 2021

## I. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a), and the Board's authorization via email on August 13, 2021, Petitioner constituents ZTE Corporation and ZTE (USA) Inc. ("the ZTE entities"), and Patent Owner, 3G Licensing S.A. (collectively "the Joint Movants"), jointly move that the Board terminate the above-captioned proceeding with respect to the ZTE entities, and dismiss the ZTE entities therefrom, as a result of a settlement between the ZTE entities and Patent Owner. The other party to this proceeding, Petitioner constituent Dell Inc. ("Dell") does not object to dismissing the ZTE entities from this proceeding. Patent Owner and the ZTE entities further move to reconstitute the Petitioner as Dell only.

The ZTE entities and Patent Owner are filing concurrently herewith a request that the settlement agreement between the ZTE entities and Patent Owner, submitted as Ex. 2012, be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the file of the involved patent, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

The settlement agreement is being filed as "Available only to board" to preserve the confidentiality of the agreement so that, *inter alia*, Dell continues to not have access to that agreement.

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

In July of 2019, Patent Owner filed an infringement action against ZTE ("the Litigation") asserting infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,529,561 ("the '561 patent"), 7,433,698 ("the '698 patent"), 8,364,196 ("the '196 patent"), 7,751,803 ("the '803 patent"), 7,894,443 ("the '443 patent"), 7,274,933 ("the '933 patent"), 7,460,868 ("the '868 patent"), 7,596,375 ("the '375 patent"), 8,275,374 ("the '374 patent"), 8,472,955 ("the '955 patent"), 8,948,756 ("the '756 patent"), and 8,897,503 ("the '503 patent") (collectively, "the Asserted Patents"). Dell had a separate infringement action involving the Asserted Patents filed against them. Petition at 68-69.

Dell, the ZTE entities, and other parties jointly sought *inter partes* review of the '803 patent, the '698 patent, the '196 patent, the '561 patent, the '443 patent, and the '503 patent as petitioner in *inter partes* reviews IPR2020-01069, IPR2020-01070, IPR2020-01071, IPR2020-01099, IPR2020-01102, IPR2020-01103, respectively.<sup>1</sup> Dell and the ZTE entities jointly sought *inter partes* review of the '933 patent, the '868 patent, the '375 patent, the '374 patent, the '955 patent, and the

---

<sup>1</sup> A joint motion to terminate the ZTE entities is also being filed in each of these IPRs.

'756 patent in *inter partes* reviews IPR2020-01157, IPR2020-01158, IPR2020-01159, IPR2020-01160, IPR2020-01161, and IPR2020-01162, respectively.

The Litigation is currently stayed. Dell's litigation is also stayed. On August 4, 2021, the ZTE entities and Patent Owner entered into a settlement agreement resolving their disputes in connection with the involved patent. See Ex. 2012 (Confidential). Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement, the ZTE entities and Patent Owner agreed, among other things, to jointly move for termination of the above-captioned IPR proceeding with respect to the ZTE entities. Ex. 2012 at 14.

### **III. ARGUMENT**

#### **A. Legal Standard**

35 U.S.C. § 317(a) provides that "[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." In addition, "[t]he Board may determine a proper course of conduct in a proceeding for any situation not specifically covered by this part and may enter non-final orders to administer the proceeding." 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a).

#### **B. The Board Should Dismiss the ZTE entities from the Above-Captioned Proceeding**

As noted above, "[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.