

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DELL INC.,
ZTE (USA) INC.,
AND
ZTE CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

3G LICENSING S.A.

IPR2020-01157 (Patent 7,274,933 B2)
IPR2020-01158 (Patent 7,460,868 B2)
IPR2020-01159 (Patent 7,596,375 B2)
IPR2020-01160 (Patent 8,275,374 B2)
IPR2020-01161 (Patent 8,472,955 B2)
IPR2020-01162 (Patent 8,948,756 B2)

Before TERRENCE W. MCMILLIN, AMANDA F. WIEKER,
MONICA S. ULLAGADDI, and RUSSELL E. CASS,
*Administrative Patent Judges.*¹

WIEKER, Administrative Patent Judge.

REVISED SCHEDULING ORDER

¹ This is not an expanded panel. A three-judge panel is assigned to each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

IPR2020-01157 (Patent 7,274,933 B2)
IPR2020-01158 (Patent 7,460,868 B2)
IPR2020-01159 (Patent 7,596,375 B2)
IPR2020-01160 (Patent 8,275,374 B2)
IPR2020-01161 (Patent 8,472,955 B2)
IPR2020-01162 (Patent 8,948,756 B2)

A. REVISED MOTION TO AMEND

1. Introduction

On September 17, 2021, Patent Owner filed a revised motion to amend (“revised MTA”) in accordance with our Scheduling Order (*see, e.g.*, IPR2020-01161, Paper 39)² and the March 15, 2019 Federal Register notice regarding a new pilot program relating to motion to amend practice at the Office. *See* Notice Regarding a New Pilot Program Concerning Motion to Amend Practice and Procedures in Trial Proceedings under the America Invents Act before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, 84 Fed. Reg. 9497 (Mar. 15, 2019) (“MTA Pilot”). In accordance with the MTA Pilot, we revise our original Scheduling Order to set subsequent due dates based on the date Patent Owner filed its revised MTA. *See* MTA Pilot, Appendix 1B (Revised MTA Timeline); *see, e.g.*, IPR2020-01161, Paper 12 (Feb. 17, 2021, Scheduling Order).

In particular, this Revised Scheduling Order adds DUE DATES RMTA1 and RMTA2 and modifies and supercedes DUE DATE 4 and subsequent due dates as presented in our original Scheduling Order. Further, this Revised Scheduling Order supplements the instructions provided in our original Scheduling Order, including those discussing the content of the briefing related to the revised MTA. To the extent that our original

² Similar papers were filed in each captioned proceeding, unless otherwise indicated.

IPR2020-01157 (Patent 7,274,933 B2)
IPR2020-01158 (Patent 7,460,868 B2)
IPR2020-01159 (Patent 7,596,375 B2)
IPR2020-01160 (Patent 8,275,374 B2)
IPR2020-01161 (Patent 8,472,955 B2)
IPR2020-01162 (Patent 8,948,756 B2)

Scheduling Order provides instructions that are not addressed in this Revised Scheduling Order, the original instructions remain in effect.

2. Evidence and Depositions

Generally speaking, new evidence (including declarations) may be submitted with every paper related to the revised MTA, except sur-replies. Specifically, both Petitioner's opposition to the revised MTA and Patent Owner's reply to that opposition may be accompanied by new evidence that responds to issues raised in the preliminary guidance (if provided) or in the corresponding revised MTA or opposition. Petitioner's sur-reply may not be accompanied by new evidence other than deposition transcripts of the cross-examination of any reply witness.

Once likely declarants are known, the parties should confer as to dates for scheduling all depositions related to the revised MTA after the relevant papers will be filed. The Board expects parties to make their declarants available for such depositions promptly, and to make their attorneys available to take and defend such depositions; any unavailability will not be a reason to adjust the schedule for briefing on a revised MTA absent extraordinary circumstances.

If Petitioner submits a declaration with its opposition to the revised MTA, or Patent Owner submits a declaration with its reply to that opposition, the party should typically make such declarant available for deposition within 1 week after filing that declaration. As needed, the parties may wish to agree to shortened periods for making objections and serving

IPR2020-01157 (Patent 7,274,933 B2)
IPR2020-01158 (Patent 7,460,868 B2)
IPR2020-01159 (Patent 7,596,375 B2)
IPR2020-01160 (Patent 8,275,374 B2)
IPR2020-01161 (Patent 8,472,955 B2)
IPR2020-01162 (Patent 8,948,756 B2)

supplemental evidence prior to a deposition. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.53(d)(2), 42.64(a) and (b). In the absence of such an agreement, the parties shall schedule such depositions in advance of a due date for serving supplemental evidence. If, after receiving supplemental evidence, a party believes in good faith that the supplemental evidence requires further cross-examination of a declarant, the party should contact the Board for a conference call. Again, it is incumbent upon the parties to work cooperatively to schedule depositions of their declarants. Thus, the Board strongly encourages the parties to meet and confer as soon as practicable (including before anticipated declarations are submitted, if possible) to coordinate schedules.

Because Patent Owner's reply and Petitioner's sur-reply as to the revised MTA are due near or after motions to exclude are due, the parties might not have an opportunity to object to evidence submitted with the reply or sur-reply and file a motion to exclude such evidence before the oral hearing. *See* 37 § C.F.R. 42.64. Thus, if needed, a party may seek authorization to file a motion to exclude reply or surreply evidence after the oral hearing or may make an oral motion to exclude and argue such a motion at the oral hearing.

B. DUE DATES

This Order sets due dates for the parties to take action by DUE DATE RMTA1 and after. The parties may stipulate different dates for DUE DATES RMTA1, RMTA2, 5, and 6 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE

IPR2020-01157 (Patent 7,274,933 B2)
IPR2020-01158 (Patent 7,460,868 B2)
IPR2020-01159 (Patent 7,596,375 B2)
IPR2020-01160 (Patent 8,275,374 B2)
IPR2020-01161 (Patent 8,472,955 B2)
IPR2020-01162 (Patent 8,948,756 B2)

DATE 7). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate an extension of DUE DATES 4, 7, and 8.

In stipulating different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (§ 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (§ 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony.

1. DUE DATE RMTA1

Petitioner may file an opposition to Patent Owner's revised MTA. Petitioner's opposition to the revised MTA may only respond to issues raised in the revised MTA or the preliminary guidance (if provided).

2. DUE DATE 4

Either party may file a request for oral argument (may not be extended by stipulation).

3. DUE DATE RMTA2

Patent Owner may file a reply to Petitioner's opposition to the revised MTA. Patent Owner's reply to the opposition may only respond to issues raised in the opposition.

4. DUE DATE 5

Either party may file a motion to exclude evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c)).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.