

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

BOT M8, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-01288
U.S. Patent No. 7,664,988

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Overview of the '988 Patent.....	2
III. Claim Construction.....	4
A. “fault inspection program”	4
B. “boot program”	11
IV. Overview of the Asserted References	12
A. Sugiyama	12
B. Gatto	13
C. Morrow '952 and Morrow '771	15
D. Yamaguchi.....	15
E. Cheston.....	16
F. Proudler	17
V. The Board Should Deny the Petition Pursuant To 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....	18
A. Petitioner Presents the Same Prior Art and Arguments That the Office Previously Considered.....	21
1. The Same, Similar, and Cumulative Nature Between the Asserted Art and the Prior Art Involved During Examination.....	22
2. The Extent of the Overlap Between the Arguments Made During Examination and the Manner in Which Petitioner Relies on the Prior Art or Patent Owner Distinguishes the Prior Art.....	25
B. Petitioner Failed to Sufficiently Demonstrate Error by the Office.....	30
VI. The Challenged Claims are Patentable.....	32
A. Ground 1: Claims 1–9 Are Patentable Over <i>Sugiyama</i> and <i>Gatto</i>	32

1.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated That <i>Sugiyama</i> in View of <i>Gatto</i> Discloses the Claimed Fault Inspection Program	32
2.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated That <i>Sugiyama</i> in View of <i>Gatto</i> Disclose a Control Device that “Completes the Execution of the Fault Inspection Program Before the Game is Started”.....	39
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-9 Are Patentable Over <i>Morrow</i> ’952 and <i>Morrow</i> ’771	43
1.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated That <i>Morrow</i> ’952 and <i>Morrow</i> ’771 Disclose the Claimed Fault Inspection Program	43
2.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated that <i>Morrow</i> ’952 and <i>Morrow</i> ’771 Disclose “a First Memory Device [for Storing or Configured to Store] a Boot Program Executed When the Gaming Device is Started to Operate”	46
C.	Grounds 3 and 4: Claims 2, 7 Are Further Patentable Over <i>Yamaguchi</i>	47
D.	Grounds 5 and 6: Claim 8 Is Further Patentable Over <i>Proudler</i>	48
E.	Ground 7 and 8: Claim 10 Is Further Patentable Over <i>Cheston</i>	48
VII.	Conclusion	53

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH,</i> No. IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 13, 2020).....	19, 22, 30, 31
<i>Akzo Nobel Coatings, Inc. v. Dow Chem. Co.,</i> 811 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	7
<i>Becton, Dickinson, & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG,</i> No. IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017)	21, 22, 31
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP,</i> 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	7, 10
<i>E-Pass Techs., Inc. v. 3Com Corp,</i> 343 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	5
<i>K/S Himpp v. Hear-Wear Techs,</i> 4751 F3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	45
<i>McKesson Information Solutions, Inc. v. Bridge Medical, Inc.,</i> 487 F.3d 897 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	26
<i>Neville v. Found. Constructors, Inc.,</i> 972 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 27, 2020)	7
<i>Personalized Media Communs., LLC v. Apple Inc.,</i> 952 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 13, 2020).....	10, 12, 46
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp,</i> 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	10
<i>Power Mosfet Techs., LLC v. Siemens AG,</i> 378 F3d 1396 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	8
<i>ProMOS Techs., Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.,</i> 809 Fed. Appx. 825 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 6, 2020)	5

Shire Dev., LLC v. Watson Pharms., Inc.,
787 F. 3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....47

Sunovian Pharms. Inc. v. Teva Pharms USA, Inc.,
809 Fed. Appx. 825 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 6, 2020)5, 10 , 46

Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC v. Bot M8, LLC,
IPR2020-00726, Paper No. 13 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 6, 2020).....9, 10

Travelocity.com L.P. v. Cronos Techs., LLC,
No. CBM2014-00082, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2014)2

Willis Elec. Co., Ltd. v. Polygroup Macau Ltd. (BVI),
777 Fed. Appx. 495 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....7, 10

Federal Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)*passim*

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).....20, 22, 25

37 C.F.R. §§ 1.98(a)(2)–(3)26

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....34

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.