

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC
Petitioner

v.
BOT M8, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2020-01288
U.S. Patent No. 7,664,988

REPLY DECLARATION OF ANDREW WOLFE, PH.D.

I, Andrew Wolfe, hereby declare the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been asked to respond to certain selected arguments made by Patent Owner in Patent Owner's Response and to certain selected opinions provided by Dr. Long Yang in his declaration (Ex. 2041) that accompanied Patent Owner's Response.

2. My opinions in my original declaration (Ex. 1003) remain the same. As such, I incorporate by reference those opinions in their entirety.

3. In addition to the materials reviewed in preparing my original Declaration in this matter (Ex. 1003), I have reviewed the following additional materials in this matter subsequent to submitting my original Declaration:

- Institution Decision (Paper 11)
- Patent Owner's Response (Paper 15)
- Declaration of Dr. Long Yang in Support of Patent Owner's Response (Exhibit 2041)
- Exhibit 1053 - Silberschatz, Abraham, et al., Operating System Concepts, 7th Ed., 2005, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ("Silberschatz")

4. I have set forth my additional responsive opinions on selected issues below.

II. OPINION

“Boot Program”

5. I understand that Dr. Yang and Patent Owner have argued that the term “boot program” as used in the claims of the ’988 patent means “a program that initializes various devices including the extended BIOS and the operating system.” Yang Decl. (Ex. 2041), ¶46; Patent Owner Response, 27. In my opinion, this definition is unduly narrow and is not consistent with the plain and ordinary meaning of the term “boot program” as would have been understood by a PHOSITA. As I explained in my original declaration, this term would have generally been understood by a PHOSITA in a manner consistent with usage of the term “boot” as describing the process of loading into memory a small start-up program that enables a computer to load larger programs. Wolfe Decl. (Ex. 1003), ¶¶74-75 (citing Ex. 1028 & Ex. 1029).

6. Importantly, a boot program may load other boot programs during the boot process such that a given individual boot program need not itself execute the operating system for a computer. Ex. 1053 (Silberschatz) at 71-72. As an example, computers may use a multi-step process in which a boot program, such as a simple bootstrap loader may fetch a more complex boot program from disk, which may in turn load the kernel for the computer. *Id.* at 71. The simple bootstrap loader may be in firmware and may be relatively simple code that does not itself execute the

operating system but instead retrieves a more complex boot program from disk. *Id.* at 71-72. Thus, this illustrative boot process is consistent with the plain and ordinary meaning of a boot program being a small start-up program that enables a computer to load larger programs. In some cases, a boot program might itself execute the operating system. But, in other cases, a boot program may be relatively small and may load other larger programs that are not the operating system itself. The Silberschatz textbook is among the most widely used textbooks in computer science education and particularly with respect to operating systems. Google Scholar indicates that it has been cited over 5000 times.

7. I understand Dr. Yang and Patent Owner point to column 3, lines 57-62 of the specification of the '988 patent in support of their proposed construction of a “boot program,” specifically they point to the following:

Here, the boot program is a program stored in the boot program storing area 13 a of the ROM 13, and based on the boot program, initialization of various devices including the extended BIOS (Basic Input Output System) in the hard disk 24 and the OS (Operating System) in the hard disk 24 is executed.

Ex. 1001 ('988 Patent), 3:57-62.

8. A PHOSITA would have understood the above text to be providing a description of an example of a boot program, but not a definition of the term “boot program,” because the above language is merely exemplary in nature. A boot program need not itself initialize the operating system in order to be deemed a “boot

program,” as discussed above. Indeed, the simple bootstrap loader boot program described above does not itself initialize the operating system but is nonetheless an example of a boot program.

9. In addition, a “boot program” need not also initialize an extended BIOS because a computer need not have an extended BIOS. If an extended BIOS is present, a separate, larger boot program may be what initializes the extended BIOS. I, therefore, also disagree with the portion of Dr. Yang and Patent Owner’s construction that purports to require that a boot program must initialize both an extended BIOS and operating system in order to be deemed a “boot program.”

Opinions regarding Sugiyama’s OS and the combination with Gatto

10. As I explained in my original Declaration (Ex. 1003), a PHOSITA would have been readily motivated to use a motherboard in Sugiyama, in view of Gatto’s teachings, in light of the ubiquitous and well-known use of motherboards for electrically connecting computer components such as a CPU, ROM, RAM, and HDD, among other components. Wolfe Decl. (Ex. 1003), ¶¶174-178. I understand that there has been some suggestion from Patent Owner and Dr. Yang that using a motherboard in Sugiyama, as inspired by Gatto’s teaching of using a motherboard, would have required replacing Sugiyama’s operating system (OS) with Gatto’s OS. Patent Owner Response, 49-55. I disagree. A PHOSITA would have understood that merely connecting Sugiyama’s computer components (including, e.g., CPU,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.