UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC Petitioner

v.

BOT M8, LLC Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2020-01288 U.S. Patent No. 7,664,988

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Pursuant to the Board's February 16, 2021, Scheduling Order (Paper 12), Petitioner respectfully requests oral argument for the trial currently scheduled on November 10, 2021. If the Board is conducting in-person hearings, Petitioner further requests that the hearing take place in Alexandria, Virginia.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner respectfully proposes 45 minutes of time per side and specifies the following issues, without intent to waive consideration of any issue not requested, to be argued for this proceeding:

1. Whether Claims 1-9 are unpatentable under § 103(a) over Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. JP 2000-35888 to Sugiyama ("Sugiyama") in view of International Publication No. WO 2004/004855 to Gatto, et al. ("Gatto");

2. Whether Claims 1-9 are unpatentable under § 103(a) over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0054952 to Morrow ("Morrow") in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0064771 to Morrow ("Morrow '771");

3. Whether Claims 2 and 7 are unpatentable under § 103(a) over Sugiyama in view of Gatto, in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,844,776 to Yamaguchi ("Yamaguchi");

4. Whether Claims 2 and 7 are unpatentable under § 103(a) over Morrow in view of Morrow '771, in further view of Yamaguchi;

5. Whether Claim 8 is unpatentable under § 103(a) over Sugiyama in view of Gatto and Yamaguchi, in further view of International Publication No. WO 00/73904 to Proudler ("Proudler");

6. Whether Claim 8 is unpatentable under § 103(a) over Morrow in view of Morrow '771 and Yamaguchi, in further view of Proudler;

7. Whether Claim 10 is unpatentable under § 103(a) over Sugiyama in view of Gatto, in further view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0135350 to Cheston ("Cheston");

8. Whether Claim 10 is unpatentable under § 103(a) over Morrow in view of Morrow '771, in further view of Cheston;

9. Any claim constructions raised in the Petition or Petitioner Reply, the Patent Owner Preliminary Response, Patent Owner Response, or the Patent Owner Sur-Reply, or the Board's Institution Decision;

 Any issues specified in the Patent Owner Response and Patent Owner Sur-Reply;

11. Any issues specified in the Petitioner Reply;

12. Response to any issues specified by Patent Owner in its request for oral argument; and

13. Any issues otherwise raised by the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 1, 2021

BY: <u>/s/ Eric A. Buresh</u> Eric A. Buresh, Reg. No. 50,394 Jason R. Mudd, Reg. No. 57,700 Callie Pendergrass, Reg. No. 63,949

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ON PATENT OWNER UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on October 1, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument were served by electronic mail on Patent Owner's lead and backup counsel at the following email addresses:

James Hannah: jhannah@kramerlevin.com

Jonathan S. Caplan: jcaplan@kramerlevin.com

Jeffrey H. Price: jprice@kramerlevin.com

Aaron M. Frankel: afrankel@kramerlevin.com

svdocketing@kramerlevin.com

BY: <u>/s/ Eric A. Buresh</u> Eric A. Buresh, Reg. No. 50,394

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER