

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Fatih M. Ozluturk
U.S. Patent No.: 9,392,175 Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0082IP1
Issue Date: July 12, 2016
Appl. Serial No.: 14/679,551
Filing Date: April 6, 2015
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR USING MOTON
INFORMATION AND IMAGE DATA TO CORRECT
BLURRED IMAGES

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 9,392,175 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR	1
A.	Grounds for Standing	1
B.	Challenge and Relief Requested	1
II.	THE '175 PATENT	3
A.	Brief Description	3
B.	The Prosecution History	4
C.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	4
D.	Claim Construction	5
1.	“a processor configured to” (claims 15 and 23)	5
2.	“designating . . . a main subject” (claims 1, 8, 15, and 23).....	7
III.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	8
A.	[GROUND 1A] – Claims 1, 4-7, 15, 17, and 19-22 are rendered obvious by Mann and Noriyuki.....	8
1.	Overview of Mann	8
2.	Overview of Noriyuki	8
3.	The combination of Mann and Noriyuki	12
4.	Reasons to combine Mann and Noriyuki.....	12
5.	Analysis.....	14
B.	[GROUND 1B] – Claim 3 is rendered obvious by Mann, Noriyuki, and Suh.....	31
1.	Overview of Suh	31
2.	The combination of Mann, Noriyuki, and Suh	34
3.	Reasons to combine Mann, Noriyuki, and Suh	35
4.	Analysis.....	36
C.	[GROUND 1C] – Claims 2 and 16 are rendered obvious by Mann and Noriyuki in view of Nonaka.....	39
1.	Overview of Nonaka	39

2.	The combination of Mann, Noriyuki, and Nonaka	39
3.	Reasons to combine Mann, Noriyuki, and Nonaka	39
4.	Analysis.....	40
D.	[GROUND 1D] – Claim 18 is rendered obvious by Mann, Noriyuki, and Hyodo.....	41
1.	Overview of Hyodo.....	41
2.	The combination of Mann, Noriyuki, and Hyodo	41
3.	Reasons to combine Mann, Noriyuki, and Hyodo.....	41
4.	Analysis.....	42
E.	[GROUND 1E] – Claims 8-14, 23-25, and 27-30 are rendered obvious by Mann and Suh.....	44
1.	Overview of Suh	44
2.	The combination of Mann and Suh.....	47
3.	Reasons to combine Mann and Suh.....	48
4.	Analysis.....	50
F.	[GROUND 1F] – Claim 26 is rendered obvious by Mann, Suh and Hyodo	59
1.	The combination of Mann, Suh, and Hyodo.....	59
2.	Reasons to combine Mann, Suh, and Hyodo	59
3.	Analysis.....	60
G.	[GROUND 2A] – Claims 1, 4-7, 15, 17, and 19-22 are rendered obvious by Mann, Noriyuki, and Manabe.....	62
1.	Overview of Manabe.....	62
2.	The combination of Mann, Noriyuki, and Manabe.....	64
3.	Reasons to combine Mann, Noriyuki, and Manabe.....	64
4.	Analysis.....	66

H.	[GROUND 2B] – Claim 3 is rendered obvious by Mann, Noriyuki, Manabe, and Suh.....	70
I.	[GROUND 2C] – Claims 2 and 16 are rendered obvious by Mann, Noriyuki, Manabe, and Nonaka.....	70
J.	[GROUND 2D] – Claim 18 is rendered obvious by Mann, Noriyuki, Manabe, and Hyodo.....	70
K.	[GROUND 2E] – Claims 8-14, 23-25, and 27-30 are rendered obvious by Mann, Manabe, and Suh.....	71
	1. The combination of Mann, Manabe, and Suh.....	71
	2. Reasons to combine Mann, Manabe, and Suh.....	72
	3. Analysis.....	73
L.	[GROUND 2F] – Claim 26 is rendered obvious by Mann, Manabe, Suh, and Hyodo.....	75
IV.	PTAB DISCRETION SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION.....	76
A.	Factor 1: Institution Will Enable Stay.....	76
B.	Factor 2: District Court Schedule.....	76
C.	Factor 3: Samsung’s Investment in IPR Outweighs Forced Investment in Litigation to Date.....	77
D.	Factor 4: The Petition Raises Unique Issues.....	78
E.	Factor 5: The Petition Enables Invalidity of Claims that Might be Reasserted.....	79
F.	Factor 6: Other Circumstances Support Institution.....	79
V.	PAYMENT OF FEES.....	80
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	80
VII.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	80
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	80
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	80
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	80
A.	Service Information.....	81

EXHIBITS

SAMSUNG-1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,392,175 to Ozluturk (“the ’175 patent”)
SAMSUNG-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’175 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
SAMSUNG-1003	Declaration of Dr. Hany Farid
SAMSUNG-1004	Reserved
SAMSUNG-1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,828,793 to Mann (“Mann”)
SAMSUNG-1006	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0156216 to Nonaka (“Nonaka”)
SAMSUNG-1007	Certified Translation of Japanese Patent Publication No. 2002057933 (“Noriyuki”)
SAMSUNG-1008	Reserved
SAMSUNG-1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,977,687 to Suh (“Suh”)
SAMSUNG-1010	U.S. Patent No. 7,034,881 to Hyodo (“Hyodo”)
SAMSUNG-1011	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0018927 (“Manabe”)
SAMSUNG-1012 to SAMSUNG-1016	Reserved
SAMSUNG-1017	Joseph Guzman, “Fauci says second wave of coronavirus is ‘inevitable’”, TheHill.com (Apr. 29, 2020), available at: https://thehill.com/changing-america/resilience/natural-disasters/495211-fauci-says-second-wave-of-coronavirus-is
SAMSUNG-1018	Peter Wells, et al. “Texas puts reopening on hold in face of new Covid-19 outbreak”, Financial Times (June 25, 2020), available at https://www.ft.com/content/e35f3148-a797-4e6e-bf7a-1a7ce3181e97
SAMSUNG-1019	Order Granting Joint Motion to Amend the Docket Control Order and Time for Claim Construction Expert

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.