### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

10X GENOMICS, INC., Petitioner,

v.

PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE and UNITED KINGDOM RESEARCH AND INNOVATION,
Patent Owners.

Case No. IPR2020-01468 U.S. Patent No. 9,919,277

## PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



### **PREFACE**

Petitioner 10X Genomics ("10X") submits the following reply pursuant to the Board's Order. Paper 18 (Order Granting Petitioner's Request for Authorization to File Briefing). The Board's Order limits Petitioner to submitting only "the same arguments presented previously by Petitioner in IPR2020-1180 and IPR2020-1181" Id. at 5. The Board denied Petitioner's request to submit briefing addressing the District Court's orders issued after Petitioner's briefs were submitted in IPR2020-1180 and IPR2020-1181. See Ex. 2130, Transcript of Jan. 7, 2021 Conference Call, 24:18-25:10. Consistent with the limitations of the Board's Order, Petitioner submits herewith the same briefing and exhibits submitted in IPR2020-1180. See IPR2020-1180, Paper 16 and Exhibits 1082-1093; see also IPR2020-1181, Paper 16 and Exhibits 1182-1193. As Petitioner further explained on the January 7, 2021 Conference Call, these arguments also apply to the Petition in this proceeding and establish that the Fintiv factors collectively weigh in favor of institution including because the District Court has set only a trial ready date (not a trial date); no trial date is likely to be set until after the anticipated deadline for a final written decision in this proceeding due to the backlog of civil jury trials in the District Court due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the fact that District Court has yet to restart civil jury trials; the lack of substantive investment by the District Court in invalidity-related issues; the fact that Claims 7, 10, and 12 of the 277 Patent are challenged in this



Case No. IPR2020-01468 U.S. Patent No. 9,919,277

Petition (including under grounds involving the Lee reference and the Walker reference) but are not at issue in District Court; the fact that Patent Owner states in its Preliminary Response that Petitioner's grounds involving the Tawfik and Walker references are not at issue in the District Court; and the strong merits of the Petition. *See* Ex. 2130. As also explained in the following briefing, the *NHK-Fintiv* rule is contrary to law, arbitrary and capricious, and procedurally invalid—providing additional, independent bases for declining to exercise discretionary denial.

\* \* \*



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

10X GENOMICS, INC., Petitioner,

v.

PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE and UNITED KINGDOM RESEARCH AND INNOVATION,
Patent Owners.

Case No. IPR2020-01180 U.S. Patent No. 8,871,444

## PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| I.  | THE FINTIV FACTORS FAVOR INSTITUTION |  |     |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--|-----|
|     | A.                                   | Factor 1 Is Neutral Where No Stay Motion Has Been Filed  | . 1 |
|     | B.                                   | Because No Trial Date Is Set And No Schedule Is In Place,<br>Factor 2 Favors Institution.                                    | . 1 |
|     | C.                                   | Because No Substantial Investment In Deciding Invalidity Issues Has Been Made In District Court, Factor 3 Favors Institution | . 6 |
|     | D.                                   | Four Claims Challenged In The Petition Will Not Be Considered<br>By The District Court So Factor 4 Favors Institution        | . 6 |
|     | E.                                   | Factor 5 Is Neutral Where IPR May Conclude Before Trial  | 8   |
|     | F.                                   | Factor 6 Favors Institution.   | 9   |
| II. |                                      | NHK-FINTIV RULE IS CONTRARY TO LAW, ARBITRARY CAPRICIOUS, AND PROCEDURALLY INVALID   | 10  |



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

