

Filed: July 9, 2021

Filed on behalf of:

Patent Owner Masimo Corporation
By: Joseph R. Re (Reg. No. 31,291)
Stephen W. Larson (Reg. No. 69,133)
Jarom D. Kesler (Reg. No. 57,046)
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel.: (949) 760-0404
Fax: (949) 760-9502
E-mail: AppleIPR2020-1521-628@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-01521
U.S. Patent 10,292,628

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MASIMO'S PIONEERING TECHNOLOGY	4
A. The '628 Patent	4
B. Introduction To Independent Claims	6
C. The '628 Patent Prosecution	9
III. THE PETITION'S PROPOSED COMBINATIONS.....	9
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	10
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
VI. LEGAL STANDARD	11
VII. GROUNDS 1A-1D DO NOT ESTABLISH OBVIOUSNESS	12
A. Introduction To Ground 1A.....	12
1. Aizawa Uses Peripheral Detectors Around A Single Centrally Located LED	12
2. Inokawa Uses Peripheral LEDs Around A Single Centrally Located Detector	14
3. Petitioner's Proposed Combination Of Aizawa And Inokawa.....	14
B. Ground 1A Does Not Establish Obviousness	16
1. A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Combine Inokawa's Lens With Aizawa's Sensor	16

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Cont'd)

	Page No.
a) Petitioner Admits Inokawa's Lens Directs Light To The Center Of The Sensor.....	16
b) A POSITA Would Not Have Been Motivated To Direct Light Away From Aizawa's Detectors And Would Have No Reasonable Expectation Of Success When Doing So	19
c) Dr. Kenny's New Opinions Are Improper, Contradict His Declaration And Undermine Petitioner's Obviousness Challenge	23
d) Petitioner's Obviousness Challenge Also Improperly Relies On References Not Identified As Part Of Ground 1A Without An Articulated Motivation To Combine Or Expectation Of Success	32
2. Petitioner Identifies No Credible Basis To Add A Second LED To Aizawa	35
C. The Remaining Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious Over Ground 1A	41
D. Ground 1B Fails For The Same Reason As Ground 1A And For Additional Reasons	41
1. Ohsaki Does Not Fix The Problems With Petitioner's Proposed Combination.....	42
2. A POSITA Would Have Understood That Ohsaki's Board Would Not Prevent Slippage With Aizawa's Sensor.....	43

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Cont'd)

	Page No.
E. The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious Over Ground 1B	45
F. Grounds 1C-1D Fail For The Same Reasons As Ground 1A	45
VIII. GROUNDS 2A-2B DO NOT ESTABLISH OBVIOUSNESS.....	45
A. Introduction To Ground 2A.....	45
1. Mendelson-1988 Uses Detectors Around Centrally Located LEDs	46
2. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination Of Mendelson-1988 And Inokawa.....	47
B. Ground 2A Does Not Establish Obviousness	48
1. There Would Have Been No Motivation To Combine And No Reasonable Expectation Of Success	48
2. Petitioner’s Proposed Ground 2A Combination Does Not Include The Claimed Cover.....	51
3. Mendelson-1988 And Inokawa Do Not Have A “Circular Housing” With A “Light Permeable Cover” (Claim 7)	54
4. The Petition Improperly Relies On References Not Identified As Part Of Ground 2A With No Analysis Of Any Motivation To Combine	56
C. The Challenged Dependent Claims Are Nonobvious Over Ground 2A	59
D. Ground 2B Fails For The Same Reason As Ground 2A.....	59

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Cont'd)

Page No.

IX. CONCLUSION..... 59

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.