UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD SUPERCELL OY, Petitioner, v. GREE, INC., Patent Owner.


Case IPR2020-01619 U.S. Patent No. 10,413,832

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.207



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				<u>Page</u>		
I.	Intro	troduction1				
II.	The Petition Should Be Denied Under § 314(a)					
	A.		or 1: whether the court granted a stay or evidence exists one may be granted if a proceeding is instituted	7		
	В.		or 2: proximity of the court's trial date to the Board's cted statutory deadline for a final written decision	9		
	C.		or 3: investment in the parallel proceeding by the court and arties	17		
	D.		or 4: overlap between issues raised in the petition and in the lel proceeding	21		
	E.		or 5: whether the petitioner and the defendant in the parallel eeding are the same party	28		
	F.		or 6: other circumstances that impact the Board's exercise scretion, including the merits	28		
	G.	Holis	stic Analysis of <i>Fintiv</i> Factors	32		
III.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated a Reasonable Likelihood of Success for the Grounds Advanced in the Petition					
	A.		oner Has Not Demonstrated a Reasonable Likelihood of ess With Respect to Ground 1 (FVD & Smoak)	37		
		i.	Petitioner Has Failed to Establish That FVD Is a Printed Publication.	37		
		ii.	FVD Does Not Disclose Nor Suggest All of the Limitations of the Challenged Claims.	41		
		iii.	Petitioner's Alleged Motivation to Combine FVD and Smoak Is Insufficient.	45		



	В.	Petitioner Has Not Demonstrated a Reasonable Likelihood of			
		Success With Respect to Ground 2 (Yamaoka & Morrisroe)	49		
IV.	Con	clusion	53		



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Advanced Bionics, LLC v. Med-El Elektromedizinishce Gerate GmbH, IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 13, 2020)49
Amazon.com, Inc. v. Vocalife LLC, IPR2020-00864, Paper 22 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 28, 2020)
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020)passim
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. May 13, 2020) passim
Apple Inc. v. Maxell, Ltd., IPR2020-00203, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. July 6, 2020)
Apple Inc. v. Maxell, Ltd., IPR2020-00407, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 11, 2020)
Apple Inc. v. Optis Cellular Technology, LLC, IPR2020-00465, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 17, 2020)27
Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP, 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 51
Comcast Cable Communications, LLC v. Rovi Guides, Inc., IPR2020-00800, -00801, -00802, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 22, 2010) passim
Edwards Lifesciences Corp. v. Evalve, Inc., IPR2019-01479, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 26, 2020)
Engel Indus., Inc. v. Lockformer Co., 96 F.3d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1996)
E-One, Inc. v. Oshkosh Corp., IPR2019-00161, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2019)17
Gaus v. Conair Corp., 363 F.3d 1284 (Fed. Cir. 2004)51



Google LLC v. Personalized Media Communications, LLC, IPR2020-00719, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 31, 2020)
Guardian Alliance Techs., Inc. v. Miller, IPR2020-00031, Paper 27 (P.T.A.B. July 27, 2020)39
Hopkinds Mfg. Corp. v. Cequent Performance Prods., Inc., IPR2015-00613, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 7, 2015)53
Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC, IPR2018-01039, Paper 29 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 20, 2019)
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
Intel Corporation v. VLSI Technology LLC, IPR2020-00106, Paper 17 (P.T.A.B. May 5, 2020)
Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc., IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 23, 2014)44
Kranos Corporation v. Apalone, Inc., IPR2020-00501, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. July 16, 2020) passim
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)
Laird Techs. Inc. v. A.K. Stamping Co., Inc., IPR2017-02038, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 14, 2018)
Next Caller Inc. v. TrustID, Inc., IPR2019-00961, -00962, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2019)20
NHK Spring Co., Ltd. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc., IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 12, 2018) passim
Philip Morris Products, S.A. v. Rai Strategic Holdings, Inc., IPR2020-00919, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 16, 2020)
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F 3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

