IPR2020-01619 Petitioner's Request for Rehearing

Filed on behalf of Supercell Oy

By:

JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No 50,784
MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER
BRIAN HOFFMAN, Reg. No. 39,713
KEVIN X. MCGANN, Reg. No. 48,793
GREGORY HOPEWELL, Reg. No. 66,012
GEOFFREY MILLER
ERIC ZHOU, Reg. No. 68,842
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: 650.988.8500 Facsimile: 650.938.5200

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

SUPERCELL OY, Petitioner

v.

GREE, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2020-01619 Patent 10,413,832 B2

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.71



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

				Page	
A.	INTRODUCTION AND REQUESTED RELIEF			1	
B.	LEGAL STANDARD1				
C.	BASIS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF				
	1.	2			
		a.	The Board's conclusion regarding Factor 2 rests on clearly erroneous fact finding.	2	
		b.	The Board misapprehended or overlooked that the parallel proceeding investment is primarily in non-overlapping issues.	4	
		c.	The Board's conclusion regarding Factor 6 rests on clearly erroneous fact finding and is clearly unreasonable, arbitrary, or fanciful	5	
		d.	The Board misapprehended or overlooked the proper weighing of the <i>Fintiv</i> factors.	7	
	2.	2. Exercising Discretion Based on the <i>NHK-Fintiv</i> Factors Is Improper			
D	CONCLUSION 1			11	



### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s) **CASES** Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020)......passim Apple Inc. v. Seven Networks, LLC, IPR2020-00180, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 12, 2020)......6 Apple Inc. v. Seven Networks, LLC, IPR2020-00235, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. July 28, 2020)......7 Aqua Prods., Inc. v. Matal, Facebook, Inc. v. Blackberry Ltd., IPR2019-00899, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 8, 2019)......4 Facebook, Inc. v. Windy City Innovations, LLC, 953 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2020) (reinstated on rehearing in relevant part, slip op. Sept. 4, 2020) ......9 Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd., NanoCellect Biomedial, Inc., v. Cytonome/ST, LLC, IPR2020-00551, Paper 19 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 27, 2020)......7 NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc., IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 12, 2018)......2 Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Cont'l Intermodal Grp., Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp., VMWare, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC, IPR2020-00407, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 18, 2020)......7 Westinghouse Air Brake Techs. Corp. v. Siemens Mobility, Inc., IPR2017-01263, Paper 56 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 24, 2016)......6



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES** (Continued)

	Page(s)
STATUTES AND RULES	
35 U.S.C. § 314	passim
35 U.S.C. § 316	9, 10, 11
35 U.S.C. § 324(a)	10
35 U.S.C. § 326(b)	11
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.71	1
83 FR 51340, 51341 (Oct. 11, 2018)	4
The Eleventh Auer: The Effect of Kisor v. Wilkie On Rulemaking and Adjudication at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 19 Chi - Kent I Intell Prop. 485, 501-502 (2020)	9



# **EXHIBIT LIST (37 CFR § 42.63(e))**

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,076,708 to Yoshikawa
1002	File History of U.S. Patent No. 10,076,708
1003	U.S. Patent No. 10,413,832 to Yoshikawa
1004	File History of U.S. Patent No. 10,413,832
1005	U.S. Patent No. 10,583,365 to Yoshikawa
1006	File History of U.S. Patent No. 10,583,365
1007	Expert Declaration of Ravin Balakrishnan
1008	Curriculum Vitae of Ravin Balakrishnan
1009	Robert Corrina, "What is a Role Playing Game?," Gamasutra
1010	"Secret of Monkey Island, The Download (Adventure Game)," old-games.com
1011	Final Fantasy VI Advance Instruction Booklet, Nintendo of America
1012	Daniel Primed, "Wasteland Ventures (Fallout) #5 – 3 Forms of Grind," Daniel Primed
1013	"Microsoft Excel 2003 for Beginners," California State University, Northridge, Information Technology Training Guide
1014	U.S. Patent No. 4,643,454 to Ondis ("Ondis")
1015	U.S. Patent No. 8,147,316 to Arezina et al. ("Arezina")
1016	Sarah Phillips, "A brief history of Facebook," The Guardian
1017	Michael Arrington, "Social Games: How the Big Three Make Millions," TechCrunch



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

