

**From:** Shannon Turner  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 28, 2021 7:48 PM  
**To:** Thomas Derbish  
**Cc:** aabsher@kilpatricktownsend.com; tom@gillamsmithlaw.com; arinehart@kilpatricktownsend.com; Bryan Kohm; Chris Larson; ddacus; Geoff Miller; gil@gillamsmithlaw.com; travis@gillamsmithlaw.com; Jeffrey Ware; Jennifer Bush; Jessica Kaempf; jalemanni@kilpatricktownsend.com; Jon McMichael; jlee@kilpatricktownsend.com; kkoballa@kilpatricktownsend.com; melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com; Michael Sacksteder; mmorlock@kilpatricktownsend.com; nboothe@kilpatricktownsend.com; rgupta@kilpatricktownsend.com; sdacus@dacusfirm.com; smoore@kilpatricktownsend.com; taludlam@kilpatricktownsend.com; tpfingst@kilpatricktownsend.com; Winnie Wong; pam@tacticalawgroup.com; William Nilsson; Steven Laxton  
**Subject:** GREE Inc. v. Supercell OY (2:19-cv-200; -237; -310; -311) - Case Narrowing

Mr. Derbish,

Pursuant to the Court's instructions asking the parties to advise of any case narrowing between the pretrial conference and jury selection, Supercell writes to inform the Court that Supercell does not intend to assert at trial invalidity under 35 USC §§ 102 & 103 as to U.S. Patent Nos. 10,076,708 and 10,413,832, which are the asserted patents in Case No. 2:19-cv-00310.

Best regards,  
Shannon

Shannon Turner  
[Fenwick](#) | Associate | 650-335-7844 | [sturner@fenwick.com](mailto:sturner@fenwick.com)