

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SUPERCELL OY,  
Petitioner,

v.

GREE, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2020-01628  
U.S. Patent No. 9,561,439

---

**PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONER'S REPLY TO  
PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. The Petition Should Be Denied Under § 314(a)..... 1

    1. *Fintiv* Factor 1: No Evidence of Any Likelihood of a Stay ..... 1

    2. *Fintiv* Factor 2: Trial Date Remains Well In Advance of Board’s Deadline for Final Written Decision ..... 1

    3. *Fintiv* Factor 3: Substantial Investments at District Court.....3

    4. *Fintiv* Factor 4: Substantial Overlap of Issues in the Two Forums .....3

    5. *Fintiv* Factor 5: Same Parties in the Two Forums .....5

    6. *Fintiv* Factor 6: No Other Relevant Circumstances .....5

II. Englman Does Not Disclose Nor Suggest “Storing a Correspondence Between the Plurality of Users and the One of More Groups” .....6

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<b><u>Cases</u></b>	
<i>10X Genomics, Inc. v. President &amp; Fellows of Harvard College</i> , IPR2020-01180, Paper 23 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 13, 2021) .....	2, 5
<i>Amazon.com, Inc. v. Freshub, Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-01145, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 11, 2021) .....	2
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 876 F. Supp. 2d 1141 (N.D. Cal. 2012).....	7
<i>Iridescent Networks, Inc. v. AT&amp;T Mobility, LLC</i> , 933 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	7
<i>Keyme LLC v. The Hillman Group</i> , IPR2020-01028, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 13, 2021) .....	2, 5
<i>Snap, Inc. v. SRK Tech. LLC</i> , IPR2020-00820, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 21, 2020).....	4
<i>Sotera Wireless, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.</i> , IPR2020-01019, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 1, 2020) .....	4
<i>Supercell Oy v. GREE, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00215, Paper 10, at 18 (P.T.A.B. June 10, 2020) .....	5
<i>Supercell Oy v. GREE, Inc.</i> , PGR2020-00034, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 3, 2020).....	1, 5
<i>Supercell Oy v. GREE, Inc.</i> , PGR2020-00043, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 14, 2020) .....	2
<b><u>Statutes</u></b>	
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	1, 2, 5
<b><u>Rules &amp; Regulations</u></b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	6

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
<b>2001</b>	Sixth Amended Docket Control Order, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311, Document 94 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 23, 2020)
<b>2002</b>	Order Regarding Sixth Amended Docket Control Order, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311, Document 96 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 26, 2020)
<b>2003</b>	Joint Motion to Amend Docket Control Order, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311, Document 93 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 22, 2020)
<b>2004</b>	Complaint, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311, Document 1 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 16, 2019)
<b>2005</b>	Defendant Supercell Oy's Invalidation Contentions and Disclosures Under Local Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311 (E.D. Tex.), dated April 7, 2020
<b>2006</b>	Exhibit B-2 to Defendant Supercell Oy's Invalidation Contentions and Disclosures Under Local Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311 (E.D. Tex.), dated April 7, 2020
<b>2007</b>	Exhibit B-8 to Defendant Supercell Oy's Invalidation Contentions and Disclosures Under Local Patent Rules 3-3 and 3-4, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311 (E.D. Tex.), dated April 7, 2020
<b>2008</b>	Excerpts of the Expert Report of Jose Zagal, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311 (E.D. Tex.), dated November 2, 2020
<b>2009</b>	Buehler, Katie, ' <i>Clash of Clans</i> ' Game Maker Owes \$8.5M, <i>Texas Jury Says</i> , Law360 (September 18, 2020)

Exhibit No.	Description
2010	Order, <i>Solas OLED Ltd. v. Samsung Display Co., Ltd. et al.</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-001520, Document 302 (E.D. Tex.), dated November 20, 2020
2011	Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311, Document 86 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 12, 2020)
2012	Seventh Amended Docket Control Order, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311, Document 120 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2020)
2013	Eighth Amended Docket Control Order, <i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00311, Document 133 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 17, 2020)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.