

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ECOFACITOR, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00054
Patent 10,534,382 B2

Record of Oral Hearing
Held: February 8, 2022

Before WESLEY B. DERRICK, JEFFREY W. ABRAHAM, and
SCOTT B. HOWARD, Administrative Patent Judges.

IPR2021-00054
Patent 10,534,382 B2

A P P E A R A N C E S

On Behalf of the Petitioner:

Matthew A. Smith
SMITH BALUCH LLP
1100 Alma Street, Suite 109
Merlo Park, California 94025
(202) 669-6207

On Behalf of the Patent Owner:

Jonathan Link
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90025
(310) 826-7474

Also Appearing:
Sylvia Gerukos, USPTO Staff
United States Patent & Trademark Office

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday,
February 8, 2022, commencing at 1:59 p.m. EST, by video/by telephone.

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE HOWARD: Good morning. This is an
3 oral hearing in Case Number 2021-00054 between
4 Petitioner, Google LLC, and Patent Owner, EcoFactor
5 Inc., concerning U.S. Patent Number 10543382.

6 I am Judge Howard, and I am joined by
7 Judges Derrick and Abraham. Just a few
8 administrative matters before we begin. Per our
9 hearing order, each side will have 60 minutes to
10 present its case. Petitioner, who bears the burden
11 on unpatentability, will proceed first. You may
12 reserve up to half your time for rebuttal.

13 Once Petitioner has finished its initial
14 presentation, Patent Owner will proceed with its
15 argument. If Petitioner reserves time for rebuttal,
16 then Patent Owner can also reserve time for
17 sur-rebuttal. Rebuttal will be limited to addressing
18 issues raised by Patent Owner in its initial
19 presentation, and the sur-rebuttal will be limited to
20 issues raised by Petitioner in its rebuttal.

21 It is important that each and every
22 participant here have fair opportunity to both
23 listen and speak. If at any time you are having any
24 type of audio-visual issues, either where you cannot
25 hear us or cannot speak, please just contact us. We

1 will pause the hearing until any issues are
2 resolved to make sure that you have your full amount of time.

3 We also request that if you are not
4 speaking that you please keep yourself on mute.
5 This will make things easier for everybody to hear,
6 including for the court reporter. In addition, to
7 allow everybody to follow your presentation and also
8 for clarity of the record, when you're referring to
9 your slides please identify the slide number that
10 you're using.

11 As for any objections if there are any, we
12 ask that you do not interrupt each other during the
13 proceedings. If you do have an objection, save them
14 for your argument time, and we can address them at
15 that point. At this time, we'd like counsel to
16 introduce yourselves and who you have with you
17 starting with the Petitioner.

18 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. This
19 is Matthew Smith for the petitioner, and I believe
20 on the line are my associates Elizabeth Laughton and
21 a representative of Google.

22 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. And who is there for
23 Patent Owner?

24 MR. LINK: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
25 Johnathan Link on behalf of patent owner, EcoFactor,

1 and I will be the only one on my side.

2 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. And, Mr. Smith, how
3 much time would you like to reserve for your rebuttal?

4 MR. SMITH: 20 minutes, Your Honor. Thank you.

5 JUDGE HOWARD: Okay. I will be keeping
6 track of time manually as we go along. I will do my
7 best to give each party a five-minute warning before
8 you hit your rebuttal or sur-rebuttal time. I will
9 also try and give you a five-minute warning before
10 the end of your time altogether.

11 Mr. Smith, you can begin whenever you're ready.

12 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
13 Matthew Smith for the petitioner Google, may it
14 please the board. I'd like to start on slide 3 of
15 the petitioner's demonstratives which is the
16 overview. I think there's sort of three main
17 argument groups that have been identified by the
18 parties. The first, of course, is the claim
19 construction of one or more processors which I think
20 underpins a lot of the issues in this case, and then
21 a couple of issues that are independent or at least
22 somewhat independent on the one or more processors
23 construction and that is claim elements 1E and 1M
24 which deals, you know, essentially with outside
25 temperature being received and stored; and claim 12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.